(No. 23.)

TASMANIA

THE PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION

9th JUNE, 1934

(WITH STATISTICS OF EARLIER ELECTIONS HELD IN TASMANIA)

AND

RESULTS OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS HELD IN 1932, 1933, AND 1934

BY

EDWARD PARKES, M.V.O., I.S.O., Chief Electoral Officer for the State of Tasmania

Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command

TASMANIA
WALTER E. SHIMMINS, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, TASMANIA
1934

73281

REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION HELD ON THE 9th JUNE, 1934.

SIR,

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report on the Parliamentary Elections held during the past three years.

HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.

The House of Assembly was dissolved by the Governor on 30th April, 1934, and the following dates were fixed for the purposes of the Election:—

Issue of Writs	4th May, 1934.
Nominations	15th May, 1934.
Poll	9th June, 1934.

The writs were returned on the 20th June, 1934, from which date the life of the Parliament commenced.

The nominations totalled 77 (Bass, 14; Darwin, 17; Denison, 18; Franklin, 12; and Wilmot, 16), the figures for the previous four General Elections being:—

1922	77
1925	74
1928	72
1931	70

The enrolment for the various Divisions, and the total number of votes recorded, is shown hereunder:—

Division.	Electors Enrolled.	Number of Votes Recorded.	Percentage.
Bass	25,560	24,170	94·5
Darwin	26,116	24,544	94·0
Denison	25,010	23,775	95·1
Franklin	26,734	25,432	95·1
Wilmot	24,261	22,701	93·6
	127,681	120,622	94·5

The total enrolment shows an addition, since the last General Election, of 8,951, with a notable increase of 21,010 in the votes recorded. The percentage of votes to electors enrolled was 94·5 per cent.; the corresponding figure for the 1931 Election being 95 per cent., and for the 1928 Election 81·9 per cent. The effects of the compulsory voting clauses of the Electoral Act, which came into operation for the first time at House of Assembly Elections in 1931, are reflected in this vote, which was as low as 63·1 per cent. in 1922 and 67·26 per cent. in 1925. It may be said that electors generally have accepted, without demur, the many responsibilities imposed upon them under both Federal and State legislation in recent years, particularly as regards compulsory enrolment and compulsory voting, with penalties for omissions in either respect. The electoral administration has devoted a good deal of attention to the compulsory provisions of the Act, but the great majority of electors are prepared to comply with all requirements, and the number of penalties imposed is accordingly low.

Attention has been directed in previous Reports on General Elections to the absent voting provisions of the Electoral Act. The proportional system is often criticised on account of the delays which occur between the poll and the declaration of the final result, but while the absent vote provisions of the Act remain in force there is little prospect of expediting the completion of the count. In almost every instance the returning officer's final result is held up pending the receipt of a few absent votes from outlying sources, and to this fact must be attributed the delay which usually occurs. It is fitting here to record that at this Election the scrutinies in at least two divisions were of an unusually protracted nature, and credit is due to the officers concerned for their accurate work in completing these scrutinies, which present an exacting task even to a highly trained staff.

The improvements which Parliament has made in the electoral law over the past few years have enabled the Department to build up a satisfactory and efficient organisation required for the purposes of a General Election. Electoral offences, disregarding those of a minor nature, are extremely rare in this State, and only on one occasion over a period of many years has a prosecution been found necessary for improper practices in relation to enrolment claims. It may be said that the existing machinery works with smoothness and precision, and in the course of time adequate safeguards have been adopted to ensure the correctness and purity of the rolls; the strict supervision of the Ballot; and meticulous accuracy in the final results.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

Following on the innovation adopted in the 1931 Report, a summary of the Legislative Council Elections held since that year is embodied in the present Report.

The decision of Parliament in 1930 to impose upon Legislative Council electors the very reasonable duty of claiming enrolment has proved to be of far-reaching advantage. With little additional expense, the Legislative Council Rolls have been greatly improved, from the point of view of accuracy, and the many complaints heard previously upon these rolls have now ceased. Legislative Council Returning Officers are now able to produce an accurate roll, whereas under the old system (the automatic compilation of electoral rolls from assessment rolls) no amount of work could produce a satisfactory result. The change herein referred to is regarded by experienced officers as the most notable advance in improving the electoral machinery which has taken place for many years past. Notwithstanding this improvement in the rolls, the returning officers are still at a disadvantage owing to the unworkable nature of electoral boundaries. For example, the Division of Mersey contains parts of no less than five municipalities, and since annual values, either of ownership or of occupancy, are substantially the basis of the Council rolls, the compilation of a roll, and more particularly the locating of the boundaries of the division, is a very difficult one. This question was referred to in the 1931 Report, and the position still exists to-day. The comment made on that occasion is repeated here:

> ". . . . It is almost impossible in some instances for returning officers to determine the divisions for which electors should be enrolled; this is a source of irritation to the electors, and leads to inaccuracies in the rolls. These difficulties would be solved if the Council boundaries could be redefined so as to coincide with boundaries of grouped municipalities, as electors in any one municipality would then be aware of their Legislative Council Division also. An important aspect of the boundary question is revealed in the disparity which now exists in the enrolment strength of the various divisions. For example, one division with 1210 electors and another division with 6818 electors each returns one member to the Council, and, although this is a question which does not come strictly within the scope of this report, it seems that, sooner or later, a redefinition of boundaries will have to be seriously considered . . . ."

There can be little doubt that the existing anomalies can be rectified only by the adoption of the principle that a group of municipalities should form a Legislative Council Division. As regards the disparity in the enrolment of the divisions, the following table is illuminating:—

ENROLMENT: LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DIVISIONS.

Division.	Electors Enrolled.	Members Returned.
Buckingham	5,935	1
Cambridge	1,500	1
Derwent	1,019	1
Gordon	1,124	1
Hobart	6,490	3
Huon	3,366	1
Launceston	5,422	2
Macquarie	1,717	1
Meander	2,404	1
Mersey	3,924	1
Pembroke	2,303	1
Russell	3,018	1
South Esk	2,592	1
Tamar	1,980	1
Westmorland	2,882	1
Total Enrolment	46,676	18

On this enrolment a quota of electors for a Legislative Council Division would be 2593.

I have pleasure in recording my appreciation of the services of the Assistant Chief Electoral Officer (Mr. C. A. Blakney), who also has charge of the Franklin Division; and in acknowledging the highly efficient work of the Divisional Returning Officers for Bass (Mr. A. J. Simmons), Darwin (Mr. F. J. McGuinness), Denison (Mr. L. Ainsworth), Wilmot (Mr. A. R. Cooke). The Department also appreciates the assistance given by the Deputy-Statistician (Mr. H. J. Exley), who was good enough to compile the several statistical tables and analyses of voting appended to this report.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

E. PARKES, Chief Electoral Officer.

The State Electoral Department,
Hobart.

The Honourable the Chief Secretary.

Appendix A.

LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS

1932.

DIVISIONS OF BUCKINGHAM, MACQUARIE, AND SOUTH ESK.

Issue of Writ .... 5th April, 1932
Nominations ....13th April, 1932
Poll (fixed by statute) .... 3rd May, 1932
Return of Writs ....17th May, 1932

Division of Buckingham.

Electors Enrolled, 6001. Electors Who Voted, 4749. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 79.

Candidates.

Cosgrove, R.	Murdoch, T.	Informal.	Total.
1687	2902	160	4749

Hon. Thomas Murdoch elected.

Division of Macquarie.

Electors Enrolled, 2051. Electors Who Voted, 1266. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 61

Candidates.

Bendall, A. W.	Bottomley, F.	Carins, G.	Grubb, R. C.	Postle, H. T.	Exhaust.	Informal.	Total.
379 9	43 —43	340 10	329 12	157 12	... ...	18 ...	1266 Bottomley excluded
388 53	... ...	350 51	341 65	169 —169	... ...	... ...	Postle excluded
441 183	... ...	401 —401	406 207	... ...	... 11	... ..	Carins excluded
624	...	...	613	...	11	18	1266

Hon. A. W. Bendall elected.

Division of South Esk.

Hon. A. N. Wardlaw returned unopposed.

1933.

DIVISIONS OF CAMBRIDGE, HOBART, AND RUSSELL.

Issue of Writ .... 6th April, 1933
Nominations ....13th April, 1933
Poll (fixed by statute) .... 2nd May, 1933
Return of Writs ....12th May, 1933

Division of Cambridge.

Hon. J. Darling returned unopposed.

Division of Hobart.

Electors Enrolled, 6362. Electors Who Voted, 5502. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 86.

Candidates.

Chambers.	Crisp, S.	Cummins.	Gaha.	McKenzie.	Piggott.	Exhaust.	Informal.	Total.
574 99	430 —430	574 87	2111 100	1014 96	611 48	... ...	118 ...	5502 Crisp excluded
673 133	... ...	661 116	2211 86	1180 319	659 —659	... 5	... ...	Piggott excluded
806 344	... ...	777 —777	2297 103	1499 325	... ...	5 5	... ...	Cummins excl'd
1150 —1150	... ...	... ...	2400 285	1824 795	... ...	10 70	... ...	Chambers excl'd
...	...	...	2685	2619	...	80	118	Total 5502

Hon. J. F. Gaha elected.

Division of Russell.

Electors Enrolled, 3544. Electors Who Voted, 2336. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 65.

Candidates.

