

1970

(No. 3)



1970

PARLIAMENT OF TASMANIA

**REPORT  
ON PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS**

*Presented to both Houses of Parliament by His Excellency's Command*

By Authority:

T. J. HUGHES, Government Printer, Tasmania

**THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL**

**1965 to 1969**

**and**

**By-Elections—1966 to 1968**

**The Wrest Point Referendum (Casino Licence and Development)**

**14 December 1968**

**THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY**

**General Election 10 May 1969**

**and**

**By-Elections 1965 to 1968**

**K. F. FEBEY, State Chief Electoral Officer**

## REPORT ON PARLIAMENTARY ELECTIONS

**THE HONOURABLE THE CHIEF SECRETARY.**

I HAVE the honour to submit the following report upon elections conducted during the period 1965-1969.

### THE LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL

APPENDIX 'A' of this report shows enrolment figures for all Legislative Council Divisions as at 30 June 1969, from which time rolls will be compiled on an adult suffrage basis.

The total enrolment shown in the preceding report was 152,973, on the 31 August 1964. Since that later date the total has increased by 8,454 to 161,427, which is equivalent to 76.18% of electors enrolled for the House of Assembly elections 1968.

During the period under review, 71894 additions were made to the rolls; there were 63,440 deletions and 19,245 alterations - a total of 154,579 mutations to obtain a nett increase of 8,454.

which took place in 1968. The proposals of the Select Committee of the Legislative Council were put into operation by the Constitution (No. 2) Act of 1967. The redefined boundaries became operative on the day after the day fixed for holding the first periodical election of members after the passing of the Act. That former day being 26 May 1968. Between June and September 1968, 46,836 names were transferred on the various rolls and each elector was advised of that change of enrolment.

During the period under review two other Legislative measures brought about changes in the administration of Legislative Council elections. Firstly, by a Constitution Amendment. (No. 54 of 1967), the polling day was changed from the second to the fourth Saturday in May. This alteration was made to avoid the occurrence of the May School holidays on polling day. Secondly, hours of polling were changed from 8.30 a.m. - 7.00 p.m. to 8.00 am - 8.00 p.m. by the Electoral Act No. 65 of 1967. This latter change was brought about to provide a uniformity of polling hours to that of Commonwealth elections.

From 1 July 1969, electors for the Legislative Council are entitled to vote if they possess the following qualifications:—

- (a) Male or female persons over 21 years of age.
- (b) They have lived in the State continuously for a period of six months at any one time.
- (c) Natural born or naturalized subjects of the King.
- (d) Their name is on the electoral roll for any Council Division.

The above change in the franchise was authorised by the Constitution Act No. 68 of 1968 which became law on 20 December 1968 to come into operation on 1 July 1969.

Approximately 50,000 persons will become eligible to enrol as a result of this further easing of the franchise for Legislative Council purposes.

### WREST POINT CASINO LICENCE AND DEVELOPMENT REFERENDUM

The following dates were fixed for the holding of a referendum of House of Assembly electors:—

**ISSUE OF WRITS**—22 November 1968.

**POLL**—14 December 1968.

**RETURN OF WRITS**—On or before 19 December 1968.

The Act authorising the conduct of a referendum and specifying the legal form of documents became law on 22 November 1968. To have arranged the necessary facilities so that a poll of electors could be held at such short notice reflects greatly to the credit of Returning Officers, their staffs and to central administration. The possession of this capacity and a willingness to accept responsibility has always been a feature of Electoral staff too easily over-looked by many who are not conversant with either their function or proper location within the classification structure of the Public Service.

### THE HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY

The Assembly was dissolved by a proclamation issued under the hand of His Excellency the Governor on 11 April 1969.

The following dates were fixed for the purposes of the General Election—

**ISSUE OF WRITS**—14 April 1969.

**NOMINATIONS**—23 April 1969.

**POLL**—10 May 1969.

**RETURN OF WRITS**—On or before 30 May 1969.

The Writs were returned on 22 May 1969, from which date the life of Parliament commenced.

Nominations for this election totalled 105 (Bass 23, Braddon 21, Dension 21, Franklin 19, Wilmot 21).

The draw for party group position on the ballot paper resulted in the Labour Group being placed first in two Divisions, an Independent Group first in one Division, the D.L.P. Group first in another Division and the Liberal Group first in the remaining Division.

The Centre Party contested the 1969 General Election for the first time, nominating 14 Candidates and returning one Member.

The electors were provided with 460 polling booths at which 198,571 ordinary votes, 13,706 absent votes and 369 votes under Section 116a were recorded.

Hours of Polling for the House of Assembly were similarly altered by Act No. 65 of 1967 as they were for Legislative Council Elections.

During 1968, major Re-division proposals were adopted. The practical effect of the alterations in terms of enrolment is shown in Appendix 'E'.

A number of Sub-divisional boundaries were also re-defined in July 1969. The purpose of these changes being to reduce over-large Sub-divisions to a more realistic size by creating new Sub-divisions; amalgamating very small Sub-divisions with others and re-locating Sub-divisional boundaries to allow better use to be made of polling facilities.

Permanent officers of the Department have performed their duties in a completely unselfish and efficient manner. Service to the public by them has always been of first importance. The Government Printer has on many occasions given the Department great assistance at short notice. Although there has not been the need to make use of officers of the Police Department to any great extent, their willingness to assist does not pass without notice and appreciation.

9th January, 1970

K. F. FEBEY,

*Chief Electoral Officer.*

## APPENDIX 'A'

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ENROLMENT

Number of Electors (in various categories) enrolled as at 30 June 1969

Division	Total Electors Enrolled	Owners		Occupiers		Spouses of Owners and Occupiers		Other		Total	
				M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
		M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
Buckingham ..	9,889	2,519	760	2,262	400	71	3,818	41	18	4,893	4,996
Cornwall ..	9,219	2,641	1,851	1,316	561	140	2,565	95	50	4,192	5,027
Derwent ..	6,029	1,175	396	1,501	266	35	2,553	98	5	2,809	3,220
Gordon ..	3,941	606	186	1,381	94	20	1,569	84	1	2,091	1,850
Hobart ..	9,919	2,822	1,842	1,407	582	182	2,859	176	49	4,587	5,332
Huon ..	7,661	2,321	787	1,359	221	61	2,791	109	12	3,850	3,811
Launceston ..	9,401	3,117	1,738	1,149	385	122	2,799	68	23	4,456	4,945
Macquarie ..	5,775	1,270	491	1,532	180	31	2,113	133	25	2,966	2,809
Meander ..	7,091	2,040	710	1,418	144	73	2,656	44	6	3,575	3,516
Mersey ..	11,106	3,233	1,618	1,942	335	123	3,765	71	19	5,369	5,737
Monmouth ..	6,852	2,220	700	1,215	181	59	2,409	56	12	3,550	3,302
Newdegate ..	11,798	3,271	1,745	2,040	513	146	3,887	153	43	5,610	6,188
Pembroke ..	13,457	4,264	1,726	2,129	329	93	4,789	94	33	6,580	6,877
Queenborough ..	9,515	2,651	1,864	1,366	548	129	2,682	199	76	4,345	5,170
Russell ..	8,655	2,508	738	1,764	169	108	3,319	39	10	4,419	4,236
South Esk ..	7,298	1,996	714	1,557	171	70	2,711	74	5	3,697	3,601
Tamar ..	6,154	2,312	892	622	83	61	2,122	52	10	3,047	3,107
West Devon ..	9,493	3,327	915	1,288	191	86	3,583	78	25	4,779	4,714
Westmorland ..	8,174	2,375	1,313	1,394	288	126	2,638	37	3	3,932	4,242
TOTALS ..	161,427	46,668	20,986	28,642	5,641	1,736	55,628	1,701	425	78,747	82,680

## APPENDIX 'B'

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL RE-DIVISION 1968

Statement Showing Actual Changes in each Division Consequent Upon Re-division 26 May 1968

Divisions (19)	Enrolment Before Redivision (31.5.1968)	Enrolment After Redivision (30.9.1968)	Redivision Increase (Inclusive of Normal Enrolment Activity)
Buckingham ..	11,450	10,227	1,223 Decrease
Cornwall ..	6,556	9,499	2,943
Derwent ..	13,370	6,978	7,292 Decrease
Gordon ..	4,039	3,731	308 No Change Decrease
Hobart ..	4,565	10,091	5,526
Huon ..	9,141	7,776	1,365 Decrease
Launceston ..	2,826	8,998	6,172
Macquarie ..	7,107	5,819	1,288 Decrease
Meander ..	5,639	7,151	1,512
Mersey ..	11,023	11,037	14 No Change
Monmouth ..	3,491	6,313	2,822
Newdegate ..	7,867	11,822	3,955
Pembroke ..	17,214	13,347	3,867 Decrease
Queenborough ..	7,824	9,495	1,671
Russell ..	8,189	8,268	79 No Change
South Esk ..	9,517	7,263	2,254 Decrease
Tamar ..	8,183	6,182	2,001 Decrease
West Devon ..	9,249	9,438	189
Westmorland ..	13,270	8,290	4,980 Decrease
STATE ..	160,520	160,825	305
STATE AVERAGE ..	8,448	8,464	NIL
LARGEST DIVISION ..	PEMBROKE 17,214	PEMBROKE 13,347	LAUNCESTON 6,172
SMALLEST DIVISION ..	LAUNCESTON 2,826	GORDON 3,731	DERWENT 7,292 Decrease

## APPENDIX 'C'

*Part XIA of the Electoral Act 1907*  
**BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF DENISON**  
**RESIGNATION OF MR R. C. TOWNLEY**

Consents due 10 July 1965

Count	CANDIDATES				Exhaust	Loss	Total	Remarks
	Wedd	Brown	Clark	Schott				
1.. ..	238	1,613	1,124	1,003	171	2	4,151	Townley's quota distributed
2.. ..	-238	76	48	38	74	2	..	Wedd excluded
3.. ..	..	1,689	1,172	1,041	245	4	4,151	Schott excluded
	..	288	655	-1,003	59	1	..	
	..	1,977	1,827	38	304	5	4,151	<b>G. D. Brown elected</b>

**BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF FRANKLIN**  
**RESIGNATION OF MR T. G. PEARSALL**  
**Consents Due 27 October 1966**

Count	CANDIDATES							Exhaust	Loss	Total	Remarks
	Iles	Lowrie	Meldrum	Skinner	Sweet	Harvey	Jackson				
1-36..	1,772	1,107	1,443	216	29	68	153	184	6	4,978	Pearsall's quota distributed
37-45..	5	3	10	4	-29	1	..	6	..	..	Sweet excluded
46-55..	1,777	1,110	1,453	220	..	69	153	190	6	4,978	
	14	..	7	5	..	-69	11	29	3	..	Harvey excluded
56-63..	1,791	1,110	1,460	225	..	..	164	219	9	4,978	
	47	14	41	17	..	..	-164	44	1	..	Jackson excluded
64-84..	1,838	1,124	1,501	242	..	..	..	263	10	4,978	
	102	20	64	-242	..	..	..	54	2	..	Skinner excluded
85..	1,940	1,144	1,565	..	..	..	..	317	12	4,978	
	420	-798	325	..	..	..	..	53	..	..	Lowrie excluded
	2,360	346	1,890	..	..	..	..	370	12	4,978	<b>C. E. Iles elected</b>

**BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF BASS**  
**DEATH OF MR J. L. STEER**  
**Consents Due 25 October 1968**

Count	CANDIDATES								Exhaust	Total	Remarks
	Arnold	Finney	Chapple	Henty	Mc Gowen	Laurence	Lovett	Kaye			
1-59	37	291	1,011	1,414	1,433	35	18	94	50	4,383	Steer's quota distributed
60-65	37	291	1,011	1,414	1,433	35	18	94	50	4,383	
	1	3	2	..	2	8	-18	..	2	..	Lovett excluded
66-78	38	294	1,013	1,414	1,435	43	..	94	52	4,383	
	-38	12	8	5	8	1	..	2	2	..	Armold excluded
79-94	..	306	1,021	1,419	1,443	44	..	96	54	4,383	
	9	9	3	3	5	-44	..	4	14	..	Laurence excluded
95-113	..	315	1,030	1,422	1,448	..	..	100	68	4,383	
	7	32	32	7	45	..	..	-100	9	..	Kaye excluded
114-147	..	322	1,062	1,429	1,493	..	..	..	77	4,383	
	..	-322	94	72	122	..	..	..	34	..	Finney excluded
148	..	..	1,156	1,501	1,615	..	..	..	111	4,383	
	..	..	-1,027	624	372	..	..	..	31	..	Chapple excluded
	..	..	129	2,125	1,987	..	..	..	142	4,383	<b>J. W. Henty elected</b>

## APPENDIX 'D'

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS, 1965

DIVISIONS OF: MEANDER, PEMBROKE, QUEENBOROUGH AND WEST DEVON

Issue of Writs .. .	.. .	25 March 1965
Nominations .. .	.. .	12 April 1965
Poll .. .	.. .	8 May 1965
Return of Writs .. .	.. .	14 May 1965

## MEANDER

Electors enrolled—5,403

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
1,810	484	861	107	61	2,038	37	5	2,769	2,634

Electors who voted—2,529M, 2,399 F, total 4,928. Percentage of electors who voted—91.2. Informal votes 66. Percentage of Informal Votes 1.34. Absolute majority 2,432. Retiring member—Hon. C. R. Best.

