

(No. 34.)

TASMANIA

THE PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION

20th FEBRUARY, 1937

(WITH STATISTICS OF EARLIER ELECTIONS HELD IN  
TASMANIA)


AND

RESULTS OF LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS HELD IN  
1935, 1936, AND 1937.

BY

EDWARD PARKES, M.V.O., I.S.O., Chief Electoral Officer for the  
State of Tasmania.

*Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command.*



TASMANIA

H. H. PIMBLETT, GOVERNMENT PRINTER, TASMANIA.

1937

**REPORT ON GENERAL ELECTION HELD ON THE  
20th FEBRUARY, 1937, AND LEGISLATIVE  
COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1935, 1936, AND 1937.**

SIR,

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report on the Parliamentary Elections held during the past three years.

**HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY.**

The House of Assembly was dissolved by the Governor on 14th January, 1937, and the following dates were fixed for the purposes of the Election:—

Issue of Writs .....	18th January, 1937
Nominations .....	25th January, 1937
Poll .....	20th February, 1937

The writs were returned on the 4th March, 1937, from which date the life of the Parliament commenced.

The nominations totalled 67 (Bass, 13; Darwin, 14; Denison, 14; Franklin, 12; and Wilmot, 14), the figures for the previous General Elections being:—

1922 .....	77
1925 .....	74
1928 .....	72
1931 .....	70
1934 .....	77

The enrolment for the various Divisions, and the total number of votes recorded, is shown hereunder:—

Division.	Electors Enrolled.	Number of Votes Recorded.	Percentage.
Bass .....	26,112	24,490	93·8
Darwin .....	27,011	25,342	93·8
Denison .....	26,346	24,774	94·0
Franklin .....	27,530	26,385	95·8
Wilmot .....	25,002	23,469	93·9
	132,001	124,460	94·3

The total enrolment shows an addition since the last General Election of 4320, with an increase of 3838 in the votes recorded on this occasion. The percentage of votes for electors enrolled was 94·3 per cent., which corresponds closely to the figure of 94·5 per cent. recorded at the 1934 Election. The effects of the compulsory voting requirements of the Electoral Act are now sufficiently established to indicate that the maximum vote which can be expected in all divisions is about 94 per cent. This leaves an average of over 1500 electors in each division unaccounted for on polling day. A good deal of attention has been devoted to this aspect of the electoral administration, and the time has now arrived when returning officers are justified in insisting on a strict compliance with the compulsory voting provisions, the terms of which should now be widely understood.

Included in the non-voters in every division are groups of people who decline to vote on account of conscientious or religious scruples. These electors are a source of concern to the electoral administration, since there is no guide as to the attitude of Parliament upon a question of this nature. The

matter on this occasion was examined at considerable length, and, after consultation with the law officers of the Crown, it was decided that conscientious objectors fall within two categories:

- (a) Those who refuse to vote at any election, or on any day; and
- (b) Those who regard Saturday as their Sabbath day; who will not vote on that particular day, but who will vote on any other day.

The conclusion arrived at by the Department's legal advisers was that no general exemption was permissible under the law to those who decline to comply with the statute, but there was a good deal to be said for those who will vote on any day other than their Sabbath. This is the position so far as the electoral administration is concerned, and it must remain so until Parliament sees fit to alter the existing law. A recommendation will go forward to the Government that there should be added to the reasons which entitle an elector to vote by post a clause to the following effect:—

“That I do not wish, on account of religious convictions, to vote personally on polling day.”

The electors in Group (b) will, if this proposal is approved, and the law amended accordingly, be entitled to claim a postal vote, which it is understood, they are quite willing to do.

There is no solution of the remaining difficulty at present. It may be appropriate to record here that the general policy of the electoral administration has been to apply the compulsory voting provisions temperately, and it cannot be said that electors have been harassed by departmental officers since the compulsory provisions came into effect in 1931. At the same time, we are unable to accept the position that there is some wide authority vested in the Chief Electoral Officer to grant wholesale exemptions to groups of persons coming within certain categories.

#### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL.

In previous reports on Parliamentary elections in this State, attention has been directed to the serious difficulties which arise from the unsatisfactory nature of the boundaries of the Legislative Council Divisions.

When Parliament, in 1930, amended the provisions relating to Legislative Council enrolment, a change from the automatic compilation of the electoral rolls from the assessment rolls was brought about, and electors from that time were required to carry out the very reasonable duty of signing a claim card. This change has made a marked improvement in the rolls, and was welcomed by electoral officials.

When we come to consider the question of boundaries, however, a different position is found to exist. The electoral administration has endeavoured, over a number of years, to have this position rectified, but without result. It is almost impossible, in some instances, for returning officers to determine the divisions for which electors should be enrolled: for example, the Division of Mersey contains parts of no less than five municipalities; the Division of Westmorland contains part of six municipalities, but not one whole municipality. Even with the claim-card system, returning officers are still dependent to a large extent on the assessment rolls, since annual values, either occupancy or ownership, are the basis of the council rolls. For this reason the adoption of the principle—a principle which is easily defined and readily understood—that a group of municipalities should form a Legislative Council Division is much to be desired. It is clear that any action to bring about a reform of this nature is one which is outside the functions of the electoral administration, as the problem could be handled only by a Boundaries Commission or by a Select Committee of the Council.

As regards the disparity in the enrolment strength of the divisions, the following table will indicate the present position:—

ENROLMENT: LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL DIVISIONS.

Buckingham .....	6,359
Cambridge .....	1,480
Derwent .....	1,915
Gordon .....	1,185
Hobart .....	6,433
Huon .....	3,227
Launceston .....	5,317
Macquarie .....	1,754
Meander .....	2,202
Mersey .....	3,927
Pembroke .....	2,085
Russell .....	2,982
South Esk .....	2,771
Tamar .....	2,170
Westmorland .....	3,173
	46,980

It is fitting that I should record appreciation of the services of the Assistant Chief Electoral Officer (Mr. C. A. Blakney). Acknowledgment is also made of the efficient work of the divisional returning officers of the Council and of the Assembly. The Department is also indebted to the Deputy Statistician (Mr. H. J. Exley), who was good enough to arrange for the compilation of the several statistical tables appended to this report.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your obedient Servant,

E. PARKES,

Chief Electoral Officer.

The Honourable the Chief Secretary.

## Appendix A.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1935.

## DIVISIONS OF HOBART, PEMBROKE, AND MEANDER.

Issue of Writ ..... 8th April, 1935  
 Nominations ..... 15th April, 1935  
 Poll ..... 7th May, 1935  
 Return of Writ ..... 17th May, 1935

*Division of Hobart.*

Electors enrolled, 6477. Electors who voted, 5707. Percentage of Electors who voted 88.1 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. W. B. Propsting.

Candidates.		Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Heerey.	Propsting.			
2778	2832	97	5707	Hon. W. B. Propsting elected

*Division of Pembroke.*

Hon. James Murdoch returned unopposed.

*Division of Meander.*

Electors enrolled, 2281. Electors who voted, 1904. Percentage of Electors who voted 83.4 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. H. A. Nicholls.

Candidates.				Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Best.	Butler.	Nicholls.	Russell.			
592 159	388 40	560 135	334 334	30 ...	1904 ...	Russell excluded
751 230	428 —428	695 198	... ...	... ...	... ...	Butler excluded
981	...	893	...	...	...	Hon. P. C. Best elected

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL BY-ELECTION, 1935.

## DIVISION OF PEMBROKE.

*Death of Hon. James Murdoch, 27th October, 1935.*

Issue of Writ ..... 28th November, 1935  
 Nominations ..... 5th December, 1935  
 Poll ..... 17th December, 1935  
 Return of Writ ..... 24th December, 1935

Electors enrolled, 2013. Electors who voted, 1682. Percentage of electors who voted, 83.5 per cent.

Candidates.					Exhaust.	Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Blacklow.	Dunbabin.	McKay.	Murdoch.	Watkins.				
575 35	349 15	283 25	356 29	104 —104	...	15 ...	1682 ...	Watkins excluded
610 56	364 89	308 —308	385 163	...	...	15 ...	1682 ...	McKay excluded
666 153	453 —453	...	548 287	...	...	15 ...	1682 ...	Dunbabin excluded
819		...	835	...	13	15	1682	Hon. John Murdoch elected

### LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1936.

#### DIVISIONS OF HUON, LAUNCESTON, AND MERSEY.

Issue of Writ .....	15th April, 1936
Nominations .....	22nd April, 1936
Poll .....	5th May, 1936
Return of Writ .....	15th May, 1936

#### Division of Huon.

Electors enrolled, 3225. Electors who voted, 2747. Percentage of electors who voted, 85.1 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. W. H. F. Calvert.