Edwards, F. B.	Fenton, A. B.	Waterworth, O. N.	Jones, D. T.	Franks, R.	Informal.	Exhaust.	Total.
839 24	601 36	551 21	188 30	111 —111	46 ...	... ...	2336 Franks excl'd
863 61	637 86	572 71	218 —218	... ...	... ...	... ...	Jones excl'd
924 159	723 430	643 —643	... ...	... ...	... ...	... 54	Waterworth excluded
1083	1153	...	...	...	46	54	2336

Hon. A. B. Fenton elected.

1934.

DIVISIONS OF GORDON, HOBART, AND LAUNCESTON.

Issue of Writ .... 12th April, 1934
Nominations .... 20th April, 1934
Poll (fixed by statute) .... 8th May, 1934
Return of Writs .... 18th May, 1934

Division of Gordon.

Electors Enrolled, 1197. Electors Who Voted, 909. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 75.

Candidates.

McDonald, J.	O'Halloran, V. E.	Informal.	Total.
576	304	29	909

Hon. J. McDonald elected.

Division of Hobart.

Electors Enrolled, 6241. Electors Who Voted, 5287. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 84.

Candidates.

Chamber , V. I.	Eady, C. J.	Jarvis, W. R. C.	Informal.	Total.
1599 751	2064 767	1518 —1518	106 ...	5287 Jarvis excluded
2350	2831	...	106	5287

Hon. C. J. Eady elected.

Division of Launceston.

Electors Enrolled, 5533. Electors Who Voted, 4455. Percentage of Electors Who Voted, 80.

Candidates.

Evans, A. A.	Hart, F. P.	Higgins, A. J.	Palamountain, J. R.	Informal.	Total
1144 79	1743 39	582 —582	866 464	120	4455 Higgins excluded
1223 —1223	1782 711	... ...	1330 512	... ...	Evans excluded
...	2493	...	1842	120	4455

Hon. E. P. Hart elected.

Appendix B.

STATISTICAL TABLES—GENERAL ELECTION, 9TH MAY, 1934 (WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS.

TABLE 1.—*Electors, Voters, and Informal Votes—General Election, 9th June,* 1934.

	Estimated Population, 31st March, 1934.	Electors on Roll.		Votes Recorded.		Informal Votes.		Valid Votes Recorded.
		Number.	Per 1000 of Population.	Number.	Percentage on Electors.	Number.	Percentage on Votes Recorded.	
Bass	43,430	25,560	589	24,170	94·5	831	3·44	23,339
Darwin	49,340	26,116	529	24,544	94·0	725	2·95	23,819
Denison	41,780	25,010	598	23,775	95·1	736	3·10	23,039
Franklin	50,360	26,734	531	25,432	95·1	688	2·76	24,744
Wilmot	44,910	24,261	540	22,701	93·6	875	3·85	21,826
Total	229,820	127,681	555	120,622	94·5	3855	3·19	116,767

The number of votes recorded is the number of votes, formal and informal, that were admitted to the scrutiny. Up to 1922 this number did not differ much from the number of ballot-papers issued, but in 1922, and subsequently, owing to amendments of the Act and regulations, a large number of persons filled in voting-papers on the claim that their names should be on the roll. The majority of these claims were rejected, and the attempted votes are not included in the tables submitted herein.

Electors on the roll increased by almost 9000 since the 1931 election, each division contributing to the increase. Franklin showed the greatest gain over the whole of the State; male and female electors were equal in number. Since the 1931 election male electors have increased more than females. The number of electors on the roll in relation to the population in the several divisions has been based on the 1933 census figures. Generally, the proportion of electors varies with the proportion of females among the electors. In Denison and Bass, for instance, where female electors are in the majority, the proportions of electors to the population are highest. Where women electors are more numerous than male there is likely to be a larger proportion of unmarried females, and consequently a smaller proportion of children, or, inversely, a more adult population.

TABLE 2.—*Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since* 1919.

	9th June, 1934.	9th May, 1931.	30th May, 1928.	3rd June, 1925.	10th June, 1922.	31st May, 1919.
Estimated Population	229,800	224,000	214,000	214,000	214,000	205,000
Electors on Roll—						
Male	63,841	59,024	55,058	56,667	54,958	53,205
Female	63,840	59,706	56,898	58,234	55,591	54,336
Total	127,681	118,730	111,956	114,901	110,549	107,541
Males—per cent. of total...%	50·0	49·7	49·2	49·3	49·7	49·5
Electors per 1000 of population	555	530	523	537	517	525
Votes Recorded (including informal votes)—						
Male	60,623	56,674	46,769	41,322	38,457	37,037
Female	59,999	56,105	44,910	35,959	31,295	34,027
Total	120,622	112,779	91,679	77,281	69,752	71,064
Males—per cent. of total...%	50·3	50·2	51·0	53·5	55·1	52·1
Voters—Percentage to Electors on Roll—						
Male...%	95·0	96·0	84·94	72·92	70·0	69·6
Female...%	94·0	94·0	78·94	61·75	56·3	62·6
Total...%	94·5	95·0	81·90	67·26	63·1	66·1
Valid votes recorded	116,767	108,894	88,706	75,567	67,918	68,250
Informal Votes—						
Number	3855	3885	2973	1714	1834	2814
Percentage to votes recorded...%	3·19	3·45	3·24	2·22	2·63	3·96
Number of candidates	77	70	72	74	77	57
Number of deposits forfeited	14	16	12	17	19	4
Number of members elected at first count	6	6	7	6	2	13
Total number of counts	616	437	452	381	439	264
Greatest number of counts for one division	183	193	126	118	160	126

The census of 1921 showed the proportion of adults to be 544 per 1000 of the total population of Tasmania, and in view of the declining birth rate this proportion should be higher now, so that the proportion of electors on the roll as at the 9th June, 1934 (555 per 1000 of the population), would appear to be reasonable.

The high proportion of electors who voted (94·5 per cent.) was the result of the compulsory provisions of the Act, which applied to a State election for the first time in 1931, though compulsory voting was earlier a feature of elections for the Commonwealth Parliament. The increase in the proportion of voters to electors on the roll at the election of the 30th May, 1928, as compared with previous years, was no doubt due to the widespread impression, derived from the Commonwealth election, that voting at State elections also was compulsory.

The proportion of informal votes (3·19 per cent.), though still fairly high, shows a decrease from the proportions recorded at the two previous elections, but is higher than the rate for the 1925 and 1922 elections.

The number of candidates (77) was not greatly different from the corresponding figures in recent elections, but the number of counts (616), however, was greatly in excess of those made at earlier elections.

Although males predominate slightly in the general population, electors were evenly distributed between the sexes (50, 50 per cent.), but as voters the proportions were males 50·3 per cent. and females 49·7 per cent.

TABLE 3.—*Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections.*

	Electors on Roll—Male.					Voters—Male.				
	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.
Bass	12,268	11,253	10,406	10,583	11,184	11,629	10,691	8,722	7,806	7,698
Darwin	13,941	13,195	12,195	12,174	9,459	13,189	12,690	10,573	9,226	6,721
Denison	11,331	10,533	10,009	11,075	12,895	10,736	10,055	8,607	8,257	9,166
Franklin	13,660	12,310	11,634	11,918	12,172	13,151	12,039	9,840	8,457	8,415
Wilmot	12,641	11,733	10,814	10,917	9,248	11,928	11,199	9,027	7,576	6,457
Total	63,841	59,024	55,058	56,667	54,958	60,623	56,674	46,769	41,322	38,457

	Electors on Roll—Female.					Voters—Female.				
	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.
Bass	13,292	12,438	11,886	11,768	12,174	12,541	11,738	9,042	7,401	6,615
Darwin	12,175	11,498	10,817	10,845	8,033	11,855	10,809	8,800	7,006	4,300
Denison	13,679	13,048	12,645	13,589	15,597	13,049	12,429	10,343	8,764	9,690
Franklin	13,074	11,970	11,334	11,575	10,683	12,281	11,137	8,806	6,549	5,501
Wilmot	11,620	10,752	10,216	10,457	9,104	10,773	9,992	7,919	6,239	5,189
Total	63,840	59,706	56,898	58,234	55,591	59,999	56,105	44,910	35,959	31,295

	Electors on Roll—Both Sexes.					Voters—Both Sexes.				
	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.
Bass	25,560	23,691	22,292	22,351	23,358	24,170	22,429	17,764	15,207	14,313
Darwin	26,116	24,693	23,012	23,019	17,492	24,544	23,499	19,373	16,232	11,021
Denison	25,010	23,581	22,654	24,644	28,492	23,775	22,484	18,950	17,021	18,956
Franklin	26,734	24,280	22,968	23,493	22,855	25,432	23,176	18,646	15,006	13,916
Wilmot	24,261	22,485	21,030	21,374	18,352	22,701	21,191	16,946	13,815	11,646
Total	127,681	118,730	111,956	114,901	110,549	120,622	112,779	91,679	77,281	69,752

The result of the alteration of the boundaries of electoral districts, which came into operation for the 1925 election, is shown in the more equal numbers in the five districts. In the 1922 election the largest number of electors in a district exceeded the smallest by 63 per cent.; in 1934 the greatest excess was less than 12 per cent. Franklin has now most electors, and Wilmot fewest.

TABLE 4.—*Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since* 1909.