Count	CANDIDATES					Exhaust	Total	Informal	Remarks
	Badcock	Best	Davies	Flowers*	Johnstone				
1 ..	626	2,129	1,006	467	634	..	4,862	66	
2 ..	56	154	122	—467	135	..	..	..	Flowers excluded
3 ..	682	2,283	1,128	..	769	..	4,862	..	Badcock excluded
	—626	350	147	..	129	..	..	..	Hon. C. R. Best elected
	56	2,633	1,275	..	898	..	4,862	..	

\*Forfeited deposit.

Electors enrolled—16,244

## PEMBROKE

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
5,012	1,756	2,725	438	109	6,007	177	20	8,023	8,221

Electors who voted—6,708 M, 6,648 F, total 13,356. Percentage of electors who voted 82.22. Informal votes, 218. Percentage of informal votes, 1.63. Absolute majority, 6,570. Retiring Member, Hon. E. C. McKay.

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks
	McKay	Symmons*			
1 .. ..	11,857	*1,281	13,138	218	Hon. E. C. McKay elected

\*Forfeited deposit.

Electors enrolled—7,768

## QUEENBOROUGH

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,001	1,387	1,197	566	141	2,347	51	78	3,390	4,378

Electors who voted—2,960 M, 3,441 F, total 6,401. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.4. Informal votes, 74. Percentage of informal votes, 1.15. Absolute majority, 3,164. Retiring Member, Hon. H. S. Baker.

Count	CANDIDATES					Exhaust	Total	Informal	Remarks
	Baker	Clemente	Hodgman	McNair*	Shoobridge				
1 ..	1,800 157	1,852 159	964 143	622 - 622	1,089 163	..	6,327	74 ..	McNair excluded
2 ..	1,957 423	2,011 346	1,107 - 1,107	..	1,252 338	..	6,327	..	Hodgman excluded
3-4	2,380 819	2,357 705	..	..	1,590 - 1,544	20	6,327	..	Shoobridge excluded
5-7	3,199	3,062	..	..	46	20	6,327	..	<b>Hon. H. S. Baker elected</b>

\*Forfeited deposit.

## WEST DEVON

*Electors enrolled—9,414*

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,288	814	1,307	168	103	3,618	87	29	4,785	4,629

*Electors who voted—4,115 M, 4,028 F, total 8,143. Percentage of electors who voted, 86.5. Informal votes, 102. Percentage of informal votes, 1.25. Absolute majority, 4,021. Retiring Member, Hon. W. J. T. Davis.*

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Davis	Jordan				
I .. ..	4,601	3,440	8,041	102	<b>Hon. W. J. T. Davis elected</b>	

## BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF LAUNCESTON

## DEATH OF HON. W. H. M. FRY

Issue of Writ	..	..	24 December 1965
Nominations	..	..	12 January 1966
Poll	..	..	29 January 1966
Return of Writ	..	..	3 February 1966

*Electors Enrolled—2,822*

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
707	525	494	226	59	757	46	8	1,306	1,516

*Electors who voted—1,273 M, 1,317 F, total 2,590. Percentage of electors who voted, 91.8. Informal votes, 44. Percentage of informal votes, 1.7. Absolute majority, 1,274.*

Count	CANDIDATES								Exhaust	Total	Informal	Remarks
	Bertram	Brassil	Finney*	Jolliffe*	King*	McGowen	Orchard	Shipp				
1 ..	252	819	206	28	152	254	564	271	..	2,546	44	
2 ..	4	3	4	-28	3	5	5	4	..	..	..	Jolliffe excluded
3-4	256	822	210	..	155	259	569	275	..	2,546	..	King excluded
5-8	271	835	240	..	..	287	610	303	..	2,546	..	Finney excluded
9-13	308	847	..	..	..	364	690	336	1	2,546	..	Bertram excluded
14-23	308	72	..	..	..	88	105	39	4	..	..	Shipp excluded
24-43	..	919	..	..	..	452	795	375	5	2,546	..	McGowen excluded
	..	97	..	..	..	558	952	431	..	18	2,546	Hon. J. R. Orchard elected
	..	1,115	..	..	..	..	1,383	..	48	2,546	..	

\*Forfeited deposits.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS 1966

DIVISIONS OF: CORNWALL, HUON AND MERSEY

Issue of Writs	..	..	..	5 April 1966
Nominations	..	..	..	21 April 1966
Poll	..	..	..	14 May 1966
Return of Writs	..	..	..	19 May 1966

Electors enrolled—7,077

## CORNWALL

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
1,894	1,492	1,147	497	77	1,824	97	49	3,215	3,862

Electors who voted—2,503 M, 3,017 F, total 5,520. Percentage of electors who voted, 77.99. Informal voters, 102. Percentage of informal votes, 1.85. Absolute majority, 2,710. Retiring Member, Hon. G. J. Foot.

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Foot	Pinkard				
1 ..	3,578	1,840	5,418	102	Hon. G. J. Foot elected	

Electors enrolled—9,412

## HUON

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Other3		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,941	1,046	1,560	251	105	3,350	137	22	4,743	4,669

Electors who voted—3,962 M, 3,785 F, total 7,747. Percentage of electors who voted, 82.3. Informal votes, 113. Percentage of informal votes, 1.46. Absolute majority, 3,818. Retiring Member, Hon. R. H. Brown.

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Brown	Hodgman				
1 ..	3,615	4,019	7,634	113	Hon. W. M. Hodgman elected	

*Electors enrolled—10,632*

## MERSEY

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,417	1,600	1,516	279	124	3,611	68	17	5,125	5,507

Hon. H. McFie returned unopposed.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS 1967

## DIVISIONS OF: DERWENT, TAMAR AND WESTMORLAND

Issue of Writs	..	..	..	6 April 1967
Nominations	..	..	..	20 April 1967
Poll	..	..	..	13 May 1967
Return of Writs	..	..	..	18 May 1967

## DERWENT

*Electors enrolled—13,816*

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,199	980	3,215	457	75	5,749	138	3	6,627	7,189

*Electors who voted—5,623 M, 5,369 F, total 10,992. Percentage of electors who voted, 79.56. Informal votes 246. Percentage of informal votes, 2.24. Absolute majority, 5,374. Retiring Member, Hon. D. K. Marriott.*

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Dixon	Marriott				
1 .. ..	5,684	5,062	10,746	246	Hon. J. H. Dixon elected	

## TAMAR

*Electors enrolled—8,147*

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,198	1,175	706	88	89	2,819	62	10	4,055	4,092

*Electors who voted—3,456 M, 3,396 F, total 6,852. Percentage of electors who voted, 84.15. Informal votes, 121. Percentage of informal votes, 1.77. Absolute majority, 3,366. Retiring Member, Hon. D. H. Hitchcock.*

Count	CANDIDATES			Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Hitchcock	Howell	Williamson				
1 .. ..	3,737	1,609	1,385	6,731	121	Hon. D. H. Hitchcock elected	

## WESTMORLAND

*Electors enrolled—13,475*

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
4,442	2,420	1,615	421	266	4,213	83	15	6,406	7,069

*Electors who voted—5,441 M, 5,959 F, total 11,400. Percentage of electors who voted, 84.60. Informal votes, 229. Percentage of informal votes, 2.01. Absolute majority, 5,586. Retiring Member, Hon. O. H. Gregory.*

Count	CANDIDATES			Total	Informal	Remarks
	Fisher	Gregory	Sutton			
1 .. ..	2,438	7,077	1,656	11,171	229	Hon. O. H. Gregory elected

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS 1968

DIVISIONS OF: BUCKINGHAM, MACQUARIE AND SOUTH ESK

Issue of Writs	..	..	18 April 1968
Nominations	..	..	30 April 1968
Poll	..	..	25 May 1968
Return of Writs	..	..	31 May 1968

## BUCKINGHAM

Electors enrolled—11,450

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,079	1,637	2,362	524	161	3,438	192	57	5,794	5,656

Electors who voted—4,507 M, 4,585 F, total 9,092. Percentage of electors who voted, 79.4. Informal votes, 190. Percentage of informal votes, 2.09. Absolute majority, 4,452. Retiring Member, Hon. J. B. Connolly.

Count	CANDIDATES			Total	Informal	Remarks
	Lowe	Lowrie				
1 .. ..	4,396	4,506		8,902	190	Hon. K. F. Lowrie elected

## MACQUARIE

Electors enrolled—7,107

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
1,751	679	1,691	217	54	2,550	139	26	3,635	3,472

Electors who voted—3,124 M, 3,204 F, total 6,328. Percentage of electors who voted, 89.04. Informal votes, 68. Percentage of informal votes, 1.07. Absolute majority, 3,131. Retiring Member, Hon. T. L. Cheek.

Count	CANDIDATES						Total	Informal	Remarks
	Carins	Kaye*	McEachen*	Shaw	Spencer	Towns			
1 ..	1,457	463	201	2,882	693	564	6,260	68	
2 ..	46	34	-201	74	31	16	..	..	McEachen excluded
3 ..	1,503	497	..	2,956	724	580	6,260	..	Kaye excluded
	123	-463		214	77	49			
	1,626	34	..	3,170	801	629	6,260	..	Hon. G. A. Shaw elected

\*Forfeited deposits.

## SOUTH ESK

Electors Enrolled—9,517

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,485	869	2,158	250	86	3,590	73	6	4,802	4,715

*Electors who voted*—3,834 M, 3,687 F, total 7,521. Percentage of electors who voted, 79.03. Informal votes, 152. Percentage of informal votes, 2.02. Absolute majority, 3,685. *Retiring Member, Hon. L. H. Carins.*

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Carins	Le Fevre				
1 .. ..	5,094	2,275	7,369	152	<b>Hon. L. H. Carins elected</b>	

## BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF GORDON

## DEATH OF HON. T. G. DE L. D'ALTON

Issue of Writ	..	..	..	30 May 1968
Nominations	..	..	..	12 June 1968
Poll	..	..	..	29 June 1968
Return of Writ	..	..	..	14 July 1968

Electors Enrolled—4,033

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
724	234	1,309	92	22	1,512	135	5	2,190	1,843

*Electors who voted*—1,740 M, 1,541 F, total 3,281. Percentage of electors who voted, 81.11. Informal votes, 35. Percentage of informal votes, 1.07. Absolute majority, 1,624.