Candidates.			Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Calvert.	Ceabrook.	Parsons.			
1002 361	626 —626	1082 265	37 ...	2747 ...	Ceabrook excluded
1363	...	1347	37	2747	Hon. W. H. F. Calvert elected

#### Division of Launceston.

Electors enrolled, 5379. Electors who voted, 4345. Percentage of electors who voted, 80.7 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. Tasman Shields.

Candidates.		Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Evans.	Shields.			
2160	1994	191	4345	Hon. A. A. Evans elected

*Division of Mersey.*

Electors enrolled, 3995. Electors who voted, 2359. Percentage of electors who voted, 59 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. A. Lillico.

Candidates.		Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Broad.	Lillico.			
668	1630	61	2359	Hon. Alexander Lillico elected

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1937.

## DIVISIONS OF DERWENT, TAMAR, AND WESTMORLAND.

Issue of Writ ..... 12th April, 1937  
 Nominations ..... 20th April, 1937  
 Poll ..... 4th May, 1937  
 Return of Writ ..... 14th May, 1937

*Division of Derwent.*

Electors enrolled, 2029. Electors who voted, 1552. Percentage of electors who voted, 76.4 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. L. M. Shoobridge.

Candidates.			Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Shoobridge.	Warner.	Witzerman.			
935	422	175	20	1552	Hon. R. O. Shoobridge elected

*Division of Tamar.*

Electors enrolled, 2161. Electors who voted, 1918. Percentage of electors who voted, 90 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. E. W. Freeland.

Candidates.					Exhaust.	Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Cowie.	Cutts.	Freeland.	Luck.	Robinson.				
236	469	504	242	445	...	22	1919	Cowie excluded
—236	64	55	49	68	...	...	...	
	533	559	291	513	..	...	1919	Luck excluded
	126	79	—291	86	...	...	...	
	659	638	...	599	...	...	1919	Robinson excluded
	256	264	...	599	...	...	...	
	915	902	...	...	79	...	...	Hon. A. T. Cutts elected

*Division of Westmorland.*

Electors enrolled, 3149. Electors who voted, 2790. Percentage of electors who voted, 89.6 per cent. Retiring Member: Hon. J. W. Cheek.

Candidates.				Informal.	Total.	Remarks.
Caswell.	Cheek.	Higgins.	Postle.			
510 84	1238 115	745 98	297 —297	32 ...	2822 ...	Postle excluded
594 —150	1353 86	843 61	... ...	32 ...	2822 ...	Caswell excluded (150 Primary Votes distributed)
414	*1439	907	...	32	2822	Hon. J. W. Cheek elected

\* Absolute majority.



## Appendix B.

STATISTICAL TABLES—GENERAL ELECTION, 20TH FEBRUARY, 1937  
(WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS).TABLE 1.—*Electors, Voters, and Informal Votes—General Election, 20th February, 1937.*

	Estimated Population, 31st March, 1937.	Electors on Roll.		Votes Recorded.		Informal Votes.		Valid Votes Recorded.
		Number.	Per 1000 of Population.	Number.	Percentage on Electors.	Number.	Percentage on Votes Recorded.	
Bass.....	43,850	26,112	595	24,490	93·8	570	2·33	23,920
Darwin .....	49,980	27,011	540	25,342	93·8	658	2·60	24,684
Denison.....	43,926	26,346	599	24,774	94·0	496	2·00	24,278
Franklin .....	49,875	27,530	552	26,385	95·8	596	2·26	25,789
Wilnot .....	45,560	25,002	549	23,469	93·9	677	2·88	22,792
Total.....	233,191	132,001	566	124,460	94·3	2997	2·41	121,463

The number of votes recorded is the number of votes, formal and informal, that were admitted to the scrutiny. Up to 1922 this number did not differ much from the number of ballot-papers issued, but in 1922, and subsequently, owing to amendments of the Act and regulations, a large number of persons filled in voting-papers on the claim that their names should be on the roll. The majority of these claims were rejected, and the attempted votes are not included in the tables submitted herein.

The number of electors on the roll in relation to the population in the several divisions must be accepted as approximate only, because of the interval since the last census. Generally, the proportion of electors varies with the proportion of females among the electors. In Denison and Bass, for instance, where female electors are in the majority, the proportions of electors to the population are highest. Where women electors are more numerous than male there is likely to be a larger proportion of unmarried females, and consequently a smaller proportion of children, or, inversely, a more adult population.

TABLE 2.—*Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since 1922.*

	20th Feb., 1937.	9th June, 1934.	9th May, 1931.	30th May, 1928.	3rd June, 1925.	10th June, 1922.
Estimated Population .....	233,191	229,161	224,800	215,500	215,500	215,400
Electors on Roll—						
Male.....	66,223	63,841	59,024	55,058	56,667	54,958
Female.....	65,778	63,840	59,706	56,898	58,234	55,591
Total.....	132,001	127,681	118,730	111,956	114,901	110,549
Males—per cent. of total.....	50·2	50·0	49·7	49·2	49·3	49·7
Electors per 1000 of population.....	566	557	528	519	533	513
Votes Recorded (including informal votes) —						
Male.....	62,880	60,623	56,674	46,769	41,322	38,457
Female.....	61,580	59,999	56,105	44,910	35,959	31,295
Total.....	124,460	120,622	112,779	91,679	77,281	69,752
Males—per cent. of total.....	50·5	50·3	50·2	51·0	53·5	55·1
Voters—Percentage to Electors on Roll—						
Male.....	94·8	95·0	96·0	84·94	72·92	70·0
Female.....	93·6	94·0	94·0	78·94	61·75	56·3
Total.....	94·3	94·5	95·0	81·90	67·26	63·1
Valid votes recorded.....	121,463	116,767	108,894	88,706	75,567	67,918
Informal Votes—						
Number.....	2997	3855	3885	2973	1714	1834
Percentage to votes recorded.....	2·41	3·19	3·45	3·24	2·22	2·63
Number of candidates.....	67	77	70	72	74	77
Number of deposits forfeited.....	13	14	16	12	17	19
Number of members elected at first count.....	7	6	6	7	6	2
Total number of counts.....	349	616	497	452	381	439
Greatest number of counts for one division.....	81	183	193	126	118	160

The census of 1933 showed the proportion of adults to be 581 per 1000 of the total population of Tasmania, and, in view of the declining birth rate, this proportion might be slightly higher now, so that the proportion of electors on the roll as at the 20th February, 1937 (560 per 1000 of population) would appear to be very nearly correct.

The high proportion of electors who voted (94·3 per cent.) was the result of the compulsory provisions of the Act, which applied to a State election for the first time in 1931, though compulsory voting was earlier a feature of elections for the Commonwealth Parliament. The increase in the proportion of voters to electors on the roll at the election of the 30th May, 1928, as compared with previous years, was, no doubt, due to the widespread impression, derived from the Commonwealth election, that voting at State elections also was compulsory.

The proportion of informal votes (2·41 per cent.) was the lowest since 1925.

The number of candidates (67) was not greatly different from the corresponding figures in 1934, but the number of counts (349) was 267 less.

Male electors, in conformity with the sex distribution of the population, were slightly in a majority (50·2 per cent.), and, as voters, they were also in a majority (50·5 per cent.).

TABLE 3.—*Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections.*

	Electors on Roll—Male.					Voters—Male.				
	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.
Bass.....	12,591	12,263	11,253	10,406	10,583	11,824	11,629	10,691	8,722	7,806
Darwin.....	14,465	13,941	13,195	12,195	12,174	13,732	13,189	12,390	10,573	9,226
Denison.....	11,956	11,331	10,533	10,009	11,075	11,277	10,736	10,055	8,607	8,257
Franklin.....	14,090	13,660	12,310	11,634	11,918	13,609	13,151	12,039	9,840	8,457
Wilmot.....	13,121	12,641	11,733	10,814	10,917	12,438	11,928	11,199	9,027	7,576
Total.....	66,223	63,841	59,024	55,058	56,667	62,880	60,623	56,674	46,769	41,322

	Electors on Roll—Female.					Voters—Female.				
	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.
Bass.....	13,521	13,292	12,438	11,886	11,768	12,666	12,541	11,738	9,042	7,401
Darwin.....	12,546	12,175	11,498	10,817	10,845	11,610	11,355	10,809	8,800	7,006
Denison.....	14,390	13,679	13,048	12,615	13,589	13,197	13,049	12,429	10,343	8,764
Franklin.....	13,440	13,071	11,970	11,334	11,575	12,776	12,281	11,137	8,806	6,549
Wilmot.....	11,881	11,620	10,752	10,216	10,457	11,031	10,773	9,992	7,919	6,239
Total.....	65,778	63,840	59,706	56,898	58,234	61,508	59,999	56,105	44,910	35,959

	Electors on Roll—Both Sexes.					Voters—Both Sexes.				
	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.
Bass.....	26,112	25,560	23,691	22,292	22,351	24,490	24,170	22,429	17,764	15,207
Darwin.....	27,011	26,116	24,693	23,012	23,019	25,342	24,544	23,499	19,373	16,232
Denison.....	26,346	25,010	23,581	22,654	24,664	24,774	23,775	22,484	18,950	17,021
Franklin.....	27,530	26,734	24,280	22,968	23,493	26,385	25,432	23,176	18,616	15,006
Wilmot.....	25,002	24,261	22,485	21,030	21,374	23,469	22,701	21,191	16,946	13,815
Total.....	132,001	127,681	118,730	111,956	114,901	124,460	120,622	112,779	91,679	77,281

The result of the alteration of the boundaries of electoral districts, which came into operation for the 1925 election, is shown in the more equal numbers in the five districts. In the 1922 election the largest number of electors in a district exceeded the smallest by 63 per cent.; in 1937 the greatest excess was less than 11 per cent. Franklin has now most electors, and Wilmot fewest.