General Election.	Percentage of Electors Voting.		
	Male.	Female.	Total.
State, 30.4.1909	60·7	43·7	52·6
Federal, 13.4.1910	64·8	51·5	58·5
Referendum, 26.4.1911	61·3	51·6	56·7
State, 30.4.1912	77·0	69·7	73·5
State, 23.1.1913	*n*	*n*	67·2
Federal, 31.5.1913	79·0	70·8	75·0
Federal, 5.9.1914	81·3	73·7	77·6
State, 25.3.1916	76·1	71·0	73·6
Federal, 5.5.1917	82·0	70·0	76·0
State, 31.5.1919	69 6	62·6	66·1
Federal, 13.12.1919	65·1	52·3	58·7
State, 10.6.1922	70·0	56·3	63·1
Federal, 16.12.1922	53·4	38·0	45·6
State, 3.6.1925	72·9	61·8	67·3
Federal, 14.11.1925	89 5	88·0	88·7
State, 30.5.1928	84·9	78·9	81·9
Federal, 12.10.1929	96·3	94·2	95·2
State, 9.5.1931	96·0	94·0	95·0
State, 9.6.1934	95·0	94·0	94·5

(*n*) Not available.

The above table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1909, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1925. The proportion of voters, on the whole, increased greatly from 1909 to 1914, remained steady until 1917, then fell away until 1922, but shows some recovery in 1925. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the last three are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State.

REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES.

The election of the 9th June, 1934, drew 77 candidates, of whom 27 were endorsed nominees of the Labour Party, 41 were nominated by the Nationalist Party, and nine described themselves as Independent, of whom two were unendorsed Labour candidates.

The result was the return of 13 Nationalists, 14 Labour, and three Independent.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the three groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows:—

TABLE 5.—*Party Strength as Indicated by No.* 1 *Preferences,* 1934.

	Labour.	Nationalist.	Independent.	Total.
Bass	11,856	11,483	—	23,339
Darwin	9,729	12,578	1512	23,819
Denison	11,122	8,708	3109	23,039
Franklin	12,842	9,344	2558	24,744
Wilmot	7,805	12,436	1585	21,826
Total	53,454	54,549	8764	116,767

The method of election is briefly the "single transferable vote" system, and the law provides that a seat shall be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:—

$$\frac{\text{Number of valid ballot-papers}}{\text{Number to be elected} + 1} + 1 = \text{Quota.}$$

Any remainder is ignored.

For the election of a "House" of 30 members there will therefore be 35 theoretical quotas, which, however, because of "exhaust" votes, will not become complete.

Following the precedent of earlier reports, an attempt will be made to weigh the ultimate voting strength of the two main parties by allotting to each faction the votes received by the Independent candidates according to the party predilections of their supporters, so far as these can be ascertained, and to give broadly the representation secured by each party. The distribution of these votes is dealt with later.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes (attributed to each party after allocating the votes of the unsuccessful Independent candidates), the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:—

TABLE 6.—*Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1934.*

Division.	Labour.			Nationalist.			Independent.		
	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.
Bass.....	11,856	3·56	3	11,483	3·44	3	—	—	—
Darwin ...	10,084	2·96	3	13,735	4·04	3	*	—	—
Denison ...	11,826	3·59	3	9,218	2·80	2	1995*	0·61	1
Franklin...	12,842	3·63	3	10,112	2·86	2	1790*	0·51	1
Wilmot	7805	2·50	2	12,436	3·99	3	1585	0·51	1
Total ...	54,413	16·24	14	56,984	17·13	13	5370	1·63	3

* In these divisions votes for unsuccessful Independent candidates have been allotted as described hereafter.

A standard of representation is furnished by the fact that the number of members for the State as a whole (30) is 14·3 per cent. below the number of quotas (35). The number of quotas of votes allotted to the Labour Party throughout the State, including the Independent Labour member for Wilmot, was 16·75, but the seats won were only 9 per cent. less (15), whereas the seats obtained by the Nationalists (13) were 24 per cent. below the quotas of first preference votes. The other successful Independent candidates won their seats with quotas of first preference votes. In other words, there were only 1·75 quotas of Labour votes made up of unrepresented fractions for 15 members elected, but 4·13 quotas of Nationalist voters unrepresented for 13 members elected, while the first votes for the successful Independent candidates did not represent two quotas.

It is interesting to note that in each division five of the six candidates with the greatest number of first preference votes secured election. While the preferential voting thus secured the election of candidates receiving a smaller number of first preferences, the strength of the parties remained unaltered, as each party lost two seats and gained two by the operation of the preferential voting.

The disparity between the number of quotas and the number of seats won was evident in each division but Bass, but prominent in Denison, where, with 22·80 quotas, the Nationalist Party secured only two seats, while the successful Independent candidate secured his seat with only 0·61 quota.

The following table shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labour and non-Labour groups at each general election since 1916. Independent votes have been distributed as described elsewhere in the context, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

TABLE 7.—*Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences,* 1916-34.

Division.	1916		1919		1922		1925		1928		1931*		1934	
	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.
Bass—														
Quotas.....	3·27	3·73	4·35	2·65	3·65	2·77	3·30	3·70	3·18	3·82	4·40	2·60	3·44	3·56
Seats won ...	3	3	4	2	3*	2*	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3
Darwin—														
Quotas	3·45	3·55	3·68	3·32	3·95	3·05	3·40	3·60	3·73	3·27	4·52	2·48	4·04	2·96
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	3*	3*	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3
Denison—														
Quotas	3·53	3·47	3·90	3·10	4·22	2·78	3·00	4·00	3·07	3·93	4·00	3·00	2·80	3·59
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	2	2*	3
Franklin—														
Quotas	3·62	3·38	3·86	3·14	4·29	2·71	3·44	3·56	3·95	3·05	4·02	2·27	2·86	3·63
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	3*	2*	2*	3*
Wilmot—														
Quotas	4·26	2·74	4·89	2·11	5·08	1·92	3·77	3·23	3·36	3·64	4·54	2·46	3·99	3·01
Seats won ...	4	2	4	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3*
All—														
Quotas	18·13	16·87	20·68	14·32	21·19	13·23	16·91	18·09	17·29	17·71	21·48	12·81	17·13	16·75
Seats won ...	16	14	17	13	17*	12*	14	16	16	14	19*	10*	13	15

* Omitting the votes for one Independent candidate, who was elected.

NOTE.—The boundaries of divisions were altered between the 1922 and 1925 elections.

Other examples of unequal representation, similar to that which occurred in Denison in 1934 and 1931, and already alluded to, will be found throughout the period covered by the above table. The most striking case, in its effect on the representation of parties throughout the whole electorate of the State, was in 1922. In that year the non-Labour party had 4·19 unrepresented fractional quotas against Labour's 1·23. The distribution nearest to equality required that non-Labour should have one more member and Labour one less, though if two more members had gone to non-Labour and two less to Labour the disparity would not have been serious. In that year the non-Labour party lost by unrepresented fractions in every division, whereas the losses of the Labour party in some divisions were counterbalanced by gains in others. A similar inequality, though less serious, occurred in 1919 by larger fractional losses to the non-Labour party in most divisions.

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each of the divisions, which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates, or on the number of uniform quotas, and the number of seats won:—

Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won.

(The State as a Single Electorate.)

	No. 1 Preference.	Seats in Proportion.	Seats Won.
Labour	55,998	14·39	15
Nationalists	56,984	14·64	13

* Excluding one successful Independent candidate (Douglas Credit Party) and one whose support was mainly Nationalist.

On the basis of equal representation, therefore, the Nationalist should have had one more member (14) and the Labour Party should have had one less (14). Such imperfections, however, are insignificant in comparison with the results at times experienced under the old system of single-seat electorates.

The results given in Table 7 show no case where the difference between the actual representation and the theoretically requisite representation has prejudiced the position of either party in Parliament.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held under the present law in the following table:—

TABLE 8.—*Representation of Parties for the Whole State,* 1909-34.

(Seats in Proportion to No. 1 Preferences, and Seats Actually Won.

		Non-Labour.	Labour.			Non-Labour.	Labour.
1909.....	Proportionate share	18·31	11·69	1922.....	Proportionate share	18·07	11·42*
	Actually won	18	12		Actually won	17	12
1912.....	Proportionate share	16·35	13·65	1925.....	Proportionate share	14·42	15·58
	Actually won	16	14		Actually won	14	16
1913.....	Proportionate share	15·78	13·80	1928.....	Proportionate share	14·85	15·15
	Actually won	16	14		Actually won	16	14
1916.....	Proportionate share	15·46	14·54	1931.....	Proportionate share	18·39*	10·98
	Actually won	16	14		Actually won.....	19	10
1919.....	Proportionate share	17·56	12·44	1934.....	Proportionate share	14·64	14·39
	Actually won	17	13		Actually won.....	13	15

* Omitting the votes for an Independent candidate.

The Labour Party had one more member than was required for equitable proportional representation in 1922; was one member short in 1928 and in 1931; and one member in excess in 1934.

A brief summary of the results of the 1934 election for each division is appended, together with what evidence is available of the party leanings of the supporters of the Independent candidates.

In Bass there were 14 candidates, of whom six were Labour and eight Nationalist. There was little cross-voting, and little exhaustion of votes which affected party representation relatively.

The No. 1 votes cast were: Labour, 11,856; Nationalist, 11,483 (3 : 2·91); and the seats won were: Labour, three; Nationalist, three.

In Darwin there were five Labour candidates, 11 Nationalist, and one Independent (Mr. Broad). The No. 1 votes were: Labour, 9729; Nationalist, 12,578; and Independent, 1512 (relatively 3 : 3·82 : 0·46); and the seats won were: Labour, three; Nationalist, three. While the sympathies of the supporters of the Independent candidate (Mr. Broad) were distinctly Nationalist, for the purpose of Table 6 his first preferences have been allotted in the proportion disclosed in the final distribution of his votes.