Count	CANDIDATES			Total	Informal	Remarks	
	Broadby	Fitzallen	Smith*				
1 .. ..	1,563	1,489	194	3,246	35		
2 .. ..	104	90	- 194	..	..	Smith excluded	
	1,667	1,579	..	3,246	..	<b>Hon. A. J. Broadby elected</b>	

\*Forfeited deposits.

## BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF QUEENBOROUGH

## DEATH OF HON. H. S. BAKER

Issue of Writ	..	..	..	15 August 1968
Nominations	..	..	..	2 September 1968
Poll	..	..	..	28 September 1968
Return of Writ	..	..	..	3 October 1968

Electors Enrolled—9,495

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,612	1,834	1,399	582	126	2,655	206	81	4,343	5,152

*Electors who voted*—8,297. Percentage of electors who voted, 87.37. Informal votes, 125. Percentage of informal votes, 1.51. Absolute majority, 4,087.

## CANDIDATES

\*Forfeited deposit.

## BY-ELECTION—DIVISION OF LAUNCESTON

## VACATION OF SEAT BY HON. J. R. ORCHARD

(Constitution (Disqualification Removal) Act 1968)

Issue of Writ	..	..	..	27 November 1968
Nominations	..	..	..	6 December 1968
Poll	..	..	..	21 December 1968
Return of Writ	..	..	..	24 December 1968

*Electors Enrolled*—9,351

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,092	1,674	1,170	394	117	2,819	66	19	4,445	4,906

*Electors who voted*—8,349. Percentage of electors who voted, 89.29. Informal votes, 210. Percentage of informal votes, 2.52. Absolute majority, 4,070.

Count	CANDIDATES								Exhaust	Total	Informal	Remarks
	Arnold*	Davies	Geard	Newman	Orchard	Pinkard*	Shipp	Ward*	Williamson*			
1 ..	293	545	959	1,180	1,744	461	2,132	592	233	..	8,139	210
2 ..	10	14	21	25	24	14	60	65	233	..	..	..
3- 4	303	559	980	1,205	1,768	475	2,192	657	..	..	8,139	..
	- 303	121	46	35	20	10	58	13	..	..	..	..
5- 8	..	680	1,026	1,240	1,788	485	2,250	670	..	..	8,139	..
	..	59	56	65	60	- 485	203	39	..	3	..	..
9-12	..	739	1,082	1,305	1,848	..	2,453	709	..	3	8,139	..
	..	94	65	163	100	..	285	- 709	..	2	..	..
13-22	..	833	1,147	1,468	1,948	..	2,738	..	..	5	8,139	..
	..	- 833	322	161	125	..	202	..	..	23	..	..
23-43	..	..	1,469	1,629	2,073	..	2,940	..	..	28	8,139	..
	..	..	- 1,469	568	254	..	548	..	..	99	..	..
44 ..	..	..	..	2,197	2,327	..	3,488	..	..	127	8,139	..
	..	..	..	- 1,180	390	..	708	..	..	82	..	..
	..	..	..	1,017	2,717	..	4,196	..	..	209	8,139	..
	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	Hon. R. W. Shipp elected	..	..	..

\*Forfeited deposits.

## LEGISLATIVE COUNCIL ELECTIONS 1969

## DIVISIONS OF MONMOUTH, NEWDEGATE AND RUSSELL

Issue of Writs	..	..	..	15 April 1969
Nominations	..	..	..	29 April 1969
Poll	..	..	..	24 May 1969
Return of Writs	..	..	..	30 May 1969

*Electors enrolled*—6,681

## MONMOUTH

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,179	677	1,179	168	58	2,353	55	12	3,471	3,210

*Electors who voted*—3,091 M, 2,873 F, total 5,964. Percentage of electors who voted, 89.27. Informal votes, 86. Percentage of informal votes, 1.44. Absolute majority, 2,940. *Retiring Member, Hon. L. F. Bisdee.*

Count	CANDIDATES		Total	Informal	Remarks
	Bisdee	Headlam			
1 .. ..	3,907	1,971	5,878	86	Hon. L. F. Bisdee elected

*Electors enrolled—11,740*

### NEWDEGATE

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
3,260	1,738	2,026	508	144	3,870	152	42	5,582	6,158

Hon. B. K. Miller returned unopposed.

*Electors enrolled—8,716*

### RUSSELL

Owners		Occupiers		Spouses		Others		Total Enrolment	
M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F	M	F
2,521	741	1,783	169	108	3,344	40	10	4,452	4,264

Hon. C. B. M. Fenton returned unopposed.

### APPENDIX 'E'

#### HOUSE OF ASSEMBLY RE-DIVISION 1968

Statement showing actual changes in each division consequent upon redivision

Divisions (5)		Enrolment Before Redivision 22.11.1968	Enrolment After Redivision 25.11.1968	Redivision Increase
Bass ..	.. .. .. ..	40,467	40,467	Nil
Braddon ..	.. .. .. ..	42,350	42,350	Nil
Denison ..	.. .. .. ..	35,962	43,623	7,661
Franklin ..	.. .. .. ..	50,116	38,217	11,899 Decrease
Wilmot ..	.. .. .. ..	37,237	41,475	4,238
STATE ..	.. .. .. ..	206,132	206,132	Nil
STATE AVERAGE ..	.. .. ..	41,227	41,227	Nil
LARGEST DIVISION ..	.. .. ..	FRANKLIN 50,116	DENISON 43,623	DENISON 7,661
SMALLEST DIVISION ..	.. .. ..	DENISON 35,962	FRANKLIN 38,217	FRANKLIN 11,899 Decrease

## APPENDIX 'F'

## REFERENDUM 14 DECEMBER 1968

*Wrest Point Casino Licence and Development (Referendum) Act 1968*

A submission was made to House of Assembly electors of the following question, '*Are you in favour of the granting of a casino licence to Wrest Point Hotel conditional on the proposed development of that hotel?*' To this question electors were required to show a numerical preference in squares placed opposite the words 'Yes' and 'No' printed on the Ballot-papers.

Wrts for the Referendum were issued on the 22 November 1968 and were returnable on or before the 19 December 1968.

Provisions of the Wrest Point Casino Licence and Development Bill 1968 were publicly advertised, in full content, in the State's three leading newspapers. The following advertising also was placed in those newspapers on the 7 and 11 December 1968:—

- (1) An argument in favour of the question submitted, consisting of not more than one thousand words and authorised by a majority of the members of both Houses of Parliament who supported an affirmative vote on that question and desired to authorise such an argument; and
- (2) An argument against that question consisting of not more than one thousand words and authorised by a majority of members of Parliament who supported a negative vote on that question and desired to authorise such an argument.

## SUMMARY OF ENROLLED ELECTORS

Division		Males	Females	Total
Bass	..	19,312	21,160	40,472
Braddon	..	21,835	20,585	42,420
Denison	..	20,617	22,912	43,529
Franklin	..	18,935	19,313	38,248
Wilmot	..	20,828	20,647	41,475
TOTALS FOR STATE		101,527	104,617	206,144

## SUMMARY OF VOTES INCLUDING INFORMAL

Division	Ordinary Votes			Absent Votes			Postal Votes			Section 116A Votes			Total Votes				
	M		F	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T	M	F	T		
	M	F		M	F		M	F		M	F		M	F			
Bass	16,418	17,841		34,259	1,105	2,005	196	401	597	467	70	125	5	17,750	19,146	36,986	
Braddon	..	..	..	18,576	18,252	36,828	814	1,848	193	274	467	55	1	18,873	19,395	39,268	
Denison	..	..	..	17,333	19,213	36,546	1,113	2,338	421	727	1,143	14	23	23	21,062	40,055	
Franklin	..	..	..	16,540	16,886	33,426	941	1,670	444	416	860	17	7	17,942	18,933	35,980	
Wilmott	..	..	..	18,357	17,941	36,298	1,224	999	2,223	168	172	340	6	10	19,755	19,116	38,371
Totals for State	..	87,224		90,133	177,357	5,529	4,555	10,084	1,422	1,990	3,412	108	79	187	94,233	96,757	191,040

M—Male. F—Female. T—Total.

## ELECTORS, VOTERS AND INFORMAL VOTES

Division	Electors on Roll	Votes Recorded		Informal Votes		Valid Votes Recorded
		Number	Percentage on Electors	Number	Percentage on Votes Recorded	
Bass ..	40,472	36,866	91.09	1,877	5.09	34,989
Braddon ..	42,420	39,268	92.57	2,079	5.29	37,189
Denison ..	43,529	40,055	92.02	1,241	3.10	38,814
Franklin ..	38,248	35,980	94.07	1,142	3.17	34,838
Wilmot ..	41,475	38,871	93.72	2,000	5.15	36,871
Totals for State ..	206,144	191,040	92.67	8,339	4.37	182,701

## SUMMARY SHOWING THE RESULT OF THE SCRUTINY

Division	Number of Votes Given in Favour of the Question	Number of Votes Given Against the Question	Total Valid Vote	Percentage of Votes Recorded in Favour of the Question		Percentage of Votes Recorded Against the Question	
				To Valid Votes	To Enrolled Electors	To Valid Votes	To Enrolled Electors
Bass ..	16,622	18,367	34,989	47.51	41.07	52.49	45.38
Braddon ..	18,453	18,736	37,189	49.62	43.50	50.38	44.17
Denison ..	22,407	16,407	38,814	57.73	51.48	42.27	37.69
Franklin ..	20,856	13,982	34,838	59.87	54.53	40.13	36.56
Wilmot ..	18,501	18,370	36,871	50.18	44.61	49.82	44.29
Totals for State ..	96,839	85,862	182,701	53.00	46.98	47.00	41.65

## APPENDIX 'G'

(NOTE.—In any comparison made between the information given in the following Tables with that published for previous elections, allowance should be made for changes in electoral boundaries.)

STATISTICAL TABLES—GENERAL ELECTIONS, 10 MAY 1969  
(WITH COMPARISONS WITH PREVIOUS ELECTIONS)

TABLE 1.—Electors, Votes, and Informal Votes—General Election, 10 May, 1969

Division	Estimated Population 30/6/1969	Electors on Roll		Votes Recorded		Informal Votes		Valid Votes Recorded
		Number	Per 1,000 of Popula- tion	Number	Percentage on Electors	Number	Percentage on Votes Recorded	
Bass ..	†	41,104	†	38,457	93.56	1,815	4.72	36,642
Braddon ..	†	43,383	†	41,091	94.72	1,730	4.21	39,361
Denison ..	†	44,595	†	41,417	92.87	2,055	4.96	39,362
Franklin ..	†	38,929	†	37,284	95.77	1,665	4.47	35,619
Wilmot ..	†	42,257	†	40,322	95.42	1,983	4.92	38,339
Total ..	388,464	210,268	541	198,571	94.44	9,248	4.66	189,323

†Not available.