TABLE 4.—Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since 1909.

General Election.		Percentage of Electors Voting.		
		Male.	Female.	Total.
State,	30.4.1909.....	60.7	43.7	52.6
Federal,	13.4.1910.....	64.8	51.5	58.5
Referendum,	26.4.1911.....	61.3	51.6	56.7
State,	30.4.1912.....	77.0	69.7	73.5
State,	23.1.1913.....	"	"	67.2
Federal,	31.5.1913.....	79.0	70.8	75.0
Federal,	5.9.1914.....	81.3	73.7	77.6
State,	25.3.1916.....	76.1	71.0	73.6
Federal,	5.5.1917.....	82.0	70.0	76.0
State,	31.5.1919.....	69.6	62.6	66.1
Federal,	31.12.1919.....	65.1	52.3	58.7
State,	10.6.1922.....	70.0	56.3	63.1
Federal,	16.12.1922.....	53.4	38.0	45.6
State,	3.6.1925.....	72.0	61.8	67.3
Federal,	14.11.1925.....	89.5	88.0	88.7
State,	30.5.1928.....	84.9	78.9	81.9
Federal,	12.10.1929.....	96.3	94.2	95.2
State,	9.5.1931.....	96.0	94.0	95.0
Federal,	19.12.1931.....	96.5	96.2	96.4
State,	9.6.1934.....	95.0	94.0	94.5
Federal,	15.9.1934.....	96.2	95.3	95.8
State,	20.2.1937.....	94.8	93.6	94.3

The above table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1909, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1925. The proportion of voters, on the whole, increased greatly from 1909 to 1914, remained steady until 1917, then fell away until 1922, but shows some recovery in 1925. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the last six elections are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State.

## REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES.

The election of the 20th February, 1937, drew 67 candidates, of whom 28 were endorsed nominees of the Labour Party, 31 were nominated by the Nationalist Party, and eight described themselves as Independent.

The result was the return of 12 Nationalists, 18 Labour, and no Independents.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the three groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows:—

TABLE 5.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1937.

	Labour.	Nationalist.	Independent.	Total.
Bass .....	15,105	8,204	611	23,920
Darwin .....	14,179	10,377	128	24,684
Denison .....	14,241	8,403	1,634	24,278
Franklin .....	15,111	10,678	—	25,789
Wilmot .....	12,627	9,542	623	22,792
Total .....	71,263	47,204	2996	121,463

The method of election is briefly the "single transferable vote" system, and the law provides that a seat shall be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:—

$$\frac{\text{Number of valid ballot-papers}}{\text{Number to be elected} + 1} + 1 = \text{Quota.}$$

Any remainder is ignored.

For the election of a "House" of 30 members, there will therefore be 35 theoretical quotas, which, however, because of "exhaust" votes, will not become complete,

Following the precedent of earlier reports, an attempt will be made to weigh the ultimate voting strength of the two main parties by allotting to each faction the votes received by the Independent candidates according to the party predilections of their supporters, so far as these can be ascertained, and to give broadly the representation secured by each party. The distribution of these votes is dealt with later.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes (attributed to each party after allocating the votes of the unsuccessful Independent candidates), the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:—

TABLE 6.—Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1937.

Division.	Labour.			Nationalist.			Independent.		
	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.	First Preferences.	No. of Quotas.	Seats Won.
Bass.....	15,494	4.53	4	8,426	2.47	2	—	—	—
Darwin ...	14,229	4.04	3	10,455	2.96	3	—	—	—
Denison ...	15,298	4.41	1	8,980	2.59	2	—	—	—
Franklin...	15,111	4.10	1	10,678	2.90	2	—	—	—
Wilmot ...	13,002	3.90	3	9,790	3.01	3	—	—	—
Total ...	73,131	21.07	18	48,329	13.93	12	—	—	—

\* Independent candidates polled 2996 No. 1 preference votes but in this table these have been allotted as described hereafter.

A standard of representation is furnished by the fact that the number of members for the State as a whole (30) is 14.3 per cent. below the number of quotas (35). The number of quotas of votes allotted to the Labour Party throughout the State was 21.07, but the seats won were 14.6 per cent. less (18), whereas the seats obtained by the Nationalists (12) were 13.2 per cent. below the quotas of first preference votes. In other words, there were 3.07 quotas of Labour votes made up of unrepresented fractions of 18 members elected, and 1.93 quotas of Nationalist votes unrepresented for 12 members elected.

The disparity between the number of quotas and the number of seats won was most prominent in Darwin, where, with four quotas of first votes, the Labour Party secured only three seats, while, with less than three quotas, the Nationalists secured three seats. This result cannot be ascribed to less solid voting on the part of Labour supporters in casting their subordinate preferences, because, as will be stated later, the cross votes amounted to practically 5 per cent. for both parties.

The following table shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labour and non-Labour groups at each general election since 1919. Independent votes have been distributed as described elsewhere in the context, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

TABLE 7.—Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1919-1937.

Division.	1919		1922*		1925		1928		1931*		1934		1937	
	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Non-Labour.	Labour.
Bass—														
Quotas .....	1.35	2.55	3.65	2.77	3.30	3.70	3.18	3.82	4.40	2.60	3.44	3.56	2.17	4.53
Seats won ...	1	2	3*	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	2	4
Darwin—														
Quotas .....	3.68	3.32	3.95	3.05	3.40	3.60	3.73	3.27	1.52	2.48	1.01	2.96	2.96	4.04
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3	3	3
Denison—														
Quotas .....	3.90	3.10	1.22	2.78	3.00	4.00	3.07	3.93	4.00	3.00	2.80	3.59	2.59	4.41
Seats won ...	3	3	3	3	2	4	3	3	4	2	2*	2	2	4
Franklin—														
Quotas .....	3.86	3.14	4.29	2.71	3.44	3.56	3.95	3.05	4.02	2.27	2.86	3.63	2.90	4.10
Seats won ...	3	3	4	2	3	3	4	2	3*	2*	2*	3*	2	4
Wilnot—														
Quotas .....	4.89	2.11	5.08	1.92	3.77	3.23	3.36	3.64	4.54	2.46	3.99	3.01	3.01	3.99
Seats won ...	4	2	4	2	3	3	3	3	4	2	3	3*	3	3
All—														
Quotas .....	20.68	14.32	21.19	13.23	16.91	18.09	17.29	17.71	21.48	12.81	17.13	16.75	13.93	21.07
Seats won ...	17	13	17*	12*	14	16	16	14	19*	10*	13	15	12	18

\* Omitting the votes for one Independent candidate who was elected.

Other examples of unequal representation, similar to that which occurred in Denison in 1931, and already alluded to, will be found throughout the period covered by the above table. The most striking case, in its effect on the representation of parties throughout the whole electorate of the State, was in 1922. In that year the non-Labour party had 4.19 unrepresented fractional quotas against Labour's 1.23. This distribution nearest to equality required that non-Labour should have one more member and Labour one less, though if two more members had gone to non-Labour and two less to Labour the disparity would not have been serious. In that year the non-Labour party lost by unrepresented fractions in every division, whereas the losses of the Labour party in some divisions were counterbalanced by gains in others. A similar inequality, though less serious, occurred in 1919 by larger fractional losses to the non-Labour party in most divisions.

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each division, which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates or on the number of uniform quotas and the number of seats won.

*Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won.*

*(The State as a Single Electorate.)*

	No. 1 Preferences.	Seats in Proportion.	Seats Won.
Labour .....	73,134	18.06	18
Nationalist .....	48,329	11.94	12

The preferential system of voting therefore resulted in a representation as indicated by the No. 1 preferences, and secured the true proportional representation of both parties.