In Denison there were 18 candidates, namely, seven Labour, six Nationalist, one Independent Labour, and four other Independents. The No. 1 votes were: Labour (including Independent Labour), 11,542; Nationalist, 8708; and Independent, 2789 (relatively 3 : 2·26 : 0·72). Mr. Carruthers, who stood as an Independent in the interests of the Douglas Credit Party, was elected. Analysis of the distribution of the votes of the three unsuccessful Independent candidates shows that their preferences favoured Nationalists; accordingly their first preferences have been distributed for Table 6 in the proportions so disclosed. Mr. Carruthers received 1995 No. 1 votes, and of the 1297 transferred votes completing his quota, 346 were received from Labour, 768 from Nationalist, and 183 from other Independents.

Non-Labour Independent candidates collectively polled 2789 votes, representing 0·72 of a seat, and were successful in securing one seat.

In Franklin there were 12 candidates—four Labour, six Nationalist, and two Independent. No. 1 votes were: Labour, 12,842; Nationalist, 9344; and Independent, 2558 (relatively 3 : 2·18 : 0·59); and the seats won were: Labour, three; Nationalist, two; and Independent one. Independents were relatively strong in this division. Mr. Pearsall, who was elected as an Independent, may be classed as a Nationalist, as also Mr. Murdoch.

Taking Messrs. Pearsall and Murdoch as Nationalists, the No. 1 votes were distributed: Labour, 12,842; Nationalist (including Independent), 11,902; and the quotas were 3·63 and 3·37 respectively, with three seats to each party.

In Wilmot 16 candidates faced the electors, namely, six Labour (including one Independent) and 10 Nationalist. The No. 1 votes were: Labour, 9390; and Nationalist, 12,436 (relatively 3 : 3·97); and the seats won were: Labour, three; Nationalist, three. The Independent Labour candidate (Mr. Becker) polled 1585 No. 1 votes, and was successful in securing election.

ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A.

Under the provisions of Section 116A 1004 persons whose names were not on the roll claimed the right to vote, and filled in ballot-papers. Of these, 608 were rejected because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 396 were admitted to the scrutiny, and 29 of them rejected for informalities, leaving 367 valid votes under Section 116A.

The number of absent votes was 7266, but these included a number whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 1079 were rejected on this account. A further 198 were rejected for informalities, leaving 5989 valid absent votes. These numbers cannot be satisfactorily compared with previous years, on account of changes in the Act and regulations. The large numbers for 1934 are due, in the main, to the introduction of compulsory voting.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed owing to the voter not being on the roll, or having no right to be on the roll, was 1687, or about 20 per cent. of the applicants. The numbers of these votes disallowed in previous years were: 1931, 2086; 1928, 1545; 1925, 1668; 1922, 1473. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

TABLE 9.—*Votes Under Section* 116A *and Absent Votes.*

Division.	Votes under Section 116A.				Absent Votes.			
	Papers Received.			Admitted to Scrutiny.	Papers Received.			Admitted to Scrutiny.
	Males.	Females.	Total.		Males.	Females.	Total.	
Bass	98	123	221	102	620	621	1241	1049
Darwin	94	67	161	36	836	572	1408	1255
Denison	74	72	146	104	741	576	1317	1052
Franklin	168	112	280	105	918	742	1660	1453
Wilmot	96	100	196	49	881	759	1640	1378
Total	530	474	1004	396	3996	3270	7266	6187

TABLE 10.—*Valid Postal Votes in Parties.*

Division.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Total.
Bass	209	333	542
Darwin	127	228	355
Denison	398	319	717
Franklin	145	252	397
Wilmot	86	173	259
Total	965	1305	2270

TABLE 11.—*Summary of Absent and Postal Voting.*

	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.
Valid Absent Votes	5989	5961 (*a*)	5604 (*a*)	5398 (*a*)	5032 (*a*)	1875 (*b*)
Valid Votes under Section 116A	367	388	318	353	296	...
Valid Postal Votes	2270	2001	1154	591	658	640

(*a*) Voting outside subdivision in which enrolled. (*b*) Voting outside division in which enrolled.

Informal Ballot-Papers.

The proportion of informal ballot-papers to the total number of ballot-papers at the election of 1934 was lower than for the previous elections, but higher than for the earlier elections before the introduction of the compulsory voting. The higher proportion in later years was due, no doubt, to the number of inexperienced electors brought to the poll by compulsion.

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1909 is as follows:—

Table 12.—*Percentage of Informal Ballot-papers,* 1909-1934.

	Bass.	Darwin.	Denison.	Franklin.	Wilmot.	All Divisions.
1909	2·56	2·92	2·79	2·64	3·44	2·86
1912	2·63	2·97	2·67	2·78	3·26	2·85
1913	2·49	3·07	3·35	3·17	2·39	2·91
1916	3·88	5·49	5·63	6·52	5·53	5·66*
1919	3·33	4·36	3·02	3·34	6·06	3·96
1922	2·05	2·56	2·58	2·86	3·20	2·63
1925	1·82	2·51	2·12	2·25	2·40	2·22
1928	3·38	3·23	2·64	2·83	4·12	3·24
1931	3·10	3·27	3·45	3·05	2·87	3·44
1934	3·44	2·95	3·10	2·76	3·85	3·19

* The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.

TABLE 13.—*Elections held in Tasmania, 1903-1934—Informal Ballot-papers.*

General Elections.		Electorates Contested.	Date of Election.	Total Number of Ballot-papers.	Number of Informal Papers.	Percentage of Informal Papers.	Method of Marking Paper.
Federal.	State.						
				No.	No.	%	
...	Assembly	31	2. 4. 1903	23,261	345	1·48	Striking out all names but one
Senate.....	...	1 (all)	16. 12. 1903	37,021	1441	3·89	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5		37,013	1164	3·14	× opposite one name
...	Assembly	27	16. 3. 1906	40,322	487	1·21	Striking out all names but one
Senate.....	...	1	12. 12. 1906	48,879	2192	4·48	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	4		40,194	1583	3·94	× opposite one name
...	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1909	50,402	1442	2·86	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	13. 4. 1910	57,609	1893	3·29	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5			1447	2·51	× opposite one name
Referendum (Leg. Powers).....	...	...	26. 4. 1911	58,020	673	1·16	× opposite name
...	Assembly	5	30. 4. 1912	76,052	2166	2·85	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	23. 1. 1913	70,812	2035	2·87	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	31. 5. 1913	80,398	4927	6·13	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	5			2470	3·07	× opposite one name
Senate (Dissolution)	...	1	5. 9. 1914	82,253	3871	4·71	× opposite six names
Representatives.....	...	4		65,103	1549	2·38	× opposite name
...	Assembly	5	25. 3. 1916	78,984	4348	5·51	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	5. 5. 1917	82,608	3075	3·72	× opposite three names
Representatives.....	...	4		65,873	2021	3·07	× opposite name
...	Assembly	5	31. 5. 1919	71,064	2757	3·88	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	13. 12. 1919	65,716	6364	9·68	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives.....	...	5			3304	5·03	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	10. 6. 1922	69,752	1834	2·63	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	16. 12. 1922	51,220	4750	9·27	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives.....	...	5			3304	6·45	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	3. 6. 1925	77,281	1714	2·22	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate.....	...	1	14. 11. 1925	102,742	11,578	11·27	Preference 1 to 9
Representatives.....	...	5			5027	4·89	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	30. 5. 1928	91,679	2973	3·24	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	...	1	17. 11. 1928	106,153	16,694	15·73	Preference 1 to 6
Representatives	...	5			6842	6·45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	...	5	12. 10. 1929	110,927	2064	1·86	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	9. 5. 1931	112,779	3885	3·45	Preference 1, 2, 3
...	Assembly	5	9. 6. 1934	120,622	3855	3·19	Preference 1, 2, 3

Exhausted Ballot-papers.

Table 14.—*Votes Lost by Exhaustion.*

	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.	1916.	1913.	1912.
Bass	1364	114	278	485	1133	645	500	899	875
Darwin	687	428	331	914	191	454	461	655	531
Denison	1344	412	321	224	400	392	763	1212	65
Franklin	859	463	466	918	592	389	725	42	1160
Wilmot	1096	1043	1152	518	291	366	451	390	306
Total	5350	2460	2548	3059	2607	2246	2900	3198	2937
Percentage of Total Votes	4·6	2·3	2·9	4·0	3·8	3·3	3·9	4·7	4·0

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

Table 15.—*Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania since the Year* 1870.