TABLE 2.—Comparative Summary—State General Elections Since 1948

	10 May 1969	2 May 1964	2 May 1959	13 Oct. 1956	19 Feb. 1955	6 May 1950	21 Aug. 1948
Estimated Mean Population for year stated...	388,464	368,067	341,423	321,039	315,565	278,785	263,445
Electors on Roll—							
Male .. . . . .	103,810	96,111	89,034	86,692	85,491	80,228	79,544
Female .. . . . .	106,458	97,307	91,310	87,940	87,674	81,422	81,544
Total .. . . . .	210,268	193,418	180,344	174,632	173,165	161,650	161,088
Males—per cent of total .. . . . .	49.4	49.7	49.4	49.6	49.4	49.6	49.4
Electors per 1,000 of population .. . . . .	541	525	528	543	548	580	611
Votes Recorded (including informal votes)—							
Male .. . . . .	98,485	91,747	85,120	82,806	81,179	76,517	74,697
Female .. . . . .	100,086	92,824	85,439	83,487	81,458	76,268	73,891
Total .. . . . .	198,571	184,571	170,559	166,293	162,637	152,785	148,588
Males—per cent of total .. . . . .	49.6	49.7	49.9	49.8	49.9	50.1	50.2
Voters—Percentage to Electors on Roll—							
Male .. . . . .	94.9	95.4	95.6	95.5	95.0	95.4	93.9
Female .. . . . .	94.1	95.4	93.5	94.9	92.9	93.7	90.6
Total .. . . . .	94.4	95.4	94.5	95.2	93.9	94.5	92.2
Valid votes recorded .. . . . .	189,323	176,591	160,743	159,325	156,479	145,944	142,722
Informal Votes—							
Number .. . . . .	9,248	7,980	9,816	6,968	6,158	6,841	5,866
Percentage to votes recorded .. . . . .	4.66	4.32	5.76	4.19	3.79	4.48	3.95
Number of Candidates .. . . . .	105	117	89	79	63	66	83
Number of deposits forfeited .. . . . .	36	47	16	27	7	11	23
Number of members elected at first count .. . . . .	8	7	6	8	6	6	9
Total number of counts .. . . . .	956	1,249	584	430	235	257	627
Greatest number of counts for one division.. . . . .	236	308	188	157	81	100	300

The census of 1966 showed the proportion of adults to be 568 per 1000 of the total population of Tasmania. The franchise was extended to certain classes of persons (minors) who had experienced war service, which was mainly responsible for the increase in the number of voters per 1000 of population to 611 in 1948. By 1950, however, the effect of this concession was no longer apparent due to the effluxion of time and movement in population.

The proportion of informal votes (4.66 per cent) represents an increase of 0.34 per cent on 1964. The divisions showed percentages ranging from 4.21 in Braddon to 4.96 in Dension.

The number of candidates totalled 105, which was the second highest number on record. The highest being in 1964, when 117 candidates nominated.

The total number of counts was 956 and the greatest number of counts in a division occurred in Bass where 236 were recorded.

TABLE 3.—Electors on Roll and Voters—Last Five General Elections

Division	Electors on Roll—Male					Voters—Male				
	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955
Bass .. . . . .	19,416	18,534	17,536	17,070	16,300	18,673	17,934	16,823	16,368	15,417
Braddon .. . . . .	22,316	19,779	18,305	17,558	17,545	20,915	18,712	17,178	16,740	16,413
Denison .. . . . .	21,071	17,375	17,294	17,696	13,255	19,645	16,485	16,547	16,936	12,318
Franklin .. . . . .	19,280	21,819	17,856	16,398	19,704	18,553	21,023	17,368	15,856	19,315
Wilmot .. . . . .	21,727	18,604	18,043	17,970	18,687	20,699	17,593	17,204	16,906	17,716
Total .. . . . .	103,810	96,111	89,034	86,692	85,491	98,485	91,747	85,120	82,806	81,179

Division	Electors on Roll—Female					Voters—Female				
	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955
Bass .. . . . .	21,688	20,186	18,993	18,434	17,670	19,784	18,963	17,884	17,350	16,476
Braddon .. . . . .	21,067	18,592	17,280	16,721	16,511	20,176	17,954	16,333	15,940	15,497
Denison .. . . . .	23,524	19,612	19,822	20,216	15,337	21,772	18,300	18,535	19,120	14,114
Franklin .. . . . .	19,649	21,593	17,882	15,978	21,151	18,731	20,757	16,743	15,206	19,179
Wilmot .. . . . .	20,530	17,324	17,333	16,591	17,005	19,623	16,850	15,944	15,871	16,192
Total .. . . . .	106,458	97,307	91,310	87,940	87,674	100,086	92,824	85,439	83,487	81,458

Division	Electors on Roll—Both Sexes					Voters—Both Sexes				
	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955
	Bass .. .. ..	41,104	38,720	36,529	35,504	33,970	38,457	36,897	34,707	33,718
Braddon .. .. ..	43,383	38,371	35,585	34,279	34,056	41,091	36,666	33,511	32,680	31,910
Denison .. .. ..	44,595	36,987	37,116	37,912	28,592	41,417	34,785	35,082	36,056	26,432
Franklin .. .. ..	38,929	43,412	35,738	32,376	40,855	37,284	41,780	34,111	31,062	38,494
Wilmot .. .. ..	42,257	35,928	35,376	34,561	35,692	40,322	34,443	33,148	32,777	33,908
Total .. .. ..	210,268	193,418	180,344	174,632	173,165	198,571	184,571	170,559	166,293	162,637

TABLE 4.—Percentage of Electors Voting at General Elections Since 1916

General Election	Percentage of Electors Voting		
	Males	Females	Total
State, 25.3.1916 .. .. ..	76.4	71.1	73.6
Referendum, 28.10.1916 .. .. ..	85.9	81.8	
Federal, 5.5.1917 .. .. ..	82.0	70.0	76.5
Referendum, 20.12.1917 .. .. ..	82.9	64.7	73.8
State, 31.5.1919 .. .. ..	69.6	62.7	66.1
Federal, 31.12.1919 .. .. ..	65.1	52.3	58.7
State, 10.6.1922 .. .. ..	70.0	56.3	63.1
Federal, 16.12.1922 .. .. ..	53.4	38.0	45.6
State, 3.6.1925 .. .. ..	72.9	61.8	67.3
Federal, 14.11.1925 .. .. ..	89.5	88.0	88.7
Referendum 4.9.1926 .. .. ..	90.0	88.1	89.0
State, 30.5.1928 .. .. ..	84.9	78.9	81.9
Federal, 17.11.1928 .. .. ..	94.0	91.5	92.7
Federal, 12.10.1929 .. .. ..	96.3	94.2	95.2
State, 9.5.1931 .. .. ..	96.0	94.0	95.0
Federal, 19.12.1931 .. .. ..	96.5	96.2	96.4
State, 9.6.1934 .. .. ..	95.0	94.0	94.5
Federal, 15.9.1934 .. .. ..	96.2	95.3	95.8
State, 20.2.1937 .. .. ..	94.8	93.6	94.3
Referendum, 6.3.1937 .. .. ..	94.1	93.2	93.7
Federal, 23.10.1937 .. .. ..	96.1	95.9	96.0
Federal, 21.9.1940 .. .. ..	94.9	95.7	94.8
State, 13.12.1941 .. .. ..	89.1	93.4	91.2
Federal, 21.8.1943 .. .. ..	99.4	94.8	97.0
Referendum 19.8.1944 .. .. ..	100.5	94.2	97.3
Federal, 28.9.1946 .. .. ..	95.0	92.5	93.7
State, 23.11.1946 .. .. ..	91.0	91.1	91.1
Referendum, 29.5.1948 .. .. ..	93.2	90.9	92.1
State, 21.8.1948 .. .. ..	93.9	90.6	92.2
Federal, 10.12.1949 .. .. ..	96.7	95.3	96.0
State, 6.5.1950 .. .. ..	95.4	93.7	94.5
Federal, 28.4.1951 .. .. ..	97.3	96.1	96.7
Referendum, 22.9.1951 .. .. ..	96.8	95.6	96.2
Federal, 9.5.1953 .. .. ..	96.5	95.8	96.2
Federal, 29.5.1954 .. .. ..	96.6	96.0	96.3
State, 19.2.1955 .. .. ..	95.0	92.9	93.9
Federal, 10.12.1955 .. .. ..	97.0	95.6	96.3
State, 13.10.1956 .. .. ..	95.5	94.9	95.2
Federal, 22.11.1958 .. .. ..	97.0	95.4	96.2
State, 2.5.1959 .. .. ..	95.6	93.6	94.6
Federal, 9.12.1961 .. .. ..	96.4	95.1	95.7
Federal, 30.11.1963 .. .. ..	96.1	96.0	96.0
State, 2.5.1964 .. .. ..	95.4	95.4	95.4
Federal, 5.12.1964 .. .. ..	96.0	96.3	96.1
Federal, 26.11.1966 .. .. ..	95.4	96.0	95.7
Referendum, 27.5.1967 .. .. ..	94.6	95.1	94.9
Federal, 25.11.1967 .. .. ..	(a)	(a)	96.4
Referendum, 14.12.1968 .. .. ..	92.9	92.5	92.7
State, 10.5.1969 .. .. ..	94.9	94.1	94.4

(a) Records no longer available.

The preceding table, giving the percentage of electors who exercised the franchise at all general elections, both State and Federal, since 1916, indicates roughly the rise and fall of political interest among the electors up to 1928. Both its rise and fall are much more pronounced in the case of women than of men. The proportions for the subsequent elections are dominated by compulsory voting, first in the Federal sphere and later in the State. The absence of many electors from the State on military service would largely account for the lower percentage of voters at the elections in 1940 and 1941.

## REPRESENTATION OF PARTIES

At the election of the 10 May 1969, 105 candidates were nominated. Of these, 40 were endorsed nominees of the Labor Party, 36 were nominated by the Liberal Party, 14 were nominated by the Centre Party, 10 were nominated by the Democratic Labor Party and 5 nominated as Independents.

The result was the return of 17 Labor and 17 Liberal and 1 Centre Party.

The No. 1 votes were cast directly for the six groups in each of the five electoral divisions of the State as follows—

TABLE 5.—*Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1969*

Division	Labor	Liberal	Centre Party	D.L.P.	Independent	Independent Ungrouped	Total
Bass .. .	15,553	16,919	1,634	723	726	1,087	36,642
Braddon .. .	21,153	13,544	4,115	549	..	..	39,361
Dension .. .	17,198	18,676	248	845	..	2,395	39,362
Franklin .. .	18,542	15,738	651	688	..	..	35,619
Wilmot .. .	17,832	18,384	1,512	453	..	158	38,339
Total .. .	90,278	83,261	8,160	3,258	726	3,640	189,323

The method of election is briefly the 'single transferable vote' system, and the law provides that a seat be allotted to each complete quota of votes in each division.

The quota in each division is ascertained by the following formula:—

$$\frac{\text{Number of valid ballot-papers}}{\text{Number to be elected} + 1} = \text{Quota.}$$

Any remainder is ignored.

The following table shows for each division, and for the State as a whole, the number of first preference votes, the number of quotas, and the seats won by each party:—

TABLE 6.—*Party Strength in Divisions as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1969*

Division	LABOR			LIBERAL			CENTRE PARTY			D.L.P.			INDEPENDENT			IND. UNGROUPED		
	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won	First Pref.	No. of Quotas	Seats Won
Bass .. .	15,553	3.89	3	16,919	3.69	4	1,634	.36	..	723	.16	..	726	.16	..	1,087	.24	..
Braddon .. .	21,153	4.30	4	13,544	2.75	2	4,115	.84	1	549	.11	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Dension .. .	17,198	3.49	3	18,676	3.80	4	248	.05	..	845	.17	..	..	..	..	2,395	.49	..
Franklin .. .	18,542	4.16	4	15,738	3.53	3	651	.15	..	688	.16	..	..	..	..	..	..	..
Wilmot .. .	17,832	3.72	3	18,384	3.84	4	1,512	.32	..	453	.09	..	..	..	..	158	.03	..
Total .. .	90,278	19.06	17	83,261	17.61	17	8,160	1.72	1	3,258	.69	..	726	.16	..	3,640	.76	..

The number of quotas allotted to each party and seats won was as follows:—

Party	Quotas Allotted		Seats Won
	First	No. of Quotas	
Labor .. .	..	..	17
Liberal .. .	..	..	17
Centre .. .	..	..	1
Others .. .	..	..	..

From the above table it will be seen that there were 2.06 quotas of Labor votes made up of unrepresented fractions for 17 members elected, Liberal votes were under-represented by 0.61 quotas for 17 members elected, Centre Party voters elected 1 member with 0.72 of a quota not represented, while other candidates were under represented by 1.61 quotas with no member elected.