The results given in Table 7 show no case where the difference between the actual representation and the theoretically requisite representation has prejudiced the position of either party in Parliament.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held under the present law in the following table:—

TABLE 8.—*Representation of Parties for the Whole State, 1912-1937.*  
(Seats in Proportion of No. 1 Preferences, and Seats Actually Won.)

		Non-Labour.	Labour.			Non-Labour.	Labour.
1912.....	Proportionate share .....	16·35	13·65	1925.....	Proportionate share .....	14·42	15·58
	Actually won .....	16	11		Actually won .....	14	16
1913.....	Proportionate share .....	15·78	13·80*	1928.....	Proportionate share .....	14·85	15·15
	Actually won .....	16	11		Actually won .....	16	14
1916.....	Proportionate share .....	15·46	14·51	1931.....	Proportionate share .....	18·39*	10·98
	Actually won .....	16	11		Actually won .....	19	10
1919.....	Proportionate share .....	17·56	12·14	1934.....	Proportionate share .....	14·64	14·39
	Actually won .....	17	13		Actually won .....	13	15
1922.....	Proportionate share .....	18·07	11·42*	1937.....	Proportionate share .....	11·94	18·06
	Actually won .....	17	12		Actually won .....	12	18

\* Omitting the votes for an Independent candidate.

The Labour party had one more member than was required for equitable proportional representation in 1922; was one member short in 1928; and was one member short in 1931 and one in excess in 1934.

A brief summary of the results of the 1937 election for each division is appended, together with what evidence is available of the party leanings of the supporters of the Independent candidates.

In Bass there were 13 candidates, of whom six were Labour, five Nationalists, and two Independent. The sympathies of those who give first preferences to the Independents were distinctly towards Labour, but their votes have been distributed in the proportions disclosed when they were excluded.

The No. 1 votes cast allowing for the distribution of the 611 Independent first preferences as indicated above were as follows:—Labour, 15,494; Nationalist, 8426 (4·53 : 2·47) and the seats won were Labour four, non-Labour two.

Cross voting was unimportant.

In Darwin there were six Labour candidates, seven Nationalist, and one Independent. The No. 1 votes were Labour, 14,179; Nationalist, 10,377; and Independent, 128. The sympathy of the Independent's (Mr. Campbell) supporters was distinctly towards Nationalist, but his votes have been distributed in the proportion disclosed at his exclusion. The quotas recorded by Labour were 4·04 and Nationalist 2·96, and each party won three seats. There was very little cross voting in this electorate.

In Denison there were six Labour, five Nationalist, and three Independent candidates. The No. 1 votes were Labour 14,241; Nationalist, 8403; and Independent, 1634. The majority of the Independent candidates' votes went to Labour, and the quotas gained were Labour 4·41 (four seats) and the Nationalist 2·59 quotas (two seats). Cross voting in this electorate was unimportant.

In Franklin five Labour and seven Nationalist candidates nominated. First preference votes were Labour 15,111 and Nationalist 10,678. The quotas and seats won were respectively Labour, 4·10 quotas (four seats); Nationalist 2·90 quotas (two seats). Voting closely followed party line, and little cross voting took place.

For Wilmot five Labour, seven Nationalist, and two Independent candidates stood. After allowing for the sympathies of those voting for Independent candidates, the No. 1 votes were as follows:—Labour, 13,022; Nationalist, 9790. Labour gained three seats with 3·99 quotas, and Nationalist three seats with 3·01 quotas.

## ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A.

Under the provisions of Section 116A, 1098 persons whose names were not on the roll claimed the right to vote, and filled in ballot-papers. Of these 733 were rejected because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 365 were admitted to the scrutiny, and 12 of them rejected for informalities, leaving 353 valid votes under Section 116A.

The number of absent votes was 9476, but these included a number whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 1164 were rejected on this account; a further 226 were rejected for informalities, leaving 8086 valid absent votes. These numbers cannot be satisfactorily compared with earlier years on account of changes in the Act and regulations. The larger numbers for recent years are due, in the main, to the introduction of compulsory voting.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed owing to the voter not being on the roll or having no right to be on the roll was 1897, or about 18 per cent. of the applicants. The numbers of these votes disallowed in previous years were:—1934, 1687; 1931, 2086; 1928, 1545; 1925, 1668; 1922, 1473. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

TABLE 9.—*Votes under Section 116A and Absent Votes.*

Division.	Votes under Section 116A.			Admitted to Scrutiny.	Absent Votes.			Admitted to Scrutiny.
	Papers Received.				Papers Received.			
	Males.	Females.	Total.		Males.	Females.	Total.	
Bass .....	157	124	281	58	901	864	1765	1460
Darwin .....	137	62	199	44	1035	777	1812	1643
Denison .....	40	46	86	68	1011	704	1715	1437
Franklin .....	148	120	268	144	1183	906	2089	1950
Wilmot .....	161	103	264	51	1187	908	2095	1822
Total .....	643	455	1098	365	5317	4150	9476	8312

TABLE 10.—*Valid Postal Votes in Parties.*

Division.	Non-Labour.	Labour.	Total.
Bass .....	241	360	601
Darwin .....	232	180	412
Denison .....	353	450	803
Franklin .....	242	205	447
Wilmot .....	183	156	339
Total .....	1251	1351	2602

TABLE 11.—*Summary of Absent and Postal Voting.*

	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.
Valid Absent Votes .....	8086	5989	5961 (a)	5604 (a)	5398 (a)	5032 (a)
Valid Votes under Section 116A .....	353	367	388	318	353	296
Valid Postal Votes .....	2602	2270	2001	1154	591	658

(a) Voting outside subdivision in which enrolled.

## INFORMAL BALLOT-PAPERS.

The proportion of informal ballot-papers to the total number of ballot-papers at the election of 1937 was lower, at 2·41 per cent., than in any year since 1925, when the proportion was 2·22 per cent.

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1912 is as follows:—

TABLE 12.—*Percentage of Informal Ballot-papers, 1912-1937.*

	Bass.	Darwin.	Denison.	Franklin.	Wilmot.	All Divisions.
1912 .....	2·63	2·97	2·67	2·78	3·26	2·85
1913 .....	2·49	3·07	3·35	3·17	2·39	2·91
1916 .....	3·88	5·49	5·63	6·52	5·53	5·66*
1919 .....	3·33	4·36	3·02	3·34	6·06	3·96*
1922 .....	2·05	2·56	2·58	2·86	3·20	2·63
1925 .....	1·82	2·51	2·12	2·25	2·40	2·22
1928 .....	3·38	3·23	2·64	2·83	4·12	3·24
1931 .....	3·10	3·27	3·45	3·05	2·87	3·44
1934 .....	3·44	2·95	3·10	2·76	3·85	3·10
1937 .....	2·33	2·60	2·00	2·26	2·88	2·41

\* The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.





## EXHAUSTED BALLOT-PAPERS.

TABLE 14.—Votes Lost by Exhaustion.

	1937.	1934.	1931.	1928.	1925.	1922.	1919.	1916.	1913.
Bass.....	974	1364	114	278	485	1139	645	500	899
Darwin.....	194	687	428	331	914	191	454	461	655
Demson.....	1025	1344	412	321	224	400	392	763	1212
Franklin.....	323	859	463	466	918	592	389	725	42
Wilmot.....	311	1096	1043	1152	518	291	366	451	390
Total.....	2827	5350	2460	2548	3059	2607	2246	2900	3198
Percentage of Total Votes.....	2·3	4·6	2·3	2·9	4·0	3·8	3·3	3·9	4·7

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

TABLE 15.—Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania Since the Year 1870.