Year.	Adult Persons (Estimated).	Estimated Mean Population.	Elected Parliamentary Representatives.	Electoral Divisions.	Constituencies.			Electors Enrolled.			Lower Range of Electors' Qualification to Franchise in Possession of—
					One-Member.	Two-Member.	Six-Member.	No.	Per Cent. to— Total Pop.	Per Cent. to— Adult Pop.†	
											A.—Adult Males.
1870...	48,210	100,038	30	30	30	...	...	11,171*	11·17	23·17	Property value of £7
1871...	48,820	101,393	32	32	32	...	...	13,801*	13·61	28·27	Ditto
1872...	49,380	102,467	32	32	32	...	...	14,001*	13·67	28·35	Salary £80 annually
1881...	55,750	116,280	32	32	32	...	...	15,659*	13·47	28·09	Ditto
1891...	73,260	147,969	36	28	20	8	...	25,932*	17·53	35·40	Salary or wage £60
1901...	86,490	172,525	38	29	20	9	...	39,002*	22·61	45·09	Ditto
1902...	87,810	175,173	35	35	35	...	...	39,495*	22·54	44·98	Manhood Suffrage
1906...	92,370	184,272	35	35	35	...	...	89,029	48·31	96·38	B.—Adult Suffrage
1908...	93,980	187,485	35	35	35	...	...	86,361	46·07	91·89	Ditto
1909...	100,070	190,227	30	5	...	...	5	98,230	51·64	98·18	Ditto
1911...	99,960	190,120	30	5	...	...	5	102,326	53·82	102·40	Ditto
1912...	100,400	190,769	30	5	...	...	5	103,513	54·27	103·10	Ditto
1913...	102,700	194,361	30	5	...	...	5	105,292	54·17	102·50	Ditto
1916...	102,900	194,265	30	5	...	...	5	107,321	55·25	104·30	Ditto
1919...	109,400	204,959	30	5	...	...	5	107,541	52·47	98·30	Ditto
1922...	116,400	214,000	30	5	...	...	5	110,549	51·66	94·96	Ditto
1925...	116,100	214,000	30	5	...	...	5	114,901	53·69	98·43	Ditto
1928...	115,300	224,000	30	5	...	...	5	111,956	52·31	95·92	Ditto
1931...	120,000	224,000	30	5	...	...	5	118,730	53·01	97·24	Ditto
1934...	...	229,800	30	5	...	...	5	127,681	55·56	98·24	Ditto

* Males only. † Since 1919 approximate only.

Voting in Subdivisions.

The following tables, 16 to 20, give for each division the number of first choices for each candidate at the ordinary poll in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the Independent candidates are grouped together, they must not be considered as a political party group, as in the case of the Labour and Nationalist parties.

TABLE 16.—*First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Bass.*

(Quota, 3335.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.																Votes.	
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.							Nationalist.										
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Davies.*	Hall. (a)	Lamp.	McDonald.*	Madden.	Shaw.*	Total Labour.	Hollingsworth.*	Jackson.	James.*	Murphy.	Ockerby.*	Postle.	Thomson.	Wright. (a)	Total Nationalist.	Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.
Flinders	290	214	504	256	182	438	237	1	3	2	1	2	246	11	37	60	18	10	32	6	4	178	424	14
George Town.....	309	259	568	252	213	465	229	6	6	13	1	14	269	32	40	41	38	6	4	20	5	186	455	10
Launceston, Central	1328	1592	2920	1114	1451	2565	323	31	78	139	159	458	1188	402	164	255	38	236	88	73	22	1278	2466	99
Launceston, East	2229	3192	5421	2016	2727	4743	521	56	223	212	226	514	1752	851	377	662	74	541	159	116	70	2850	4602	141
Launceston, North	2267	2462	4729	2025	2221	4246	880	149	508	253	229	636	2655	568	151	166	54	247	138	44	19	1387	4042	204
Launceston, West.....	1859	2215	4074	1663	1968	3631	464	38	163	247	302	709	1923	501	175	285	45	330	116	86	33	1571	3494	137
Lilydale.....	792	635	1427	707	549	1256	346	8	58	42	11	58	518	118	105	75	229	49	44	45	37	702	1220	36
Portland.....	462	368	830	412	317	729	316	2	4	41	9	29	401	66	28	88	20	11	23	39	20	295	696	33
Ringarooma.....	1223	904	2127	1069	790	1859	736	19	44	154	23	80	1056	100	48	143	224	26	56	117	55	769	1825	34
Scottsdale.....	771	729	1500	642	633	1275	327	5	48	37	9	34	460	76	42	133	437	17	29	16	16	766	1226	49
St. Leonards	738	722	1460	654	605	1259	256	16	75	73	51	136	607	157	66	126	50	87	54	43	21	604	1211	48
Absent Votes.....	...	...	...	532	517	1049	268	14	32	63	25	106	508	152	65	105	64	49	50	28	15	528	1036	13
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	49	53	102	21	3	4	9	8	19	64	18	7	2	1	5	5	3	...	36	100	2
Postal Votes	...	...	...	288	315	553	58	7	29	28	15	72	209	91	41	76	20	57	27	14	7	333	542	11
Total	12,268	13,292	25,560	11,629	12,541	24,170	4982	355	1270	1313	1069	2867	11,856	3138	1346	2217	1312	1671	825	650	324	11,483	23,339	831

* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposits, 2.

TABLE 17.—*First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Darwin.*

(Quota, 3403.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.																				Votes.	
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.						Nationalist.													Ind.		
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Belton.	D'Alton.*	Kelly.*	Lane.	McGrath.*	Total Labour.	Astell.	Butler.	Chamberlain.*	Edwards.*	Fenton.	Hamilton.	Hobbs. (a)	Horne.	McFie.	Marriott.*	Wright.	Total Nationalist.	Broad.	Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.
Circular Head	1864	1424	3288	1663	1256	2919	211	342	243	17	177	990	84	80	44	312	569	139	23	128	26	128	167	1700	105	2795	124
Devonport	1490	1676	3166	1329	1468	2797	59	77	94	628	33	891	240	36	137	217	15	28	23	30	772	152	85	1735	90	2716	81
Emu Bay	1876	1855	3731	1634	1592	3226	75	195	121	34	747	1172	107	62	83	268	33	104	22	272	57	355	142	1505	444	3121	105
Gormanston	320	200	520	255	173	428	6	204	162	1	2	375	7	4	...	8	2	...	...	1	...	16	2	40	1	416	12
Kentish	1437	1180	2617	1270	1034	2304	65	141	221	139	37	603	123	593	168	85	8	47	13	22	187	127	191	1564	117	2284	20
King Island	362	277	629	312	228	540	68	2	9	5	21	105	5	7	18	68	11	3	24	16	10	244	19	425	1	531	9
Leven	1785	1668	3453	1616	1475	3091	43	69	766	37	37	952	90	35	708	302	8	11	250	16	34	49	413	1918	129	2999	92
Penguin	712	654	1366	624	555	1179	32	17	130	5	35	219	19	23	183	72	32	12	36	32	32	53	171	665	252	1136	43
Queenstown	1368	921	2289	1212	804	2016	29	1489	135	12	15	1680	14	14	15	33	2	9	11	28	9	135	3	273	8	1961	55
Strahan	213	179	392	179	145	324	3	224	21	5	7	260	1	2	1	...	...	...	...	...	2	46	...	52	...	312	12
Table Cape	1561	1407	2968	1400	1219	2619	441	86	65	13	103	708	68	60	99	142	44	429	23	123	20	338	222	1568	266	2542	77
Waratah	399	261	660	357	234	591	31	60	269	5	55	420	9	8	4	41	5	3	...	11	12	29	2	124	20	564	27
Zeehan	564	473	1037	442	418	860	20	381	232	7	25	665	24	7	5	16	3	13	4	18	24	60	1	175	8	848	12
Absent Votes	...	...	...	732	523	1255	73	225	151	35	65	549	68	58	78	55	26	43	35	52	47	104	48	609	50	1208	47
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	17	19	36	5	4	3	...	5	17	2	3	...	1	1	...	...	...	...	2	2	11	3	31	5
Postal Votes	...	...	...	147	212	359	20	45	27	13	18	123	9	16	21	28	10	10	14	16	27	40	23	214	18	355	4
Total	13,941	12,175	26,116	13,189	11,355	24,544	1181	3561	2649	956	1382	9729	865	1008	1564	1648	769	851	478	767	1259	1878	1491	12,578	1512	23,819	725

* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposit, 1.

TABLE 18.—*First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Denison.*

(Quota 3292.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.																						Votes.	
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.								Ind. Lab.	Nationalist.							Independent.							
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Cosgrove.*	Culley.*	Dwyer-Gray.*	Geer. (a)	Mahoney.	White. (a)	Woods.	Total Labour	Jude. (a)	Addison.	Johnson.	Lewis.	Soundy. *	Turner. *	Young. (a)	Total Nationalist.	Carruthers. *	Jarvis. (a)	Leitch. (a)	Murdoch. (a)	Total Ind.	Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.
Hobart Central	1555	1623	3178	1333	1479	2812	558	344	225	37	399	76	80	1719	43	87	100	63	217	110	19	596	234	81	25	8	348	2706	106
Hobart East	984	1123	2107	837	980	1817	282	205	130	29	185	35	37	903	32	92	194	55	178	95	25	639	96	53	9	17	175	1749	68
Hobart North	2459	2945	5404	2205	2642	4847	755	567	335	110	443	82	259	2551	45	106	196	121	865	198	57	1543	431	93	17	14	555	4694	153
Hobart South	1735	2368	4103	1487	2042	3529	385	307	291	56	177	55	87	1358	63	232	310	197	462	310	41	1552	293	74	12	57	436	3409	120
Hobart West	1683	2052	3735	1422	1814	3236	819	282	222	51	124	37	108	1643	46	140	187	72	461	173	23	1056	280	59	19	22	380	3125	111
New Town	1873	2263	4163	1609	1980	3589	304	540	208	76	129	42	98	1397	48	120	222	213	710	274	101	1640	318	51	16	41	426	3511	78
Queenborough	1042	1305	2347	916	1149	2065	224	226	121	44	59	28	55	757	24	141	164	112	291	164	44	916	233	44	2	20	299	1996	69
Absent Votes	...	...	...	610	442	1052	142	97	101	11	66	26	25	468	17	47	109	64	144	54	13	431	75	25	4	10	114	1030	22
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	50	54	104	14	10	9	6	11	...	3	53	1	6	8	4	11	7	...	36	11	1	...	...	12	102	2
Postal Votes	...	...	...	257	467	724	178	87	20	2	68	...	18	373	1	30	44	36	150	34	5	299	24	10	7	3	44	717	7
Total	11,331	13,679	25,010	10,726	13,049	23,775	3661	2665	1662	422	1661	381	770	11,222	320	1001	1534	937	3489	1419	328	8708	1995	491	111	192	2789	23,039	736

* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposits, 7.