The following Table 7 shows the party strength as indicated by the quotas of No. 1 preferences, and the seats actually won by Labor, Liberal and other groups at each general election since 1959, and the total for the State is the aggregate of the results for the five divisions.

TABLE 7.—*Party Strength as Indicated by No. 1 Preferences, 1959-69*

Division	1959			1964			1969					
	Labor	Liberal	Others	Labor	Liberal	Others	Labor	Liberal	Centre Party	D.L.P.	Independent	In- dependent Un- grouped
BASS— Quotas Seats Won ..	2.53 3	2.90 3	2.57 1	3.99 4	3.30 3	0.71 ..	3.39 3	3.69 4	0.36 ..	0.16 ..	0.16 ..	0.24 ..
BRADDON— Quotas Seats Won ..	4.17 4	3.39 3	0.44 ..	4.52 4	2.64 3	0.84 ..	4.30 4	2.75 2	0.84 1	0.11 ..	.. ..	.. ..
DENISON— Quotas Seats Won ..	3.16 3	3.18 3	1.66 1	3.53 3	3.59 4	0.88 ..	3.49 3	3.80 4	0.05 ..	0.17 ..	.. ..	0.49 ..
FRANKLIN— Quotas Seats Won ..	3.70 3	3.51 4	0.79 ..	4.26 4	2.92 3	0.82 ..	4.16 4	3.53 3	0.15 ..	0.16 ..	.. ..	.. ..
WILMOR— Quotas Seats Won ..	4.28 4	3.45 3	0.27 ..	4.28 4	3.00 3	0.72 ..	3.72 3	3.84 4	0.32 ..	0.09 ..	.. ..	0.03 ..
TOTAL— Quotas Seats Won ..	17.84 17	16.43 16	5.73 2	20.58 19	15.45 16	3.97 ..	19.06 17	17.61 17	1.72 1	0.69 ..	0.16 ..	0.76 ..

The number of quotas shown in the immediately preceding tables for the State as a whole is the sum of the quotas for each division which, of course, are not uniform. The following figures show the representation due to each party, based directly on the total number of first votes polled by each in the whole of the electorates or on the number of uniform quotas and the number of seats won.

#### *Strength of Parties on the Basis of No. 1 Preferences and Seats Won, 1969*

(The State as a Single Electorate)

	No. 1 Preferences	Seats in Proportion	Seats Won
Labor .. .. ..	90,278	17.17	17
Liberal .. .. ..	83,261	15.83	17
Centre .. .. ..	8,160	1.55	1
Others .. .. ..	7,624	1.45	..

The preferential system of voting therefore resulted in slight over-representation of Liberal at the expense of Labor, Centre Party and other candidates according to State-Wide First Choice Support.

A comparison between the number of seats due to parties, on the basis of No. 1 preferences and seats actually won, is given for all elections held since 1931 in the following table:—

TABLE 8.—*Representation of Parties for the Whole State, 1931-1969*

(Seats in Proportion of No. 1 Preferences, and seats actually won)

Year	Labor		Liberal (a)		Other	
	Proportionate Share	Seats Actually Won	Proportionate Share	Seats Actually Won	Proportionate Share	Seats Actually Won
1931 .. ..	10.47	10	16.92	19	2.61	1
1934 .. ..	13.74	14	14.01	13	2.25	3
1937 .. ..	17.61	18	11.64	12	0.75	..
1941 .. ..	18.78	20	10.98	10	0.24	..
1946 .. ..	15.29	16	10.27	12	4.44	2
1948 .. ..	14.82	15	11.35	12	3.83	3
1950 .. ..	14.59	15	14.27	14	1.14	1
1955 .. ..	15.79	15	13.60	15	0.61	..
1956 .. ..	15.08	15	13.08	15	1.84	..
1959 .. ..	15.58	17	14.37	16	5.05	2
1964 .. ..	17.96	19	13.47	16	3.57	..
1969 .. ..	17.17	17	15.83	17	3.00	1

(a) The details in these two columns prior to 1946 relate to the Nationalist Party, which was replaced by the Liberal Party as from that year.

A brief summary of the results of the 1969 election for each division is appended:—

#### BASS

There were 23 candidates in this Division, of whom eight were Labor, seven Liberal, three Centre Party, three Democratic Labor and two Independent. Valid votes numbered 36,642 of which 16,919 were recorded for Liberal, 15,553 Labor, 1,634 for the Centre Party, 723 for Democratic Labor and 1,813 for Independents. Liberal candidates secured 3.69 quotas and gained four seats; Labor 3.39 quotas for three seats and the remaining candidates obtained 4,170 representing 0.92 of a quota.

A Labor candidate was elected at count 10, two Liberal candidates at count 31 and another Labor candidate at count 43. A Liberal candidate was elected at count 158 and another Liberal at count 205. The seventh vacancy was filled by a Labor candidate at count 236. Nine deposits were forfeited in this Division two Labor, one Liberal two Centre Party, two Democratic Labor and two Independents.

#### BRADDON

Twenty one candidates—eight Labor, seven Liberal, four Centre Party and two Democratic Labor nominated for Braddon. Labor polled 21,153 votes, 4.30 quotas for four seats; Liberal 13,544 votes, 2.75 quotas for two seats; the Centre Party 4,115 votes, 0.84 quotas for 1 seat and the Democratic Labor Party 549 votes; the total vote being 39,361.

First preference votes secured the election of one Labor candidate. A Liberal candidate was elected at count 55. One Labor candidate was elected at each of the counts 77, 87 and 126. A Centre Party candidate and a Liberal Party candidate were elected at count 169. In this Division eight candidates forfeited their deposits. These were:—two Labor, one Liberal, three Centre Party and two Democratic Labor.

#### DENISON

This Division was contested by twenty-one candidates—eight Labor, eight Liberal, two Centre Party, two Democratic Labor and one Independent. Of the 39,362 valid votes, Labor polled 17,198 votes, 3.49 quotas for three seats; Liberal 18,676 votes, 3.80 quotas for four seats; the Independent 2,395, Democratic Labor 845 and the Centre Party candidates 248 votes.

One Liberal and one Labor candidate were elected on first preferences, and the remaining candidates in the following orders:—Liberal candidates at count 103, 144 and 157; two Labor candidates at count 192. Six candidates:—two Labor, two Centre Party and two Democratic Labor forfeited their deposits.

#### FRANKLIN

Nineteen candidates contested the election for Franklin. Eight of these were Labor, seven Liberal, two Centre Party and two Democratic Labor. The total valid vote was 35,619 and of this number Labor candidates polled 18,542 votes, 4.16 quotas for four seats; Liberals 15,738 votes, 3.52 quotas for three seats; the Centre Party 651 votes and Democratic Labor 688 votes. Two Labor candidates and one Liberal were elected on first preferences; two more Labor candidates at count 170; a Liberal candidate at count 193 and another Liberal at count 194.

Deposits were forfeited by five candidates, one Liberal, two Centre Party and two Democratic Labor.

#### WILMOT

Wilmot was contested by twenty-one candidates—eight Labor, seven Liberal, three Centre Party, two Democratic Labor and one Independent. Labor polled 17,832 of the total of 38,339 valid votes for 3.72 quotas and three seats; Liberals totalled 18,384 votes, 3.84 quotas for four seats; the Centre Party 1,512; Democratic Labor 453 and the one Independent polled 158 votes.

One Liberal and one Labor were elected on primary votes; two more Liberals at count 68: Labor candidates at the 117 and 140 counts and a Liberal candidate at count 164.

There were seven deposits forfeited by candidates, two Labor, one Liberal, two Centre Party and two Democratic Labor.

#### ABSENT VOTES, POSTAL VOTES, AND SECTION 116A

Under the provisions of Section 116A, 369 persons whose names were not on the roll claimed the right to vote, and filled in ballot-papers. Of these 256 were rejected because their claim to be on the roll could not be sustained. The remaining 113 were admitted to the scrutiny and four of them rejected for informalities, leaving 109 valid votes under Section 116A.

The number of absent votes was 13,706, but these included some whose names were not, in fact, on the roll, and 1,249 were rejected on this account. A further 661 were rejected for informalities, leaving 11,796 valid absent votes.

Taking together the claims under Section 116A and attempts to vote under provisions for electors absent from their usual subdivisions, the number of votes disallowed were:—1969, 1,505; 1964, 2,537; 1959, 2,515; 1956, 1,941; 1955, 1,703; 1950, 1,749; 1948, 1,650; 1946, 1,448; 1941, 2,283; 1937, 1,897; 1934, 1,687; 1931, 2,086. These disallowed votes are not counted either as votes recorded or as informal votes.

TABLE 9.—*Votes under Section 116A and Absent Votes, 1969*

Division	Votes under Section 116A						Absent Votes		
	Papers Received			Admitted to Scrutiny	Papers Received			Admitted to Scrutiny	
	Males	Females	Total		Males	Females	Total		
Bass ..	15	9	24	9	1,508	1,190	2,698	2,520	
Braddon ..	71	51	122	54	1,674	1,648	3,322	3,073	
Denison ..	44	38	82	16	1,392	1,274	2,666	2,401	
Franklin ..	20	14	34	20	1,010	872	1,882	1,679	
Wilmot ..	52	55	107	14	1,761	1,377	3,138	2,784	
Total ..	202	167	369	113	7,345	6,361	13,706	12,457	

TABLE 10.—*Valid Postal Votes in Parties, 1964*

Division	Labor	Liberal	Centre Party	D.L.P.	Other	Total
Bass ..	454	682	43	14	44	1,237
Braddon ..	424	509	109	13	..	1,055
Denison ..	657	920	5	43	107	1,732
Franklin ..	493	602	26	18	..	1,139
Wilmot ..	286	459	22	25	7	799
Total ..	2,314	3,172	205	113	158	5,962

TABLE 11.—*Summary of Valid Absent, Postal and Section 116A Voting, 1946 to 1969*

Kind of Vote	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955	1950	1948	1946
Valid Absent Votes ..	12,457	8,113	8,668	11,849	11,141	9,576	8,766	8,856
Valid Votes under Section 116A ..	109	108	213	168	171	117	176	173
Valid Postal Votes ..	5,962	4,741	4,717	4,511	4,252	4,641	4,666	4,837

## INFORMAL BALLOT-PAPERS

A summary of the proportion of informal ballot-papers in each division at each general election since 1912 is as follows:—

TABLE 12.—*Percentage of Informal Ballot-Papers, 1912-1969*

	Year		Bass	Braddon	Denison	Franklin	Wilmot	All Divisions
1912	..	..	2.63	2.97	2.67	2.78	3.26	2.85
1913	..	..	2.49	3.07	3.35	3.17	2.39	2.91
1916	..	..	3.88	5.49	5.63	6.52	5.53	5.66*
1919	..	..	3.33	4.36	3.02	3.34	6.06	3.96*
1922	..	..	2.05	2.56	2.58	2.86	3.20	2.63
1925	..	..	1.82	2.51	2.12	2.25	2.40	2.22
1928	..	..	3.38	3.23	2.64	2.83	4.12	3.24
1931	..	..	3.10	3.27	3.45	3.05	2.87	3.44
1934	..	..	3.44	2.95	3.10	2.76	3.85	3.19
1937	..	..	2.33	2.60	2.00	2.26	2.88	2.41
1941	..	..	6.16	4.70	4.97	5.43	3.62	4.99
1946	..	..	10.95	7.96	11.01	10.48	10.07	10.08
1948	..	..	3.21	4.51	4.49	4.09	3.28	3.95
1950	..	..	3.88	3.82	6.25	4.21	4.28	4.48
1955	..	..	4.06	3.22	3.81	3.82	4.00	3.79
1956	..	..	4.65	3.41	4.15	4.33	4.40	4.19
1959	..	..	6.50	4.94	6.39	5.87	5.02	5.76
1964	..	..	4.98	3.40	4.54	4.68	3.95	4.32
1968	..	..	5.09	5.29	3.10	3.17	5.15	4.37
1969	..	..	4.72	4.21	4.96	4.47	4.92	4.66

\*The proportions in these years were exaggerated by the inclusion of votes by persons who were not on the roll.