Year.	Adult Persons (Estimated).	Estimated Mean Population.	Elected Parliamentary Representatives.	Electoral Divisions.	Constituencies.			Electors Enrolled.			Lower Range of Electors' Qualification to Franchise in Possession of—
					One-Member.	Two-Member.	Six-Member.	No.	Per Cent. to—		
								Total Pop.	Adult Pop.†		
1870...	48,210	100,038	30	30	30	...	...	11,171*	11·17	23·17	A.—Adult Males. Property value of £7
1871...	48,820	101,393	32	32	32	...	...	13,801*	13·61	28·27	Ditto
1872...	49,380	102,467	32	32	32	...	...	14,001*	13·67	28·35	Salary £80 annually
1881...	55,750	116,230	32	32	32	...	...	15,650*	13·47	28·09	Ditto
1891...	73,260	147,969	36	28	20	8	...	25,932*	17·53	35·40	Salary or wage £60
1901...	86,490	172,525	38	29	20	9	...	39,002*	22·61	45·09	Ditto
1902...	87,810	175,173	35	35	35	...	...	39,495*	22·4	49·95	Manhood Suffrage
1906...	92,370	184,272	35	35	35	...	...	89,029	48·3	96·38	B.—Adult Suffrage
1908...	93,980	187,485	35	35	35	...	...	86,361	46·07	91·88	Ditto
1909...	100,070	190,227	30	5	...	...	5	98,230	51·64	95·18	Ditto
1911...	99,960	190,120	30	5	...	...	5	102,326	53·82	102·40	Ditto
1912...	100,750	190,769	30	5	...	...	5	103,513	54·26	102·74	Ditto
1913...	103,050	194,361	30	5	...	...	5	105,292	54·17	102·17	Ditto
1916...	104,180	194,265	30	5	...	...	5	107,321	55·24	103·01	Ditto
1919...	111,190	204,959	30	5	...	...	5	107,541	52·47	96·72	Ditto
1922...	118,320	215,379	30	5	...	...	5	110,549	51·33	93·43	Ditto
1925...	120,360	215,552	30	5	...	...	5	114,901	53·30	95·46	Ditto
1928...	122,250	215,471	30	5	...	...	5	111,956	51·96	91·58	Ditto
1931...	129,600	224,811	30	5	...	...	5	118,730	52·81	91·61	Ditto
1934...	134,060	229,161	30	5	...	...	5	127,681	55·72	95·24	Ditto
1937...	136,880	233,191	30	5	...	...	5	132,001	55·60	96·43	Ditto

\* Males only.

## VOTING IN SUBDIVISIONS.

The following tables, 16 to 20 give for each division the number of first choices for each candidate at the ordinary poll in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the Independent candidates are grouped together, they must not be considered as a political party group, as in the case of the Labour and Nationalist parties.

TABLE 16.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Bass.  
(Quota, 3418.)

Subdivision.	Electors.				First Choices Obtained by Candidates.												Votes.								
	On Roll.		Who Voted.		Labour.						Nationalist.						Independent.			Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.				
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Davies*.	Howroyd*.	McDonald*.	McFlwee*.	Madden*.	Pike.	Total Labour.	Hollings*.	Worthing*.	Jackson.	McKenzie*.	Ockerby*.	Solomon.			Total Nationalist.	Harvey.	Sheehan. (a)	Total Independen- dent.
Flinders .....	275	207	482	232	157	389	196	28	25	1	2	4	256	49	33	13	6	21	132	2	2	1	3	381	8
George Town .....	329	264	593	278	228	506	298	...	15	3	7	4	327	20	40	44	33	21	158	6	6	6	6	491	15
Launceston, Central .....	1350	1615	2965	1115	1425	2540	636	59	06	141	337	79	1468	169	136	177	382	101	955	47	6	6	53	2466	74
Launceston, East .....	2392	3270	5662	2110	2755	4865	998	142	397	242	463	193	2435	258	319	551	815	243	2186	137	8	8	145	4766	99
Launceston, North .....	2258	2428	4686	2007	2115	4122	1347	340	422	292	649	139	3059	186	87	174	327	60	854	49	37	86	86	4009	113
Launceston, West .....	1932	2282	4214	1660	1939	3599	670	120	480	215	652	111	2248	156	183	170	511	142	1162	101	17	118	118	3528	71
Lilydale .....	778	652	1430	648	540	1188	490	39	46	9	60	17	661	85	133	81	148	29	476	26	1	27	27	1164	24
Portland .....	476	366	842	417	395	722	333	52	81	5	11	9	491	74	37	35	31	31	208	14	1	1	15	714	3
Ringarooma .....	1247	957	2204	1072	818	1890	961	103	190	18	43	27	1342	151	73	81	78	82	480	46	5	5	51	1853	37
Scottsdale .....	741	698	1439	609	587	1196	292	312	44	6	6	6	666	85	126	48	162	61	482	28	...	28	28	1176	29
St. Leonards .....	813	782	1595	692	659	1351	495	88	98	60	100	25	866	72	44	110	151	26	403	41	...	41	41	1310	41
Absent Votes.....	...	...	...	744	716	1460	478	99	93	59	111	27	867	140	85	106	124	49	504	28	6	34	34	1405	56
Votes under Sec. 116A.....	...	...	...	35	23	58	29	2	4	7	8	1	42	1	3	3	3	4	14	...	...	...	...	56	2
Postal Votes .....	...	...	...	205	399	604	130	26	73	22	77	29	357	55	51	46	70	18	240	...	4	...	...	601	3
Total .....	12,591	13,521	26,112	11,824	12,666	24,490	7344	1410	2174	1080	2426	671	15,105	1501	1340	1639	2836	888	8204	529	82	611	611	23,320	590

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposit.

TABLE 17.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Darwin.  
(Quota, 3527.)

Subdivision.	Electors.				First Choices Obtained by Candidates.												Total Votes Recorded.	Formal.							
	On Roll.		Who Voted.		Labour.						Nationalist.								Total Nationalist.	Campbell (a)					
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Cooper.	D'Alton.	Kelly.	Lane.	McCarthy.	Total Labour.	Chamberlain.	Edwards.	McFie.	Marrifott.					Nichols.	Wright.	Total Nationalist.		
Circular Head .....	1927	1492	3419	1708	1281	2989	214	51	1126	159	68	90	1717	78	175	351	59	182	41	260	1146	13	2876	113	
Devonport .....	1527	1718	3245	1559	1435	2994	282	242	446	59	507	40	1332	37	133	134	865	127	11	77	1384	14	2730	82	
Emu Bay .....	2006	1959	3965	1754	1645	3399	227	67	631	87	35	833	1880	221	152	354	38	480	26	148	1419	7	3306	93	
Gormanston .....	339	202	531	261	166	427	8	1	286	94	2	2	393	2	3	4	1	9	2	1	22	2	417	10	
Kentish .....	1896	1171	2567	1237	1022	2259	125	75	578	176	80	33	1067	62	323	108	293	77	47	184	1154	19	2240	19	
King Island .....	367	272	639	329	225	554	10	2	207	2	3	28	252	5	21	51	4	167	5	33	286	...	538	16	
Leven .....	1793	1691	3484	1583	1448	3031	59	62	265	742	25	48	1201	82	643	271	31	52	92	574	1745	17	2963	68	
Penguin .....	680	618	1298	589	520	1109	54	18	87	107	3	41	310	80	267	161	24	77	23	130	762	7	1079	30	
Queenstown .....	1466	1006	2472	1224	834	2058	27	13	1670	80	7	21	1818	13	15	31	9	94	6	5	173	18	2009	49	
Srahan .....	220	188	408	171	134	305	8	1	245	5	2	1	262	1	4	6	...	19	...	1	31	...	293	12	
Table Cape .....	1572	1424	2996	1422	1204	2626	232	29	557	82	21	280	1251	149	114	268	30	381	36	305	1288	11	2545	81	
Waratah .....	336	232	568	282	191	473	34	22	169	94	5	58	362	7	6	27	5	27	...	3	75	2	459	14	
Zeehan .....	846	573	1419	722	476	1198	107	64	589	219	11	59	1049	7	5	36	9	57	1	7	122	5	1176	22	
Absent Votes .....	...	...	...	914	729	1643	101	57	601	152	48	96	1055	47	91	117	73	109	18	77	532	12	1699	44	
Votes under Sec. 116A .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Postal Votes .....	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...
Total .....	14,465	12,546	27,011	13,732	11,610	25,342	1317	711	7576	2086	830	1659	14,179	798	1994	2030	1482	1929	317	1827	10,377	128	24,684	658	

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposits, 2.

TABLE 18.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Denison.  
(Quota 3469.)