TABLE 19.—*First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Franklin.*

(Quota 3535.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.																Votes.	
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.					Nationalist.							Independent.					
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Brooker.*	Dwyer.*	Frost.	Ogilvie.*	Total Labour.	Baker.*	Beard. (a)	Evans. *	Harvey.	Seabrook.	Watkins.	Total Nationalist.	Murdoch.	Pearsall.*	Total Independent.	Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.
Clarence	1161	1280	2441	1017	1115	2132	163	93	65	545	866	288	72	137	152	103	124	876	197	145	342	2084	48
Esperance	1026	868	1894	923	745	1668	195	102	186	438	921	197	26	66	44	277	22	632	20	48	68	1621	47
Glenorchy	701	675	1376	589	590	1179	379	47	63	191	680	133	27	100	36	11	103	410	6	47	53	1143	36
Huon	1301	1149	2450	1172	995	2167	134	157	149	430	870	217	34	266	35	433	52	1037	24	164	188	2095	72
Kingborough	1329	1167	2496	1187	981	2168	65	202	302	360	929	196	55	100	41	92	60	544	39	607	646	2119	49
Moonah	2141	2227	4368	1926	1984	3910	755	245	157	1314	2471	366	91	169	118	81	391	1216	32	89	121	3808	102
Nelson	1721	2036	3757	1503	1766	3269	227	215	119	711	1272	701	182	265	251	111	189	1699	29	186	215	3186	83
New Norfolk	1533	1424	2957	1382	1203	2585	110	865	133	626	1734	294	34	93	44	48	114	627	32	83	115	2476	109
Port Cygnet	848	715	1563	761	639	1400	179	164	108	387	838	127	20	125	34	102	19	427	20	82	102	1367	33
Richmond	558	458	1016	480	393	873	65	85	37	277	464	94	29	90	18	53	51	265	79	43	122	851	22
Sorell	659	567	1226	597	483	1080	19	51	32	211	313	146	22	56	47	173	58	502	147	93	240	1055	25
Spring Bay	251	193	444	213	160	373	8	25	37	120	190	34	6	10	7	49	23	129	35	14	49	368	5
Tasman	431	315	746	392	272	664	39	82	39	173	333	56	14	45	13	49	18	195	48	70	118	646	18
Absent Votes	...	...	...	795	658	1453	125	164	95	432	816	208	36	109	51	90	80	574	46	92	138	1528	30
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	65	40	105																	
Postal Votes	...	...	...	149	257	406	18	31	16	80	145	78	10	53	11	37	22	211	14	27	41	397	9
Total	13,660	13,074	26,734	13,151	12,281	25,432	2481	2528	1538	6295	12,842	3135	658	1614	902	1709	1326	9344	768	1790	2558	24,744	688

* Elected. (*a*) Forfeited deposit, 1.

TABLE 20.—*First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Wilmot.*

(Quota, 3119.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.																		Votes.	
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.						Nationalist.											Ind. Lab.		
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Cosgrove.	Metz. (*a*)	Ogilvie.*	O'Keefe.*	Spurr.	Total Labour.	Atkinson.	Best.	Burbury.	Cameron.*	Campbell.*	Foster.	Lee.*	Lord.	Sattler. (*a*)	Wilson. (*a*)	Total Nationalist.	Becker.*	Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.
Beaconsfield	1094	999	2093	939	859	1798	46	10	164	193	21	434	40	27	10	49	891	18	123	50	9	25	1242	59	1735	63
Bothwell	423	339	762	339	276	615	26	4	192	60	10	292	29	17	44	6	29	38	63	9	10	10	255	32	579	36
Brighton	936	780	1716	803	663	1466	33	13	461	89	6	602	29	19	177	42	70	62	182	3	115	50	749	57	1408	58
Campbell Town	783	677	1460	685	580	1265	49	10	235	216	133	643	16	9	53	35	43	107	151	12	7	4	437	140	1220	45
Deloraine	1620	1446	3066	1488	1250	2738	25	19	229	122	432	827	70	342	16	568	149	127	326	119	45	2	1764	49	2640	98
Evandale	1558	1649	3207	1340	1377	2717	452	14	298	244	55	1063	116	121	25	136	407	134	304	104	14	16	1377	158	2598	119
Fingal	901	787	1688	811	698	1509	40	25	217	61	235	578	30	13	9	27	83	20	87	36	5	3	313	582	1473	36
Glamorgan	237	229	466	216	207	423	16	3	77	21	93	210	6	2	10	31	73	10	40	4	1	3	180	17	407	16
Hamilton	613	477	1090	494	386	880	29	19	322	65	...	435	39	39	29	8	29	43	124	12	4	33	360	48	843	37
Latrobe	1300	1301	2601	1151	1115	2266	91	13	356	122	32	614	293	130	11	107	98	23	259	576	6	2	1505	71	2190	76
Longford	1107	1114	2221	986	952	1938	61	10	390	138	90	689	51	123	15	27	125	116	516	54	13	23	1063	115	1867	71
Oatlands	940	788	1728	809	664	1473	62	5	295	74	17	453	46	20	226	34	101	53	155	11	25	228	899	69	1421	52
Westbury	1129	1034	2163	1021	905	1926	50	5	180	126	65	426	22	255	25	86	252	18	551	20	139	15	1383	56	1865	61
Absent Votes	...	...	...	735	643	1378	40	9	250	85	63	447	64	52	54	60	167	50	174	55	15	33	724	119	1290	88
Votes under Sec. 116A	...	...	...	21	28	49	...	1	12	1	4	18	1	...	...	3	3	1	3	...	...	1	12	1	31	18
Postal Votes	...	...	...	90	170	260	13	1	30	14	16	74	10	11	16	20	30	24	54	5	2	1	173	12	259	1
Total	12,641	11,620	24,261	11,928	10,773	22,701	1033	161	3708	1631	1272	7805	862	1180	720	1239	2550	844	3112	1070	410	449	12,436	1585	21,826	875

* Elected. (*a*) Forfeited deposits, 3.

TABLE 25. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF WILMOT.

Electors on Roll, 24,261. Valid Votes, 21,826. Quota, 3119. Informal Papers, 875.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.																			Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	LABOUR.						NATIONALIST.											INDEPENDENT LABOUR.					
	Cosgrove.	Metz.	Ogilvie.	O'Keefe.	Spurr.	Total Labour.	Atkinson.	Best.	Burbury.	Cameron.	Campbell.	Foster.	Lee.	Lord.	Sattler.	Wilson.	Total Nationalist.	Becker.	Total Independent Labour.				
1	1033	161	3708	1631	1272	7805	862	1180	720	1239	2550	844	3112	1070	410	449	12,436	1585	1585	....	....	21,826	*Ogilvie elected*
2	75	58	—589	325	51	509	2	2	3	3	6	3	5	4	4	2	34	37	37	....	9	....	Ogilvie's surplus dis.
3-4	1108	219	3119	1956	1323	....	864	1182	723	1242	2556	847	3117	1074	414	451	....	1622	....	....	9	21,826	
	37	—219	....	116	35	188	....	....	....	4	3	4	2	3	1	....	17	10	10	....	4	....	Metz excluded
5-7	1145	....	3119	2072	1358	....	864	1182	723	1246	2559	851	3119	1077	415	451	....	1632	....	....	13	21,826	*Lee elected*
	12	....	....	56	12	80	16	68	59	27	73	26	....	24	—415	32	325	6	6	1	3	....	Sattler excluded
8-11	1157	....	3119	2128	1370	....	880	1250	782	1273	2632	877	3119	1101	....	483	....	1638	....	1	16	21,826	
	6	....	....	29	27	62	20	35	121	37	91	56	....	42	....	—483	402	15	15	3	1	....	Wilson excluded
12-17	1163	....	3119	2157	1397	....	900	1285	903	1310	2723	933	3119	1143	....	....	....	1653	....	4	17	21,826	
	4	....	....	11	4	19	—900	230	67	75	157	47	....	100	....	....	676	195	195	8	2	....	Atkinson excluded
18-29	1167	....	3119	2168	1401	....	...	1515	970	1385	2880	980	3119	1243	....	....	....	1848	....	12	19	21,826	
	29	....	....	20	3	52	....	157	—970	227	252	167	....	36	....	....	839	41	41	35	3	....	Burbury excluded
30	1196	....	3119	2188	1404	....	....	1672	....	1612	3132	1147	3119	1279	....	....	....	1889	....	47	22	21,826	*Campbell elected*
	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	4	....	3	—13	3	....	....	....	....	10	....	....	1	2	....	Campbell's surplus dis.
31-51	1196	....	3119	2188	1404	....	....	1676	....	1615	3119	1150	3119	1279	....	....	....	1889	....	48	24	21,826	
	13	....	....	29	19	61	....	242	....	567	....	—1150	....	179	....	....	988	32	32	65	4	....	Foster excluded
52-74	1209	....	3119	2217	1423	....	....	1918	....	2182	3119	....	3119	1458	....	....	....	1921	....	113	28	21,826	
	—1209	....	....	848	158	1006	....	15	....	26	....	....	....	35	..	..	76	91	91	32	4	....	Cosgrove excluded
75-112	....	....	3119	3065	1581	....	....	1933	....	2208	3119	....	3119	1493	....	....	....	2012	....	145	32	21,826	
	....	....	....	71	40	111	....	576	....	618	....	....	....	—1493	....	....	1194	66	66	119	3	....	Lord excluded
113	....	....	3119	3136	1621	....	....	2509	....	2826	3119	....	3119	....	....	....	....	2078	....	264	35	21,826	*O'Keefe elected*
	....	....	....	—17	6	6	....	1	....	1	....	....	....	....	....	....	2	3	3	2	4	....	O'Keefe's surplus dis.
114-148	....	....	3119	3119	1627	....	....	2510	....	2827	3119	....	3119	....	....	....	....	2081	....	266	39	21,826	
	....	....	....	....	—1627	....	....	105	....	104	....	....	....	....	....	....	209	580	580	830	8	....	Spurr excluded
	....	....	3119	3119	....	....	....	2615	..	2931	3119	....	3119	....	....	....	....	2661	....	1096	47	21,826	Best excluded
	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	—2615	....	188	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	458	....	1969	....	....	*Cameron and Becker elected*
	....	....	3119	3119	....	....	....	....	....	3119	3119	....	3119	....	....	....	....	3119	....	3065	47	21,826	