TABLE 13.—*Elections held in Tasmania, 1912-1969—Informal Ballot-Papers*

General Elections		Elec- torates Con- tested	Date of Election	Total Number of Ballot- papers	Number of Informal Papers	Percent- age of Informal Papers	Method of Marking Paper
Federal	State						
..	Assembly	5	30.4.1912	76,052	2,166	2.85	Preference 1, 2, 3
..	Assembly	5	23.1.1913	70,812	2,035	2.87	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	31.5.1913	80,398	4,927	6.13	X opposite three names
Representatives	..	5			2,470	3.07	X opposite one name
Senate (Dissolution)	..	1	5.9.1914	82,253	3,871	4.71	X opposite six names
Representatives	..	4		65,103	1,549	2.38	X opposite name
..	Assembly	5	25.3.1916	78,984	4,348	5.51	Preference 1, 2, 3
Referendum	..	..	28.10.1916	88,231	1,905	2.16	X opposite Preference
Senate	..	1	5.5.1917	82,608	3,075	3.72	X opposite three names
Representatives	..	4		65,873	2,021	3.07	X opposite name
Referendum	..	..	20.12.1917	78,792	1,409	1.79	Preference 1, 2
..	Assembly	5	31.5.1919	71,064	2,757	3.88	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	13.12.1919	65,716	6,364	9.68	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives	..	5			3,304	5.03	Preference 1, 2, 3
..	Assembly	5	10.6.1922	69,752	1,834	2.63	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	16.12.1922	51,220	4,750	9.27	Preference 1 to 7
Representatives	..	5			3,304	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
..	Assembly	5	3.6.1925	77,281	1,714	2.22	Preference, 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	14.11.1925	102,742	11,578	11.27	Preference 1 to 9
Representatives	..	5			5,027	4.89	Preference 1, 2, 3
Referendum	..	..	4.9.1926	98,356	(1) 5,367 (2) 8,460	5.46 8.60	Preference 1, 2
..	Assembly	5	30.5.1928	91,679	2,973	3.24	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	17.11.1928	106,153	16,694	15.73	Preference 1 to 6
Representatives	..	5			6,842	6.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5	12.10.1929	110,927	2,064	1.86	Preference 1, 2, 3
..	Assembly	5	9.5.1931	112,779	3,885	3.45	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5		116,471	(a)	(a)	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	19.12.1931				
..	Assembly	5	9.6.1934	120,622	3,855	3.19	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5	15.9.1934	123,801	4,840	3.91	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1			20,183	16.30	
..	Assembly	5	20.2.1937	124,460	2,997	2.41	Preference 1, 2, 3
Referendum	..	..	6.3.1937	125,016	(1) 7,882 (2) 12,621	6.30 10.10	Preference 1, 2
Representatives	..	5	23.10.1937	128,679	3,613	2.81	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1			16,258	12.63	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives	..	5	21.9.1940	130,786	4,102	3.13	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1			17,067	13.05	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
..	Assembly	5	13.12.1941	127,034	6,344	4.99	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives	..	5		139,116	4,975	3.58	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1			18,831	13.54	
Referendum	..	..	19.8.1944	139,411	2,256	1.62	Preference 1, 2
Representatives	..	5	28.9.1946	144,879	3,706	2.56	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1			17,113	11.81	
..	Assembly	5	23.11.1946	143,674	14,484	10.08	Preference 1, 2, 3
Referendum	..	..	29.5.1948	145,135	2,853	1.97	Preference 1, 2
..	Assembly	5	21.8.1948	148,588	5,866	3.95	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5		155,061	4,065	2.62	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1	10.12.1949		16,356	10.55	
..	Assembly	5	6.5.1950	152,785	6,841	4.48	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5	28.4.1951	158,568	4,966	3.13	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1			18,360	11.57	
Referendum	..	..	22.9.1951	158,596	3,093	1.95	Preference 1, 2
Senate	..	1	9.5.1953	162,061	8,256	5.09	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives	..	5	29.5.1954	166,179	1,597	0.96	Preference 1, 2, 3
..	Assembly	5	19.2.1955	162,637	6,158	3.79	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1		167,169	7,039	4.21	Preference 1, 2, 3, etc. for all candidates
..	Assembly	5	13.10.1956	166,293	6,968	4.19	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5	22.11.1958	171,911	7,005	4.07	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1			19,271	11.21	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
..	Assembly	5	2.5.1959	170,559	9,816	5.76	Preference 1, 2, 3
Representatives	..	5	9.12.1961	177,570	5,679	3.19	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Senate	..	1			17,725	9.98	
..	Assembly	5	30.11.1963	183,020	2,293	1.25	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
..	Assembly	5	2.5.1964	184,571	7,980	4.32	Preference 1, 2, 3
Senate	..	1	5.12.1964	184,922	6,472	3.50	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Representatives	..	5					Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
..	..	5	26.11.1966	189,200	2,849	1.51	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
Referendum	..	..		189,245	3,821	2.02	Vote Yes or No
Senate	..	1	25.11.1967	193,307	9,711	5.02	Preference 1, 2, 3 etc. for all candidates
..	Referendum	..	14.12.1968	191,040	8,339	4.37	Preference 1, 2
..	Assembly	5	10.5.1969	198,571	9,248	4.66	Preference 1, 2, 3

(a) Details are not available.

## EXHAUSTED BALLOT-PAPERS

TABLE 14.—*Votes Lost by Exhaustion, 1934-1969*

Division	1969	1964	1959	1956	1955	1950	1948	1946	1941	1937	1934
Bass .. ..	941	631	1,043	484	602	29	163	817	1,097	974	1,364
Braddon .. ..	1,191	3,385	929	2,214	790	753	1,830	1,490	420	194	687
Denison .. ..	1,147	1,017	1,845	891	503	718	1,329	1,175	575	1,025	1,344
Franklin .. ..	919	2,312	1,406	1,041	1,798	633	2,532	2,058	915	323	859
Wilmot .. ..	799	487	871	345	326	521	1,006	1,875	186	311	1,096
Total .. ..	4,997	7,832	6,094	4,975	4,019	2,654	6,851	7,415	3,193	2,827	5,350
Percentage of Total Valid Votes .. ..	2.64	4.43	3.79	3.12	2.57	1.82	4.80	5.74	2.65	2.33	4.58

The figures in the above table represent the number of votes which were discarded during the actual count because there was no further choice marked on them which could be made effective. These votes do not, in all cases, indicate unrepresented electors, because many of them had contributed to the election of successful candidates before they became exhausted.

TABLE 15.—*Brief Sketch of the Development of the Elective Franchise for House of Assembly in Tasmania Since the Year 1870*

Year	Adult Persons (Estimated)	Estimated Mean Population	Elected Parliamentary Representatives	Electoral Divisions	Constituencies				Electors Enrolled		Lower Range of Electors' Qualification to Franchise in Possession of—	
					One-Member	Two-Member	Six-Member	Seven-Member	No.	Per cent to Total Adult Population	Adult Population	
1870	48,210	100,038	30	30	30	..	..	..	11,171*	11.17	23.17	A.—Adult Males Property value of £7
1871	48,820	101,393	32	32	32	..	..	..	13,801*	13.61	28.27	Property value of £7
1872	49,380	102,467	32	32	32	..	..	..	14,001*	13.67	28.35	Salary £80 annually
1881	65,750	116,280	32	32	32	..	..	..	15,659*	13.47	28.09	Salary £80 annually
1891	73,260	147,969	36	28	20	8	..	..	25,932*	17.53	35.40	Salary or wage £60
1901	86,490	172,525	38	29	20	9	..	..	39,002*	22.61	45.09	Salary or wage £60
1902	87,810	175,173	35	35	35	..	..	..	39,495*	22.54	44.98	Manhood Suffrage
1906	92,370	184,272	35	35	35	..	..	..	89,029	48.31	96.38	B.—Adult Suffrage
1908	93,980	187,485	35	35	35	..	..	..	86,361	46.07	91.89	Adult Suffrage
1909	100,070	190,227	30	5	..	..	..	..	98,230	51.64	98.18	Adult Suffrage
1911	99,960	190,120	30	5	..	..	..	..	102,326	53.82	102.40	Adult Suffrage
1912	100,750	190,769	30	5	..	..	..	..	103,513	54.26	102.74	Adult Suffrage
1913	103,050	194,361	30	5	..	..	..	..	105,292	55.24	102.17	Adult Suffrage
1916	104,180	194,265	30	5	..	..	..	..	107,321	54.17	103.01	Adult Suffrage
1919	111,190	204,059	30	5	..	..	..	..	107,541	52.47	96.72	Adult Suffrage
1922	118,320	215,379	30	5	..	..	..	..	110,549	51.33	93.43	Adult Suffrage
1925	120,360	215,552	30	5	..	..	..	..	114,901	53.30	95.46	Adult Suffrage
1928	122,250	215,471	30	5	..	..	..	..	111,956	51.96	91.58	Adult Suffrage
1931	129,600	224,811	30	5	..	..	..	..	118,730	52.81	91.61	Adult Suffrage
1934	132,982	229,289	30	5	..	..	..	..	127,681	55.69	96.01	Adult Suffrage
1937	135,321	234,463	30	5	..	..	..	..	132,001	56.30	97.55	Adult Suffrage
1941	138,933	240,389	30	5	..	..	..	..	139,234	57.92	100.22	Adult Suffrage
1946	145,800	252,192	30	5	..	..	..	..	157,756	62.55	108.20	Adult Suffrage
1948	156,000	263,445	30	5	..	..	..	..	161,088	60.88	103.26	Adult Suffrage
1950	†	278,785	30	5	..	..	..	..	161,650	57.73	†	Adult Suffrage
1955	186,800	315,565	30	5	..	..	..	..	173,165	54.87	92.70	Adult Suffrage
1956	†	321,039	30	5	..	..	..	..	174,632	54.39	†	Adult Suffrage
1959	†	341,423	35	5	..	..	..	..	180,344	52.82	†	Adult Suffrage
1964	†	368,067	35	5	..	..	..	..	193,418	52.54	†	Adult Suffrage
1969	†	388,464	35	5	..	..	..	..	210,268	54.10	†	Adult Suffrage

\*Male only.

†Not available.

## VOTING IN SUBDIVISIONS

The following tables, 16 to 20, give for each division the number of first ordinary vote choices for each candidate in each subdivision, and in absent votes, votes under Section 116A, and postal votes. The number of electors on the roll, and electors who voted, by sexes, and the number of informal papers, are also given for the same subdivisions. The candidates are grouped in parties, and the total votes are given for the groups. Although the independent candidates are grouped together they need not necessarily be considered as a political party group.