Subdivision.	Electors.				First Choices Obtained by Candidate.												Votes.								
	On Roll.		Who Voted.		Labour.				Nationalist.				Independent.				Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.							
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Cosgrove.*	Culley.*	Dwyer-Gray.*	Heery.*	Lattin.(a)	White.	Total Labour.	Addison.(a)	Johnson.	Lewis.*			Souley.*	Turner.	Total Nationalist.	Carrollthers.	Collis.(a)	Lambert.	Total Ind.
Hobart Central.....	1661	1786	3447	1381	1547	2928	645	374	630	254	26	141	2070	50	104	165	155	102	576	106	103	8	217	2863	65
Hobart East.....	980	1134	2114	796	950	1745	327	147	386	190	7	44	1101	40	129	134	106	91	500	56	53	4	119	1714	31
Hobart North.....	2499	3011	5510	2239	2548	4787	872	499	966	381	68	130	2916	86	186	324	64	180	1421	204	116	14	334	4671	116
Hobart South.....	1888	2540	4428	1592	2115	3707	437	278	670	326	18	104	1883	109	300	550	384	257	1550	155	92	20	267	3650	57
Hobart West.....	1751	2136	3887	1466	1868	3334	845	248	593	269	25	74	2054	66	181	286	322	144	999	128	59	19	206	3259	73
New Town.....	2091	2489	4580	1742	2136	3878	492	541	615	217	26	83	1964	61	262	483	558	279	1643	151	51	1	203	3810	68
Queenborough.....	1086	1344	2430	934	1147	2081	273	173	372	130	10	37	965	54	147	298	283	186	868	127	37	...	164	2027	54
Absent Votes.....	...	...	...	827	610	1437	241	186	297	105	9	38	826	37	101	112	152	77	479	66	38	6	110	1415	22
Votes under Sec. 116A.....	...	...	...	31	37	68	21	3	13	3	...	3	43	..	6	2	7	6	21	..	1	...	2	66	2
Postal Votes.....	...	...	...	270	539	809	171	115	72	41	5	32	489	14	29	112	147	44	346	13	4	1	18	803	9
Total.....	11,966	14,390	26,356	11,277	13,497	24,774	4314	2514	4614	1919	194	686	14,241	517	1441	2466	2660	1316	8403	1007	554	731	1634	24,278	496

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposits. 4.

TABLE 19.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Franklin.  
(Quota, 3685.)

Subdivision.	Electors.				First Choices Obtained by Candidates.											Votes.						
	On Roll.		Who Voted.		Labour.				Nationalist.							Valid Votes Recorded	Informal.					
	Males.	Females.	Males.	Females.	McDermott.	Ogilvie.*	Plummer.*	Total Labour.	Baker†	Cooper.	Doyles.*	Peasall.	Piggott.	Shoobridge.	Watkins.(c)			Total Nationalist.				
Clarence .....	1180	1324	2504	1037	1129	2166	78	62	118	702	155	1115	401	38	261	150	25	113	16	1004	2119	47
Esperance .....	1048	847	1895	919	718	1637	145	75	8	652	233	1114	194	8	65	43	165	16	4	495	1609	28
Glenorchy .....	702	699	1401	571	559	1130	453	21	6	237	10	727	175	25	61	27	12	49	17	306	1093	37
Huon .....	1371	1188	2559	1212	939	2211	108	158	7	740	98	1111	383	90	183	98	230	65	12	1061	2172	39
Kingborough .....	1376	1182	2558	1185	995	2180	100	238	9	613	119	1079	254	34	59	546	73	69	13	1048	2127	53
Moonal .....	2221	2338	4559	1965	2095	4060	960	221	67	1537	48	2633	542	79	205	38	77	110	79	1130	3863	97
Nelson .....	1872	2216	4088	1594	1913	3507	128	164	30	1108	55	1485	832	104	563	110	123	153	57	1862	3447	60
New Norfolk .....	1613	1448	3061	1439	1267	2706	207	705	16	838	36	1792	310	92	74	29	49	257	13	824	2616	90
Port Cygnet .....	830	694	1523	748	613	1361	62	162	9	589	45	667	215	17	93	53	54	23	2	457	1324	37
Richmond .....	554	437	991	474	379	853	39	55	26	380	12	512	162	16	41	39	12	45	6	321	833	20
Sorell .....	664	553	1217	584	476	1060	27	75	22	292	24	440	274	26	37	125	78	35	33	596	1038	22
Spring Bay .....	253	207	460	210	162	372	1	19	8	170	16	214	61	5	11	25	28	23	5	133	367	5
Tasman .....	379	307	704	337	259	596	31	42	1	217	42	333	109	8	12	37	53	17	10	246	579	17
Absent Votes .....	...	...	...	1112	838	1950	171	151	34	766	66	1188	294	39	115	103	60	91	22	724	1912	38
Votes under Sec. 116A .....	...	...	...	77	67	144	22	13	1	54	6	96	19	2	6	5	6	7	2	47	143	1
Postal Votes .....	...	...	...	145	307	452	39	28	3	123	12	208	106	9	32	34	22	32	8	242	417	5
Total .....	14,090	13,440	27,530	13,609	12,776	26,385	2571	2190	365	9008	977	15,111	4330	592	1898	1462	1062	1105	289	10,678	25,789	586

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposit, 1.

TABLE 20.—First Choices for Candidates by Subdivisions—Division of Wilmot.  
(Quota, 3257.)

Subdivision.	Electors.						First Choices Obtained by Candidates.												Votes.							
	On Roll.			Who Voted.			Labour.						Nationalist.						Independent.			Valid Votes Recorded.	Informal.			
	Males.	Females.	Total.	Males.	Females.	Total.	Becker.*	Ogilvie.*	O'Keefe.*	Spurr.	Taylor.	Total Labour.	Cameron.	Campbell.	Foster.*	Hutchinson.	(a)	Lee.*	Ritchie (a)	Salter. (a)	Total Nationalist.			Drake. (a)	Smith. (a)	Total Independent.
Beaconsfield .....	1107	1066	2173	982	917	1899	108	402	229	15	35	789	35	780	51	1	49	10	2	2	928	101	12	113	1830	69
Bothwell .....	427	328	755	348	265	613	40	310	38	5	15	403	14	32	47	38	29	1	6	6	167	6	4	10	580	28
Brighton .....	958	807	1765	773	683	1456	102	570	47	6	19	744	68	171	203	53	91	29	19	19	634	6	13	19	1397	39
Campbell Town .....	775	698	1473	671	584	1255	164	397	146	88	80	865	25	181	121	8	71	5	16	16	327	21	3	24	1216	39
Delcaine .....	1578	1422	3000	1404	1221	2625	125	399	127	473	12	1136	431	194	404	21	190	38	24	24	1207	106	10	110	2643	82
Evandale .....	1658	1760	3418	1431	1422	2853	403	634	288	75	85	1485	149	418	271	32	226	37	21	21	1156	157	6	163	2804	50
Fingal .....	860	780	1640	770	678	1447	790	172	31	165	43	1141	14	38	52	4	47	7	111	111	273	11	2	13	1427	20
Glanmorgan .....	265	239	504	229	201	430	44	53	8	4	140	289	11	69	21	9	12	9	5	5	136	...	7	...	425	5
Hamilton .....	1040	612	1652	819	472	1291	114	743	116	8	28	1004	18	42	89	34	45	6	3	3	237	...	2	...	1250	41
Larrobe .....	1244	1289	2533	1117	1102	2219	282	524	103	83	46	1038	202	194	274	19	281	51	25	25	1046	53	5	58	2142	77
Longford .....	1122	1127	2249	970	942	1912	204	648	127	67	28	1074	44	186	153	49	376	9	18	18	782	17	1	18	1874	38
Oxlands .....	997	792	1789	836	686	1522	117	496	84	14	57	768	69	181	217	79	100	18	7	7	671	9	4	7	1446	56
Westbury .....	1060	981	2041	927	850	1777	102	277	149	59	15	692	84	323	73	12	534	32	19	19	1077	31	8	39	1718	59
Absent Voters .....	...	...	...	1012	810	1822	240	608	125	88	48	1109	80	190	152	20	142	16	11	11	616	25	5	30	1755	67
Votes under Sec. 116A .....	...	...	...	35	18	53	6	17	2	1	1	27	2	7	2	1	1	...	2	2	15	3	1	4	46	5
Postal Votes .....	...	...	...	121	220	341	30	79	24	15	5	153	26	48	35	8	55	7	1	1	180	6	...	6	339	2
<b>Total .....</b>	<b>18,121</b>	<b>11,881</b>	<b>29,002</b>	<b>12,438</b>	<b>11,031</b>	<b>23,469</b>	<b>2801</b>	<b>6929</b>	<b>1639</b>	<b>1206</b>	<b>652</b>	<b>12,627</b>	<b>1272</b>	<b>2904</b>	<b>2170</b>	<b>388</b>	<b>3251</b>	<b>270</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>9542</b>	<b>542</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>623</b>	<b>22,792</b>	<b>677</b>

\* Elected. (a) Forfeited deposits, 5.

TABLE 25. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF WILMOT.