NOTE.—The heavy line indicates the end of the official count.

WALTER E. SHIMMINS,
GOVERNMENT PRINTER, TASMANIA.

TABLE 24. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.

Electors on Roll, 26,734. Valid Votes, 24,744. Quota, 3535. Informal Papers, 688.

Count No.	CANDIDATES. LABOUR. Brooker.	Dwyer.	Frost.	Ogilvie.	Total Labour.	NATIONALIST. Baker.	Beard.	Evans.	Harvey.	Seabrook	Watkins.	Total Nationalist.	INDEPENDENT. Murdoch.	Pearsall.	Total Independent.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1	2481	2528	1538	6295	12,842	3135	658	1614	902	1709	1326	9344	768	1790	2558	....	....	24,744	*Ogilvie elected*
2	598	1028	792	—2760	2418	33	16	25	21	46	52	193	53	92	145	....	4	....	Ogilvie's surplus dis.
3	3079	3556	2330	3535	....	3168	674	1639	923	1755	1378	....	821	1882	....	....	4	24,744	*Dwyer elected*
	8	—21	10	....	18	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	3	....	Dwyer's surplus dis.
4-5	3087	3535	2340	3535	....	3168	674	1639	923	1755	1378	....	821	1882	....	....	7	24,744	
	45	....	34	....	79	233	—674	103	68	55	61	520	30	38	68	3	4	....	Beard excluded
6-8	3132	3535	2374	3535	....	3401	....	1742	991	1810	1439	....	851	1920	....	3	11	24,744	
	30	....	48	....	78	68	....	66	43	90	48	315	—851	450	450	4	4	....	Murdoch excluded
8-14	3162	3535	2422	3535	....	3469	....	1808	1034	1900	1487	....	....	2370	....	7	15	24,744	
	28	....	37	....	65	347	....	221	—1034	125	171	854	....	87	87	13	5	....	Harvey excluded
15	3190	3535	2459	3535	....	3816	....	2029	. .	2025	1658	....	....	2457	....	20	20	....	*Baker elected*
	10	....	....	....	10	—281	..	145	. .	49	52	246	....	17	17	4	4	....	Baker's surplus dis.
16-27	3200	3535	2459	3535	....	3535	....	2174	. .	2074	1710	....	....	2474	....	24	24	....	
	113	....	95	....	208	....	....	719	....	480	—1710	1199	....	233	233	64	6	....	Watkins excluded
28-46	3313	3535	2554	3535	....	3535	....	2893	....	2554	....	....	....	2707	....	88	30	....	
	109	....	160	....	269	....	....	1031	....	—2554	....	1031	....	711	711	531	12	....	Seabrook excluded
47	3422	3535	2714	3535	....	3535	....	3924		....	....	....	....	3418	....	619	42	....	*Evans elected*
	16	....	12	....	28	...	....	—389	....	....	....	....	....	129	129	230	2	....	Evans' surplus dis.
48	3438	3535	2726	3535	....	3535	....	3535	....	....	....	....	....	3547	....	849	44	....	*Pearsall elected*
	2	....	....	....	2	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	—12	....	10	....	....	Pearsall's surplus dis.
	3440	3535	2726	3535	...	3535	....	3535	...	....	....	....	....	3535	....	859	44	....	
49	95	....	—2726	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	2631	....	....	Frost excluded
	3535	3535	....	3535	....	3535	....	3535	....	....	....	....	....	3535	....	3490	44	....	*Brooker elected*

NOTE.—The heavy line indicates the end of the official count.

TABLE 23. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DENISON.

Electors on Roll, 25,010. Valid Votes, 23,039. Quota, 3292. Informal Papers, 736.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.																								Remarks.
	LABOUR								INDEPENDENT LABOUR.		NATIONALIST.							INDEPENDENT.					Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	
	Cosgrove.	Culley.	Dwyer-Gray.	Geer.	Mahoney.	White.	Woods.	Total Labour.	Jude.	Total Independent Labour.	Addison.	Johnson.	Lewis.	Soundy.	Turner.	Young.	Total Nationalist.	Carruthers.	Jarvis.	Leitch.	Murdoch.	Total Independent.				
1	**3661**	2665	1662	422	1661	381	770	11,222	320	320	1001	1534	937	**3489**	1419	328	8708	1995	491	111	192	2789	....	.. .	23,039	*Cosgrove and Soundy elected*
2	—369	185	84	13	30	13	14	339	3	3	1	3	1	....	1	....	6	8	4	....	1	13	....	8	....	Cosgrove's surplus dis.
3	3292 ..	2850 1	1746 1	435 ...	1691	394	784 2	 4	323	320	1001 24	1534 18	937 28	**3489** —197	1420 97	328 6	 173	2003 4	495 6	111	193 3	 13	....	8 7	23,039	Soundy's surplus dis.
4	3292	2851 4	1747 7	435 3	1691 3	394 4	786 2	 23	323 5	 5	1026 3	1555 6	966 7	3292	1517 14	334 5	 35	2007 22	501 17	111 —111	196 9	 48	....	15	23,039	Leitch excluded
5-8	3292	2855 10	1754 9	438 1	1694 6	398 3	788 7	 36	328 2	 2	1029 23	1561 26	973 12	3292	1531 41	339 12	 114	2029 28	518 21	.... ..	205 —205	 49	....	15 4	23,039	Murdoch excluded
9-13	3292 .	2865 91	1763 17	439 12	1700 40	401 13	795 49	 222	330 —330	....	1052 10	1587 26	985 7	3292	1572 14	351 2	 59	2057 32	539 13	....	....	 45	 1	19 3	23,039	Jude excluded
14-19	3292 ..	2956 8	1780 8	451 6	1740 4	414 2	844 12	 40	....	....	1062 44	1613 56	992 53	3292	1586 123	.... —353	 276	2089 13	552 19	....	....	 32	1 2	22 3	23,039	Young excluded
20-25	3292 ...	2964 104	1788 111	457 30	1744 42	416 —416	856 76	 363	....	.. ..	1106 6	1669 4	1045 5	3292	1709 4	....	 19	2102 22	571 9	....	....	 31	3 1	25 2	23,039	White excluded
26-35	3292 . ..	3068 219	1899 115	487 —487	1786 52	....	932 43	 429	....	....	1112 4	1673 9	1050 1	3292	1713 4	....	 18	2124 17	580 17	....	....	 34	4 2	27 4	23,039	Geer excluded
36-52	3292	3287 51	2014 50	. . ....	1838 30	....	975 37	 168	....	....	1116 57	1682 58	1051 41	3292	1717 116	. ....	 272	2141 133	597 —597	....	....	 133	6 19	31 5	23,039	Jarvis excluded
53-	3292 ..	3338 —46	2064 18	.... .	1868 12	....	1012 2	 32	....	....	1173	1740	1092 1	3292	1833	....	 1	2274 6	....	....	....	 6	25 1	36 6	23,039	*Culley elected* Culley's surplus dis.
54-80	3292	3292 ..	2082 503	.... ..	1880 244	....	1014 —1014	 747	....	....	1173 17	1740 41	1093 22	3292	1833 52	....	 132	2280 85	....	....	....	 85	26 43	42 7	23,039	Woods excluded
81-103	3292 ...	3292 .	2585 17	.. ....	2124 14	....	....	 31	....	.. ....	1190 216	1781 251	1115 —1115	3292	1885 566	....	 1033	2365 28	....	....	....	 28	69 19	49 4	23,039	Lewis excluded
104-135	3292 . ..	3292 . ..	2602 68	... ..	2138 12	....	....	 80	....	.. ..	1406 —1406	2032 554	....	3292	2451 511	....	 1065	2393 197	....	....	....	 197	88 58	53 6	23,039	Addison excluded
136-181	3292	3292 . .	2670 1479	. .. .	2150 2150	....	....	 1479	....	....	....	2586 68	....	3292	2962 61	....	 129	2590 123	....	....	....	 123	146 415	59 4	23,039	Mahoney excluded
182	3292	3292	4149 —857	... ..	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	2654 9	....	3292	3023 9	. .. .	 18	2713 53	....	....	....	 53	561 783	63 3	23,039	*Dwyer-Gray elected* Dwyer - Gray's surplus distributed
	3292	3292	3292	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	2663	....	3292	3032	....	....	2766	..	.. .	.	....	1344	66	23,039	
183	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	..	....	—2663	....	....	260	....	....	526	....	....	....	....	1877	....	....	Johnson excluded
	3292	3292	3292	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	3292	**3292**	....	....	**3292**	....	....	....	....	3221	66	23,039	*Turner and Carruthers elected*

NOTE.—The heavy line indicates the end of the official count.