TABLE 16.—*Primary Votes (by Subdivisions) Division of Bass*

Subdivision	ELECTORS		PRIMARY VOTES										VOTES		
	Enrolled	Voted	Group A—Independent		Group B—Labor		Group C—C.P.		Group D—Liberal		Group E—D.L.P.				
			Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	
Flinders	324	285	600	266	227	493	9	1	32	53	1	28	3	26	
George Town	1,316	1,242	2,658	1,118	1,046	2,164	23	6	29	175	301	36	104	197	84
Lawn Central	622	577	1,379	456	591	1,047	7	4	11	72	128	40	76	10	94
Lawn East	2,174	2,051	5,225	1,991	2,389	4,226	31	37	68	213	449	62	450	33	133
Lawn North	1,474	1,654	3,128	1,423	2,731	36	38	74	183	462	225	437	25	78	120
Lawn South	3,691	4,446	8,137	3,200	3,569	6,769	52	58	110	270	656	52	637	60	272
Lawn West	2,786	3,113	5,899	2,373	2,563	4,936	46	39	85	181	514	83	497	50	157
Lilydale	2,088	2,077	4,165	1,826	1,836	3,662	58	24	82	192	525	90	341	58	217
Portland	427	429	856	363	369	732	7	..	7	15	22	1	228	6	60
Ringarooma	790	706	1,496	685	611	1,296	2	6	8	64	118	31	238	11	127
St. Leonards	2,677	2,885	5,562	2,373	2,443	4,818	72	57	159	291	665	92	544	58	181
Scottsdale	1,047	1,043	2,090	902	883	1,785	10	6	16	135	86	15	307	5	45
Absent Votes	..	..	..	1,401	1,119	2,520	28	22	50	168	284	35	212	35	84
Votes under 8.	..	..	..	..	6	3	9	..	..	..	2	..	1	..	..
Postal Votes	..	..	..	..	505	763	1,268	5	12	17	60	121	35	101	14
Total	19,416	21,688	41,104	18,673	19,784	38,457	336	340	726	2,041	4,376	798	15,553	1,034	544
													1,077	892	1,158
													1,634	3,195	4,861
													1,256	4,246	763
													1,087	338	723
													3,642	1,815	38,457

\*Elected Candidate.

(a) Forteited deposit.

TABLE 17.—Primary Votes (by Subdivisions) Division of Braddon

Subdivision	ELECTORS		PRIMARY VOTES												Total Valid Votes Interim																							
			Group A—Liberal						Group B—Labor						Group C—D.L.P.		Group D—C.P.																					
	Electors Enrolled	Electors Who Voted	Males	Females	Per capita	Per capita	DAVIES	Moore	WALKER (a)	YOUNG	Total Group A	BRETTON	COSTELLO*	CHISHOLM*	COOKE*	QUIGGANNESSY (a)	TOTAL GROUP B	Ward*	Reeves*	Group C—D.L.P.	Group D—C.P.																	
Circular Head	2,282	1,964	4,246	3,668	1,930	1,748	3,848	7,557	41	634	19	81	155	1,396	37	82	25	50	10	682	781	1,702	18	107	3	15	311	435	3,501	167	3,638							
Devonport	...	4,219	4,556	8,775	3,706	3,848	8,680	4,305	450	349	82	16	1,236	20	162	2,413	254	510	92	20	84	47	2,577	120	3,713	68	6	74	15	4	1,056	7,256	301	7,557				
Emu Bay	...	5,306	4,917	10,223	4,373	4,221	984	221	76	23	14	2	5	364	28	47	169	106	1,151	207	347	110	2,727	115	4,832	99	29	128	16	50	22	635	723	8,358	321	8,630		
King Island	...	650	566	1,216	534	450	984	554	221	76	23	14	2	5	364	28	47	169	106	1,151	207	347	110	2,727	115	4,832	99	29	128	16	50	22	635	723	8,358	321	8,630	
Leven	...	3,028	2,980	5,988	2,554	2,584	5,118	560	1,090	85	25	85	25	142	2,012	528	175	50	14	34	8	1	6	286	46	425	8	3	11	11	1	1	114	126	926	58	984	
Leyell	...	1,633	1,324	2,957	1,405	1,126	2,531	170	58	59	15	9	18	26	355	57	45	36	7	5	25	1,707	35	1,917	46	..	46	12	..	1	66	79	2,397	134	2,581			
Penguin	...	1,306	1,216	2,522	1,002	1,036	2,128	616	54	33	12	15	10	170	940	49	103	100	20	24	15	529	21	867	14	3	17	4	2	32	181	319	2,048	86	2,128			
Table Cape	...	2,066	2,630	5,299	2,344	2,212	4,556	440	167	108	57	15	262	545	1,624	71	117	412	54	119	40	1,332	74	2,219	33	43	76	25	7	13	397	442	4,361	195	4,556			
Wartah	...	344	283	627	253	201	454	32	8	14	4	6	3	9	76	23	12	5	7	8	2	267	2	326	2	1	3	5	..	1	13	19	424	30	454			
Zeehan	...	879	631	1,530	689	527	1,216	82	18	24	8	8	14	13	167	37	114	14	8	10	9	738	16	944	13	..	13	7	..	..	33	40	1,104	52	1,216			
Absent Votes	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	1,514	1,529	3,073	341	187	106	87	99	53	1,061	99	106	155	30	28	18	1,055	76	1,567	59	5	64	11	8	14	222	255	2,947	126	3,073	
Votes under \$1	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	31	23	54	2	1	..	5	1	2	11	2	3	5	..	21	1	34	..	..	..	..	..	6	6	51	3	54				
Postal Votes	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	465	607	1,072	160	136	36	25	62	18	72	509	32	41	56	7	13	9	239	27	424	12	1	13	8	..	11	90	100	1,065	17	1,072
Total	...	22,316	21,067	43,388	20,915	20,176	41,091	4,087	2,555	999	900	1,691	633	2,619	13,544	1,386	1,476	2,104	432	600	304	13,452	1,399	21,153	443	106	549	292	75	252	3,546	4,115	39,361	1,730	41,091			

\*Elected Candidate.

(a) Forfeited deposit.

TABLE 18.—Primary Votes (by Subdivisions) Division of Denison

Subdivision	ELECTORS		PRIMARY VOTES												VOTES																		
	Enrolled		Voted		Group A—Labor						Group B—D.L.P.						Group C Liberal						Unopposed	Total									
	Males	Females	Total	Males	Females	Total	Hill (a)	McLaughlin	Rutherford	Total Group A	Polyay (a)	Dealeys (a)	Total Group B	Baker*	Banks*	Brown*	Strutt	Mather*	Hand	Total Group C	Centre Party	Group D	Unopposed	Total									
Hobart ..	571	637	1,208	497	460	957	109	75	142	26	10	94	31	9	496	7	6	13	99	24	27	11	22	2589	2	2	64	866	91				
Hobart North ..	2,126	2,220	4,446	1,811	1,920	3,740	280	227	449	67	43	517	193	48	1,824	47	7	54	460	117	137	163	94	71	215	92	1,349	18	7	20	2,333	3,480	260
Hobart South ..	1,931	2,246	4,227	1,555	1,825	3,380	365	242	367	73	79	182	65	26	1,399	72	15	87	494	102	171	233	130	69	198	80	1,497	3	10	13	197	3,193	187
Hobart West ..	1,662	1,747	3,409	1,536	1,575	2,911	237	213	360	99	50	249	103	48	1,329	44	13	57	325	75	169	144	74	77	198	89	1,151	8	7	15	177	2,729	182
Merton ..	1,976	2,011	3,987	1,713	1,741	3,454	356	254	562	52	89	240	112	298	1,963	68	15	88	405	92	136	115	51	95	101	50	1,045	17	8	25	144	3,290	194
Moondah ..	2,961	2,844	5,805	2,542	2,543	5,085	504	512	925	94	117	396	182	213	2,943	75	22	97	585	102	161	186	86	85	161	98	1,484	22	17	39	272	5,085	270
Nelson ..	2,546	3,334	5,880	2,208	2,548	4,756	169	259	344	39	71	116	14	20	1,032	86	12	98	537	372	393	558	262	181	203	2,351	24	11	35	216	4,632	124	
New Town ..	3,853	4,685	8,438	3,276	3,698	6,974	427	465	907	73	93	148	109	2,658	134	24	158	982	251	315	556	205	196	622	166	3,323	19	21	40	401	6,670	304	
Queenborough ..	1,556	1,743	3,299	1,225	1,474	2,699	246	249	268	54	53	147	35	12	1,004	34	9	43	277	118	149	179	270	47	151	66	1,257	9	7	16	173	2,553	146
Tarooma ..	1,889	1,367	3,246	1,596	1,685	3,281	198	186	293	39	63	97	25	31	922	38	19	57	434	201	310	432	157	97	251	84	1,906	9	5	14	202	3,161	120
Absent Votes ..	..	..	..	1,144	1,157	2,401	186	190	282	36	35	105	31	39	904	46	9	55	318	97	143	182	90	60	195	70	1,155	12	10	22	119	2,255	146
Sec. 116a Votes ..	..	..	..	8	8	16	1	1	..	1	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..		
Postal Votes ..	..	..	..	634	1,129	1,763	135	105	174	20	35	122	31	35	687	34	9	48	224	71	71	146	77	45	224	62	920	4	1	5	107	1,732	31
Total ..	21,071	23,524	44,595	19,645	21,772	41,417	3,213	2,978	5,073	643	739	2,692	971	889	17,108	685	100	845	5,144	1,632	2,182	2,999	1,524	1,035	3,084	1,086	18,676	142	106	248	2,395	39,392	2,055

\*Elected Candidate

(a) Fortified deposit.

TABLE 19.—*Primary Votes (by Subdivisions) Division of Franklin*

Subdivision	ELECTORS		PRIMARY VOTES												Votes																						
	Enrolled		Group A—D.L.P.				Group B—Labor				Group C—Liberal				Group D—C.P.																						
	Males	Females	Males	Females	Dohrn	Potter*	Martine	Lowe*	Parsons	Nelson*	Clark*	Thrigood	Ryan (a)	Barnshaw (a)	Total Group D	Total Valid Votes	Total																				
Clarence	8,991	117,541	7,563	7,888	15,451	167	62	229	3,150	142	148	277	586	291	9,267	417	7,278	1,467	556	1,292	1,032	516	1,789	7,080	65	216	281	14,808	583	15,461							
Esperance	977	899	1,576	871	798	1,639	7	2	9	74	28	104	63	25	78	280	91	743	506	31	40	136	20	41	21	795	14	23	37	1,684	85	1,669					
Glenorchy	6,453	6,472	13,025	5,769	5,798	11,562	165	58	223	1,336	108	512	227	1,313	1,179	1,714	286	6,935	763	1,113	372	631	74	485	233	3,671	42	128	170	10,999	563	11,562					
Huon	1,422	1,352	2,774	1,320	1,208	2,528	27	7	34	146	21	82	72	36	11	196	392	956	902	40	114	220	11	36	14	1,360	14	21	35	2,385	143	2,528					
Port Cygnet	608	619	1,287	591	560	1,151	74	18	92	77	59	19	96	42	13	106	38	449	298	16	78	79	19	11	18	519	10	18	28	1,088	63	1,151					
Snug	1,210	1,216	2,426	1,087	966	2,053	14	6	20	62	79	28	471	23	14	176	71	924	377	20	176	306	22	32	47	980	15	27	27	42	1,986	87	2,058				
Absent Votes	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	885	794	1,679	48	14	62	233	30	35	71	60	52	219	64	754	204	75	100	148	27	42	127	723	12	20	32	1,571	108	1,679
Votes under section 116a	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	11	9	20	1	...	1	1	...	1	10	...	1	5	1	1	...	8	...	...	19	1	20	...	...	...	...	...	...	
Postal Votes	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	456	716	1,171	13	5	18	177	4	21	33	60	76	102	20	493	130	74	66	120	22	33	157	602	9	17	26	1,139	32	1,171
Total	19,280	19,649	38,929	18,553	18,751	37,284	516	172	688	5,309	471	949	1,311	2,346	1,714	5,062	1,380	18,542	4,647	1,926	2,243	2,673	712	1,108	2,429	15,738	181	470	651	35,619	1,665	37,284					

(a) Forfeited deposit.