Electors on Roll, 25,002. Valid Votes, 22,792. Quota, 3257. Informal Papers, 677.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.																			Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	LABOUR.						NATIONALIST.							INDEPENDENT.			Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.			
	Becker.	Ogilvie.	O'Keefe.	Spurr.	Taylor.	Total Labour.	Cameron.	Campbell.	Foster.	Hutchinson.	Lee.	Ritchie.	Salter.	Total Nationalist.	Drake.	Smith.			Total Independent.		
1	2801	6329	1639	1206	652	12,627	1272	2904	2170	388	2251	270	287	9542	542	81	623			22,792	Ogilvie elected
2	710	3072	1711	213	245	2879	17	18	29	17	26	25	12	144	23	18	41		8		Ogilvie's surplus dis. T.V., 3072-6329
3	3511	3257	3350	1419	897	12,434	1289	2922	2199	405	2277	295	299	9686	565	99	664		8	22,792	Becker and O'Keefe elected
	254			183	69	202	5	2	3		2	2	4	18	15	10	25	3	6		Becker's surplus dis. T.V., 254-1464
4	3257	3257	3350	1552	966	12,382	1294	2924	2202	405	2279	297	303	9704	580	109	689	3	14	22,792	O'Keefe's surplus dis. T.V., 93-3527
			98	45	31	76			1			3	1	5	2	3	5	1	6		
5-8	3257	3257	3257	1597	997	12,365	1294	2924	2203	405	2279	300	304	9709	582	112	694	4	20	22,792	Smith excluded
				31	14	45	2	2	6	3	8	1	2	24	32	112	32	5	6		
9-13	3257	3257	3257	1628	1011	12,410	1296	2926	2209	408	2287	301	306	9733	614		614	9	26	22,792	Ritchie excluded
				11	17	28	37	62	69	8	50	301	23	249	8		8	5	11		
14-21	3257	3257	3257	1639	1028	12,438	1333	2988	2278	416	2337		329	9681	622		622	14	37	22,792	Salter excluded
				18	5	23	31	85	82	24	67		329	289	1		1	5	11		
22-30	3257	3257	3257	1657	1033	12,461	1364	3073	2360	440	2404			9641	623		623	19	48	22,792	Hutchinson excluded
				6	3	9	60	108	137	440	102			407	9		9	7	8		
31-39	3257	3257	3257	1663	1036	12,470	1424	3181	2497		2506			9608	632			26	56	22,792	Drake excluded
				223	113	336	69	79	60		22			230	632			59	7		
40	3257	3257	3257	1886	1149	12,806	1493	3260	2557		2528			9838				85	63	22,792	Campbell elected
							1	3						1				2			Campbell's surplus dis. T.V., 3-4
41-57	3257	3257	3257	1886	1149	12,806	1494	3257	2557		2528			9836				87	63	22,792	Taylor excluded
				980	1149	980	30		30		9			69				88	12		
58-80	3257	3257	3257	2866		12,637	1524	3257	2587		2537			9905				175	75	22,792	Cameron excluded
				114		114	1524		784		502			1286				118	6		
81	3257	3257	3257	2980		12,751		3257	3371		3039			9667				293	81	22,792	Foster elected
				1		1			114		94			94				18	1		Foster's surplus dis. T.V., 114-784
	3257	3257	3257	2981		12,752		3257	3257		3133			9647				311	82	22,792	Spurr excluded. Lee elected
				2981							124			124				2857			
	3257	3257	3257			9771		3257	3257		3257			9771				3168	82	22,792	

NOTE.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.



TABLE 24. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN.

Electors on Roll, 27,530. Valid Votes, 25,789. Quota, 3685. Informal Papers, 596.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.														Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	LABOUR.						NATIONALIST.											
	Brooker.	Dwyer.	McDermott.	Ogilvie.	Plummer.	Total Labour.	Baker.	Cooper.	Doyle.	Pearsall.	Piggott.	Shoobridge.	Watkins.	Total Nationalist.				
1	2571	2190	365	9008	977	15,111	4330	592	1838	1462	1062	1105	289	10,678			25,789	Ogilvie and Baker elected
2	1310	2832	238	5323	608	4988		30	76	94	52	45	34	331		4		Ogilvie's surplus dis. T.V. 5323-9008
3	3881	5022	603	3685	1585	14,776	4330	622	1914	1556	1114	1150	323	11,009		4	25,789	Dwyer and Brooker elected
			2		3	5	645	124	202	103	68	108	31	636	1	3		Baker's surplus dis. T.V., 645-4330
4	3881	5022	605	3685	1588	14,781	3685	746	2116	1659	1182	1258	354	11,000		7	25,789	Dwyer's surplus dis. T.V., 1337-4792
		1337	251		957	1208		22	28	21	16	11	9	107	18	4		
5	3881	3685	856	3685	2545	14,652	3685	768	2144	1680	1198	1269	363	11,107		11	25,789	Brooker's surplus dis. T.V., 196-2218
	196		58		115	173		4	5	3	2	2	1	17	3	3		
6-10	3685	3685	914	3685	2660	14,629	3685	772	2149	1683	1200	1271	364	11,124		14	25,789	Watkins excluded
			5		27	32		44	77	68	35	90	364	314	22	10		
11-18	3685	3685	919	3685	2687	14,661	3685	816	2226	1751	1235	1361		11,074		24	25,789	Cooper excluded
			22		39	61		816	341	138	71	168		718	30	14		
19-32	3685	3685	941	3685	2726	14,722	3685		2567	1889	1306	1529		10,976		38	25,789	McDermott excluded
			941		754	754			43	49	17	15		124	53	12		
33-48	3685	3685		3685	3480	14,535	3685		2610	1938	1323	1544		11,100		50	25,789	Piggott excluded
					73	73			286	594	1323	308		1188	104	20		
49-77	3685	3685		3685	3553	14,608	3685		2896	2532		1852		10,965		70	25,789	Shoobridge excluded
					101	101			661	887		1852		1548	146	26		
	3685	3685		3685	3654	14,709	3685		3557	3419				10,661	323	96	25,789	Plummer and Doyle elected
					31	31			128	3419				128	3260			Pearsall excluded
	3685	3685		3685	3685	14,740	3685		3685					7370	3583	96	25,789	

NOTE.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

TABLE 23. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DENISON.

Electors on Roll, 26,346. Valid Votes, 24,278. Quota, 3469. Informal Papers, 496.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.																			Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	LABOUR.							NATIONALIST.						INDEPENDENT.				Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.		
	Cosgrove.	Culley.	Dwyer-Gray.	Heerey.	Lattin.	White.	Total Labour.	Addison.	Johnson.	Lewis.	Soundy.	Turner.	Total Nationalist.	Carruthers.	Collis.	Lambert.	Total Independent.				
1 2	4314 ....	2514 630	4614 -1145	1919 2191	194 52	686 72	14,241 1045	517 3	1444 10	2166 11	2660 13	1316 5	8403 42	1007 26	554 23	73 3	1634 52	....	.... 6	24,278 ....	Dwyer-Gray and Cosgrove elected Dwyer-Gray's surplus dis. T.V., 1145-4614
3	4314 -845	3144 535	3469 ..	2210 183	246 23	758 38	14,141 779	520 4	1454 7	2477 5	2673 10	1321 1	8445 27	1033 15	577 16	76 2	1686 33	....	6 6	24,278 ....	Cosgrove's surplus dis. T.V., 845- 4314
4	3469 ..	3679 -210	3469 ..	2393 146	269 24	796 23	14,075 193	524 ..	1461 1	2482 ..	2683 1	1322 ..	8472 2	1048 2	593 4	78 ..	1719 6	....	12 7	24,278 ..	Culley elected Culley's surplus dis. T.V., 210-2732
5-7	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	2539 3	293 4	819 5	14,058 12	524 ..	1462 2	2482 1	2684 2	1322 1	8474 6	1050 9	597 48	78 -78	1725 57	....	2 3	24,278 ..	Lambert excluded.
8-13	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	2542 137	297 -297	824 91	14,070 228	524 ..	1464 9	2483 7	2686 11	1323 ..	8480 27	1059 8	645 17	..	1704 25	....	2 7	24,278 ..	Lattin excluded
14-16	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	2679 7	..	915 3	14,001 10	524 -524	1473 185	2490 87	2697 70	1323 47	8507 389	1067 97	662 20	..	1729 117	....	12 1	24,278 ..	Addison excluded
17-25	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	2686 227	..	918 80	14,011 307	..	1658 35	2577 37	2767 50	1370 18	8372 140	1164 202	682 -682	..	1846 202	....	13 23	24,278 ..	Collis excluded
26-43	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	2913 610	..	998 -998	14,318 610	..	1693 21	2614 16	2817 27	1388 11	8512 75	1366 90	..	..	1366 90	....	36 205	24,278 ..	White excluded
44	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3523 -54	..	..	13,930 ..	..	1714 1	2630 ..	2844 ..	1399 ..	8587 1	1456 5	..	..	1456 5	....	241 45	24,278 ..	Heerey elected Heerey's surplus dis. T.V., 54-232
45-54	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	1715 166	2630 597	2844 697	1399 1399	8588 1370	1461 15	..	..	1461 15	....	286 11	24,278 ..	Turner excluded
55	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	1881 13	3137 56	3541 -72	..	8559 69	1476 1	..	..	1476 1	....	297 2	24,278 ..	Soundy elected Soundy's surplus dis. T.V., 72-697
56-65	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	1894 284	3193 298	3469 ..	..	8556 582	1477 -1316	..	..	1477 ..	....	297 728	24,278 ..	Carruthers excluded
	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	2178 161	3491 ..	3469 ..	..	9138 161	161 161	..	..	161 ..	....	1025 ..	24,278 ..	Lewis elected
	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	2339 ..	3491 -22	3469 ..	..	9299 ..	..	..	..	..	....	1025 22	24,278 ..	
	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	..	13,876 ..	..	2339 ..	3469 ..	3469 ..	..	9277 ..	..	..	..	..	....	1047 ..	24,278 ..	