TABLE 22. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DARWIN.

Electors on Roll, 26,116. Valid Votes, 23,819. Quota, 3403. Informal Papers, 725.

Count No.	CANDIDATES. LABOUR. Belton.	D'Alton.	Kelly.	Lane.	McGrath.	Total Labour.	NATIONALIST. Astell.	Butler.	Chamberlain.	Edwards.	Fenton.	Hamilton.	Hobbs.	Horne.	McFie.	Marriott.	Wright.	Total Nationalist.	INDEPENDENT. Broad.	Total Independent.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1	1181	3561	2649	956	1382	9729	865	1008	1564	1648	769	851	478	767	1259	1878	1491	12,578	1512	1512	....	....	23,819	*D'Alton elected*
2	18	—158	96	9	20	143	....	1	....	1	1	1	....	....	....	1	....	5	1	1	....	9	....	D'Alton's surplus dis.
3	1199	3403	2745	965	1402	....	865	1009	1564	1649	770	852	478	767	1259	1879	1491	....	1513	....	....	9	23,819	
	2	....	25	4	1	32	14	36	64	95	8	17	—478	16	32	85	72	439	7	7	....	....	....	Hobbs excluded
4-6	1201	3403	2770	969	1403	....	879	1045	1628	1744	778	869	....	783	1291	1964	1563	....	1520	....	....	9	23,819	
	38	....	65	6	26	135	121	39	26	65	—778	124	....	76	30	61	68	610	32	32	....	1	....	Fenton excluded
7-10	1239	3403	2835	975	1429	....	1000	1084	1654	1809	....	993	....	859	1321	2025	1631	....	1552	....	....	10	23,819	
	6	....	29	9	17	61	57	35	182	104	....	89	..	—859	43	161	84	755	42	42	1	....	....	Horne excluded
11-17	1245	3403	2864	984	1446	....	1057	1119	1836	1913	....	1082	....	..	1364	2186	1715	....	1594	....	1	10	23,819	
	119	....	589	—984	196	904	8	4	1	7	....	3	....	....	32	10	3	68	8	8	2	2	....	Lane excluded
18	1364	3403	3453	....	1642	....	1065	1123	1837	1920	....	1085	....	....	1396	2196	1718	....	1602	....	3	12	23,819	*Kelly elected*
	21	....	—50	....	23	44	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	6	....	Kelly's surplus dis.
19-26	1385	3403	3403	....	1665	....	1065	1123	1837	1920	....	1085	....	....	1396	2196	1718	....	1602	....	3	18	23,819	
	115	....	....	....	31	146	—1065	144	157	71	....	168	....	....	109	94	90	833	77	77	9	....	....	Astell excluded
27-39	1500	3403	3403	....	1696	....	....	1267	1994	1991	....	1253	....	....	1505	2290	1808	....	1679	....	12	18	23,819	
	62	....	....	....	101	163	....	91	231	188	....	—1253	....	....	95	148	185	838	119	119	32	1	....	Hamilton excluded
40-56	1562	3403	3403	....	1797	....	....	1358	2225	2179	....	....	....	....	1600	2438	1993	....	1798	....	44	19	23,819	
	32	....	....	....	35	67	....	—1358	299	203	....	....	....	....	289	202	209	1212	50	50	38	1	....	Butler excluded
57-80	1594	3403	3403	....	1832	....	....	....	2524	2382	....	....	....	...	1889	2640	2202	....	1848	....	82	20	23,819	
	—1594	....	....	....	890	890	....	....	53	72	....	....	....	....	64	93	32	314	248	248	136	6	....	Belton excluded
81-109	....	3403	3403	....	2722	....	....	....	2577	2454	....	....	....	....	1953	2733	2234	....	2096	....	218	26	23,819	
	....	....	....	....	58	58	....	....	214	485	....	....	....	....	—1953	723	290	1712	54	54	129	....	....	McFie excluded
110	..	3403	3403	....	2780	....	....	....	2791	2939	....	....	•	....	....	3456	2524	....	2150	....	347	26	23,819	*Marriott elected*
	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	12	6	....	....	....	....	....	—53	18	36	1	1	13	3	....	Marriott's surplus dis.
111-150	....	3403	3403	....	2780	....	....	....	2803	2945	....	....	....	....	....	3403	2542	....	2151	....	360	29	23,819	
	....	....	....	....	428	428	....	....	603	309	....	....	....	....	....	....	483	1395	—2151	....	326	2	....	Broad excluded
151	....	3403	3403	....	3208	....	....	....	**3406**	3254	....	....	....	....	....	3403	3025	....	....	..	686	31	23,819	*Chamberlain elected*
	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	—3	1	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	1	....	..	1	1	....	Chamberlain's surplus dis.
	....	3403	3403	....	3208	....	....	....	3403	3255	....	....	....	....	....	3403	3025	....	....	....	687	32	23,819	
	....	....	....	....	195	195	....	....	....	148	....	....	....	....	....	....	—3025	148	....	....	2682	....	....	Wright excluded
	....	3403	3403	....	**3403**	....	....	....	3403	**3403**	....	....	....	....	....	3403	....	....	..	....	3869	32	23,819	*Edwards and McGrath elected*

NOTE.—The heavy line indicates the end of the official count.

TABLE 21. RESULT—SHEET DIVISION OF BASS.

Electors on Roll, 25,560. Valid Votes, 23,339. Quota, 3,335. Informal Papers, 831.

Count No.	CANDIDATES. LABOUR. Davies.	Hall.	Lamp.	McDonald.	Madden.	Shaw.	Total Labour.	NATIONALIST. Hollingsworth.	Jackson.	James.	Murphy.	Ockerby.	Postle.	Thomson.	Wright.	Total Nationalist.	Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
1	4982	355	1270	1313	1069	2867	11,856	3138	1346	2217	1312	1671	825	650	324	11,483	....	....	23,339	*Davies elected*
2	—1647	304	226	278	140	422	1370	100	44	24	28	21	32	15	8	272	..	5	....	Davies' surplus dis.
3-4	3335	659 2	1496 4	1591 1	1209	3289 10	 17	3238 69	1390 28	2241 40	1340 39	1692 47	857 56	665 30	332 —332	 309	.... ..	5 6	23,339	Wright excluded
5-7	3335	661 —661	1500 284	1592 80	1209 63	3299 100	 527	3307 31	1418 58	2281 12	1379 2	1739 13	913 2	695 6	....	 124	 4	11 6	23,339	Hall excluded
8	3335	....	1784 20	1672 10	1272 27	3399 —64	 57	3338	1476 ..	2293 ..	1381 1	1752 1	915 ...	701	.. ....	 2	4 1	17 4	23,339	*Shaw and Hollingsworth elected* Shaw's surplus dis.
9	3335	....	1804	1682	1299 ..	3335	....	3338 —3	1476 1	2293	1382	1753	915	701	.. ..	 1	5	21 2	23,339	Hollingsworth's surplus dis.
10-14	3335	....	1804 11	1682 28	1299 24	3335	 63	3335	1477 125	2293 131	1382 103	1753 99	915 161	701 —701	....	 619	5 13	23 6	23,339	Thomson excluded
15-22	3335	....	1815 45	1710 34	1323 34	3335	 113	3335	1602 261	2424 181	1485 180	1852 308	1076 —1076	....	....	 930	18 25	29 8	23,339	Postle excluded
23-32	3335	....	1860 518	1744 663	1357 —1357	3335	 1181	3335	1863 34	2605 17	1665 24	2160 59	....	....	....	 134	43 31	37 11	23,339	Madden excluded
33-51	3335	....	2378 26	2407 54	....	3335	 80	3335	1897 413	2622 508	1689 —1689	2219 615	....	....	....	 1536	74 61	48 12	23,339	Murphy excluded
52-82	3335	....	2404 167	2461 130	....	3335	 297	3335	2310 —2310	3130 523	....	2834 676	....	....	....	 1199	135 808	60 6	23,339	Jackson excluded
83	3335	....	2571 23	2591 23	....	3335	 46	3335	....	3653 —318	....	3510	....	....	....	....	943 271	66 1	23,339	*James and Ockerby elected* .. James' surplus dis.
84	3335	....	2594 14	2614 10	....	3335	 24	3335	....	3335	....	3510 —175	....	....	....	....	1214 150	67 1	23,339	Ockerby's surplus dis.
85	3335	....	2608 —2608	2624 711	....	3335	 711	3335	....	3335	....	3335	....	....	....	....	1364 1897	68	23,339	Lamp excluded
	3335	....	....	3335	....	3335	....	3335	....	3335	....	3335	....	....	....	....	3261	68	....	*McDonald elected*

NOTE.—The heavy line indicates the end of the official count.