NOTE.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

TABLE 22. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF DARWIN.

Electors on Roll, 27,011. Valid Votes, 24,684. Quota, 3527. Informal Papers, 658.

Count No.	CANDIDATES.																	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.
	LABOUR.							NATIONALIST.							INDEPEN- DENT.					
	Aylett.	Cooper.	D'Alton	Kelly.	Lane.	McGrath.	Total Labour.	Broad.	Chamberlain.	Edwards.	McFie.	Marriott.	Nichols.	Wright.	Total Nationalist.	Campbell.	Exhaust.			
1	1317	711	7576	2086	830	1659	14,179	798	1994	2030	1482	1929	317	1827	10,377	128			24,684	<i>D'Alton</i> elected <i>D'Alton's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 4049-7576
2	519	338	—4049	1782	335	837	3811	20	39	49	28	67	4	13	220	14		4		
3	1836	1049	3527	3868	1165	2496	13,941	818	2033	2079	1510	1996	321	1840	10,597	142		4	24,684	<i>Kelly</i> elected <i>Kelly's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 341-3334
	30	21	....	—341	141	131	323	1	1	1	3	4	....	....	10	1		7		
4-6	1866	1070	3527	3527	1306	2627	13,923	819	2034	2080	1513	2000	321	1840	10,607	143		11	24,684	Campbell excluded
	21	17	....	....	7	9	54	18	18	16	7	9	2	15	85	—143		4		
7-9	1877	1087	3527	3527	1313	2636	13,977	837	2052	2096	1520	2009	323	1855	10,692			15	24,684	Nichols excluded
	3	2	....	....	2	3	10	12	58	48	35	59	—323	99	311			2		
10-16	1890	1089	3527	3527	1315	2639	13,987	849	2110	2144	1555	2068	....	1954	10,680			17	24,684	Broad excluded
	28	21	....	....	9	22	80	—849	299	150	75	120	....	120	764		1	4		
17-26	1918	1110	3527	3527	1324	2661	14,067	....	2409	2294	1630	2188	....	2074	10,595			21	24,684	Cooper excluded
	375	—1110	....	....	345	276	996	....	28	30	16	16	....	7	97		8	9		
27-35	2293	....	3527	3527	1669	2937	13,953	....	2437	2324	1646	2204	....	2081	10,692			30	24,684	McFie excluded
	13	....	....	....	46	41	100	....	318	439	—1646	527	....	246	1530		10	6		
36-53	2306	....	3527	3527	1715	2978	14,053	....	2755	2763	....	2731	....	2327	10,576			36	24,684	Lane excluded
	806	....	....	....	—1715	688	1494	....	43	22	....	48	....	14	127		84	10		
54	3112	....	3527	3527	....	3666	13,832	....	2798	2785	....	2779	....	2341	10,703			46	24,684	<i>McGrath</i> elected <i>McGrath's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 139-401
	116	....	....	....	....	—139	116	....	3	2	....	5	....	....	10		103	3		
55-75	3228	....	3527	3527	....	3527	13,809	....	2801	2787	....	2784	....	2341	10,713			49	24,684	Wright excluded
	24	....	....	....	....	....	24	....	748	801	....	695	....	—2341	2244		113	2		
76	3252	....	3527	3527	....	3527	13,833	....	3549	3588	....	3479	....	....	10,616			51	24,684	<i>Chamberlain</i> and <i>Edwards</i> elected <i>Chamberlain's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 22-34
	1	....	....	....	....	....	1	....	—22	....	....	16	....	....	16		184	2		
77	3253	....	3527	3527	....	3527	13,834	....	3527	3588	....	3495	....	....	10,610			53	24,684	<i>Edwards's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 61-103
	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	....	—61	....	58	....	....	....		187	1		
78	3253	....	3527	3527	....	3527	13,834	....	3527	3527	....	3548	....	....	10,602			54	24,684	<i>Marriott</i> elected <i>Marriott's</i> surplus dis.
	3253	....	3527	3527	....	3527	13,834	....	3527	3527	....	—21	....	....	10,581			54	24,684	

NOTE.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.

TABLE 21. RESULT SHEET—DIVISION OF BASS.

Electors on Roll, 26,112. Valid Votes, 23,920. Quota, 3418. Informal Papers, 570.

Count. No.	CANDIDATES.																Exhaust.	Loss by Fractions.	Total Valid Votes.	Remarks.	
	LABOUR.							NATIONALIST.						INDEPENDENT.							
	Davies	Howroyd.	McDonald.	McElwee.	Madden.	Pike.	Total Labour.	Hollingsworth.	Jackson.	McKenzie.	Ockerby.	Solomon.	Total Nationalist.	Harvey.	Sheehan.	Total Independent.					
1	7344	1410	2174	1080	2426	671	15,105	1501	1340	1639	2836	888	8204	529	82	611	...	...	23,920	<i>Davies</i> elected Davies' surplus dis. T.V., 3926-7344	
2	-3926	1213	868	412	621	305	3419	144	51	41	54	14	304	193	3	196	...	7	...		
3-4	3418	2623	3042	1492	3047	976	14,598	1645	1391	1680	2890	902	8508	722	85	807	...	7	23,920	Sheehan excluded	
		10	7	14	18	14	63	3	1	1	6	2	13	8	-85	8	...	1	...		
5-7	3418	2633	3049	1506	3065	990	14,661	1648	1392	1681	2896	904	8521	730	...	730	...	8	23,920	Harvey excluded	
		165	58	162	42	21	448	138	36	38	56	10	278	-730	...	...	...	4	...		
8-11	3418	2798	3107	1668	3107	1011	15,109	1786	1428	1719	2952	914	8799	...	...	...	...	12	23,920	Solomon excluded	
		7	10	5	7	6	35	190	128	227	330	-914	875	...	...	...	1	3	...		
12-19	3418	2805	3117	1673	3114	1017	15,144	1976	1556	1946	3282	...	8760	...	...	...	...	15	23,920	Pike excluded	
		198	201	218	304	-1017	921	24	11	15	38	...	88	...	...	...	...	8	...		
20-28	3418	3003	3318	1891	3418	...	15,048	2000	1567	1961	3320	...	8848	...	...	...	...	23	23,920	<i>Madden</i> elected <i>Jackson</i> excluded	
		21	42	34	...	...	97	551	-1567	400	499	...	1450	...	...	...	14	6	...		
29	3418	3024	3360	1925	3418	...	15,145	2551	...	2361	3819	...	8731	...	...	...	...	15	23,920	<i>Ockerby</i> elected <i>Ockerby's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 40-499	
		4	4	2	...	...	10	223	...	157	-401	...	380	...	...	...	...	8	3		...
30-48	3418	3028	3364	1927	3418	...	15,155	2774	...	2518	3418	...	8710	...	...	...	...	23	23,920	McElwee excluded	
		622	371	-1927	...	...	...	993	129	110	...	...	239	...	...	...	...	690	5		...
49	3418	3650	3735	...	3418	...	14,221	2903	...	2628	3418	...	8949	...	...	...	...	713	37	23,920	<i>McDonald</i> and <i>Howroyd</i> elected <i>McDonald's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 317-371
		...	-317	...	...	...	...	32	...	23	...	...	55	...	...	...	...	261	1	...	
50	3418	3650	3418	...	3418	...	13,904	2935	...	2651	3418	...	9004	...	...	...	...	974	38	23,920	<i>Hollingsworth</i> elected <i>Howroyd's</i> surplus dis. T.V., 232-622
		-232	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	232	...	...	
51	3418	3418	3418	...	3418	...	13,672	2935	...	2651	3418	...	9004	...	...	...	...	1206	38	23,920	McKenzie excluded
		...	...	...	...	...	...	483	...	-2651	...	...	483	...	...	...	...	2168	...	...	
	3418	3418	3418	...	3,418	...	13,672	3418	...	...	3418	...	6836	...	...	...	...	3374	38	23,920	

NOTE.—The heavy black line indicates the end of the Official Count.