\*Elected Candidates

TABLE 20.—*Primary Votes (by Subdivisions) Division of Wilmot*

ELECTORS	PRIMARY		VOTES		Group A—Labor	Group B—Centre Party	Group C—Liberal	Group D—DLP				
	Enrolled		Voted									
	Males	Females	Males	Females								
<b>VOTES</b>												
Subdivision	Enrolled	Voted	Male	Female	Total	Total Group A	Total Group B	Total Group C				
Peacocksfield	2,789	2,697	5,486	4,241	2,403	4,824	789	1,522				
Southwell	281	267	548	233	211	444	22	149				
Brighton	948	765	1,713	804	736	1,540	98	248				
Appomattox Town	829	779	1,608	724	693	1,417	116	187				
Petrolia	1,448	1,439	2,877	1,219	2,496	135	105	164				
Lyndale	1,249	1,237	2,486	1,076	1,249	157	115	159				
Franklin	678	670	1,348	560	570	1,190	56	27				
Hannington	362	367	729	294	297	591	34	40				
Dundonald	303	743	1,646	724	617	1,341	125	17				
Kentish	1,649	1,500	3,149	1,402	1,244	2,706	196	243				
Arabre	2,072	2,040	4,112	1,814	1,546	3,609	270	538				
Longford	1,634	1,522	3,056	1,290	1,329	2,638	312	98				
New Norfolk	2,539	2,318	4,857	2,173	2,027	4,200	292	31				
Abbotslands	776	682	1,468	694	605	1,299	57	51				
Duthie	493	456	949	449	399	848	47	11				
Orrell	1,041	971	2,012	880	878	1,758	153	31				
Spring Bay	354	343	697	316	288	604	17	5				
Asman	343	306	649	299	271	570	28	15				
Testbury	1,439	1,428	2,867	1,246	1,293	2,539	114	95				
Westpoint Votes	...	...	...	...	...	1,536	1,188	2,754				
lota 116a Votes	...	...	...	...	...	8	6	14				
Testista Votes	...	...	...	...	...	...	...	...				
Total	21,727	20,550	42,257	20,639	19,623	40,322	3,295	1,02				
Total	21	21	42	21	21	42	3	1				

(a) Forfeited deposit.

TABLE 21.—Result of Scrutiny—Division of Base  
Electors on Roll, 41,104. Total Valid Votes, 36,642 Quota, 4,581. Informal Papers, 1,815

No. of Count	CANDIDATES										Remarks						
	Group A— Independent					Group B—Labor					Group C—C.P.			Group D—Liberal			
	Brookfield	Atkins	Dowdy	Praeter	Lowe	Madden	Keweenaw	Smith	Weston	Total Group C	Entrepeneur	Bentham	Hume	Total Group D			
1	346	726	2,041	4,276	708	4,270	501	1,538	1,077	892	15,153	1,034	56	644	1,034		
2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	21	43	..	..	..		
3	346	341	2,041	4,376	708	4,272	502	1,540	1,077	892	15,458	1,036	56	645	1,034		
4	..	1	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	21	3	..	..	..		
5	347	343	2,043	4,385	800	4,277	502	1,542	1,078	892	15,470	1,039	..	649	1,038		
6	125	125	18	20	10	11	4	9	8	..	81	16	..	25	23		
7-9	512	..	2,061	4,405	810	4,288	506	1,551	1,086	893	15,680	1,075	..	578	1,053		
10-14	..	..	136	136	811	4,270	507	1,551	1,086	893	15,680	1,075	..	411	1,053		
15	..	..	..	..	2,197	4,464	821	4,304	571	1,659	901	15,010	1,116	..	905	1,711	
16	..	..	..	..	71	165	20	116	-571	1,659	901	15,010	1,116	..	905	1,711	
17	..	..	..	..	2,298	4,429	841	4,419	..	1,665	925	15,884	1,119	..	598	1,717	
18	..	..	..	..	20	-48	4	5	..	43	..	..	..	..	..	..	
19	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
20-22	..	..	..	..	2,298	4,581	845	4,424	..	1,672	1,130	929	15,470	1,119	..	598	1,717
23-30	..	..	..	..	2,295	4,581	849	4,411	..	1,692	1,134	944	15,326	1,096	..	499	1,711
31-40	..	..	..	..	2,314	4,581	856	4,477	..	1,706	1,137	980	16,057	1,506	..	1,566	1,549
41	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,506	..	1,566	1,548
42	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
43-53	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
54	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
55-69	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
70-90	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
91-119	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
120-167	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
168-203	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
204	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
205-236	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
237	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549

No. of Count	CANDIDATES										Remarks						
	Group A— Independent					Group B—Labor					Group C—C.P.			Group D—Liberal			
	Brookfield	Atkins	Dowdy	Praeter	Lowe	Madden	Keweenaw	Smith	Weston	Total Group C	Entrepeneur	Bentham	Hume	Total Group D			
1	346	726	2,041	4,276	708	4,270	501	1,538	1,077	892	15,153	1,034	56	644	1,034		
2	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	21	43	..	..	..		
3	346	341	2,041	4,376	708	4,272	502	1,540	1,077	892	15,458	1,036	56	645	1,034		
4	..	2	3	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	21	3	..	..	..		
5	347	343	2,043	4,385	800	4,277	502	1,542	1,078	892	15,470	1,039	..	649	1,038		
6	125	125	18	20	10	11	4	9	8	..	81	16	..	25	23		
7-9	512	..	2,061	4,405	810	4,288	506	1,551	1,086	893	15,680	1,075	..	578	1,053		
10-14	..	..	136	136	811	4,270	507	1,551	1,086	893	15,680	1,075	..	411	1,053		
15	..	..	..	..	2,197	4,464	821	4,304	571	1,659	901	15,010	1,116	..	905	1,711	
16	..	..	..	..	71	165	20	116	-571	1,659	901	15,010	1,116	..	905	1,711	
17	..	..	..	..	2,298	4,429	841	4,419	..	1,665	925	15,884	1,119	..	598	1,717	
18	..	..	..	..	20	-48	4	5	..	43	..	..	..	..	..	..	
19	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
20-22	..	..	..	..	2,298	4,581	845	4,424	..	1,672	1,130	929	15,470	1,119	..	598	1,717
23-30	..	..	..	..	2,295	4,581	849	4,411	..	1,692	1,134	944	15,326	1,096	..	499	1,711
31-40	..	..	..	..	2,314	4,581	856	4,477	..	1,706	1,137	980	16,057	1,506	..	1,566	1,549
41	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,506	..	1,566	1,548
42	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
43-53	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
54	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
55-69	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
70-90	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
91-119	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
120-167	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
168-203	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
204	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
205-236	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
237	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
238	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
239	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
240	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
241	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
242	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
243	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
244	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
245	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,489	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567	1,549
246	..	..	..	..	2,317	4,581	857	4,488	..	1,711	1,139	987	16,080	1,507	..	1,567</td	

TABLE 22.—Result of Scrutiny—Division of Braddon  
Electors on Roll, 43,383. Total Valid Vote, 39,361. Quota, 4,921. Informal Papers, 1,730.

No. of Count	CANDIDATES											Remarks	
	Group A—Liberal			Group B—Labor			Group C—D.L.P.			Group D—C.P.			
	Barker	Davies	Dehennet	Moore	Grey	Walker	Briffett	Cuthbert	Crabbetho	Crowe	Hodgkinson		
1	4,087	2,555	999	980	5	1,691	633	2,619	13,544	1,476	4,32	600	
2	90	39	48	11	24	1,715	614	2,665	13,507	1,485	322	394	
3-4	4,177	2,594	1,047	965	..	1,716	614	2,665	13,507	1,213	754	994	
5-6	4,180	2,597	1,049	965	..	1,716	615	2,665	13,817	1,213	754	995	
7-11	4,182	2,597	1,049	965	..	1,716	615	2,666	13,820	1,214	754	998	
12-17	4,199	2,607	1,050	966	..	1,721	615	2,678	13,866	1,218	755	998	
18-23	4,021	2,610	1,052	975	..	1,722	617	2,682	13,859	1,219	756	998	
24-29	4,319	2,654	1,065	980	..	1,737	618	2,696	13,820	1,214	756	998	
30-38	4,466	2,725	1,120	1,020	..	1,792	615	2,953	14,085	1,238	757	998	
39-46	4,473	2,727	1,122	1,029	..	1,795	615	2,956	14,102	1,239	757	998	
47-54	4,482	2,759	1,136	1,031	..	1,795	615	2,956	14,326	1,239	757	998	
55-74	4,773	2,994	1,240	1,240	..	1,890	615	3,159	14,056	2,302	758	1,327	
75	5,042	3,348	1,211	1,211	..	2,069	615	3,441	13,900	2,313	758	1,335	
76-97	4,921	3,417	1,114	1,031	..	2,084	616	3,468	13,809	2,313	759	1,347	
98	4,921	3,423	..	..	..	2,085	616	3,474	13,903	2,518	759	1,355	
99	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	
100-124	4,921	3,423	..	..	..	2,085	..	3,474	13,903	2,518	759	1,355	
125-168	4,921	4,468	..	..	..	2,085	..	3,474	13,903	2,523	759	1,355	
169	4,921	4,524	..	..	..	2,085	..	3,474	13,903	2,523	759	1,355	
	4,921	4,573	..	..	..	4,290	..	4,290	13,784	..	4,921	1,084	

TABLE 23.—Result of Scrutiny—Division of Dension  
Electors on Roll, 44,595. Total Valid Votes, 39,362. Quota, 4,921. Informal Papers, 2,055

CANDIDATES		Group A—Labor												Group B—D.L.P.												Group C—Liberal											
		Group A				Group B				Group C				Group D				Un-grouped				Party				Total Votes all C				Loss by Fractions							
		No. of Count	Batt	Hillay	Melougeffin	Richardsen	Schulter	Total Group A	Pooley	Baker	Abbott	Dowson	Blighham	Matthew	Sturt	Campbell	Thimble	Weld	Total Group D	Abbott and Everett	Abbot's surplus distributed T.V. .0434	Everett surplus distributed T.V. .033	Rimmer excluded	Foley excluded	Hand excluded	Banks excluded	Matthew elected	Bingham and Baker elected	Bingham's surplus distributed T.V. .2702	Austin and Batt elected							
1	3,213	2,978	5,073	643	739	2,692	971	889	17,198	985	100	845	5,144	1,622	2,182	2,999	1,524	1,035	3,084	1,086	18,676	142	106	2,305	..	..	39,362	Abbott and Everett	..	..							
2	1	1	..	..	1	..	..	..	..	..	109	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..	..							
3	3,214	2,979	5,073	643	739	2,693	971	889	17,201	985	100	845	4,921	1,731	2,200	3,020	1,537	1,046	3,110	1,088	18,663	142	106	2,308	..	..	7	..	..	7	..	..					
4	3,239	3,023	4,921	658	748	2,732	977	894	17,192	685	160	815	4,921	1,731	2,200	3,021	1,537	1,046	3,110	1,008	18,664	142	106	2,309	..	..	7	..	..	7	..	..					
5	3,241	3,027	4,921	659	748	2,733	978	896	17,203	689	160	810	4,921	1,731	2,203	3,021	1,537	1,048	3,114	1,000	18,631	10	10	2,309	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..					
6-7	3,243	3,029	4,921	659	748	2,734	978	898	17,211	829	17	829	4,921	1,731	2,209	3,024	1,538	1,048	3,114	1,010	18,691	12	12	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..					
8-11	3,249	3,013	4,921	661	748	2,736	981	901	17,240	846	..	816	4,921	1,748	2,221	3,038	1,549	1,053	3,120	12	12	2,411	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
12-16	3,342	3,272	4,921	661	748	2,733	981	906	17,203	846	..	816	4,921	1,748	2,221	3,038	1,549	1,053	3,120	11	11	2,411	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
17-21	3,468	3,571	4,921	661	748	2,734	978	898	17,211	829	17	829	4,921	1,731	2,209	3,024	1,538	1,048	3,114	1,010	18,691	12	12	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..					
22-31	3,631	3,612	4,921	661	748	2,736	981	901	17,240	846	..	816	4,921	1,748	2,221	3,038	1,549	1,053	3,120	11	11	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
32-42	3,715	3,873	4,921	661	748	2,733	978	898	17,191	846	..	816	4,921	1,759	2,228	3,042	1,553	1,054	3,125	10	10	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
43-56	3,720	3,887	4,921	661	748	2,734	978	898	17,117	846	..	816	4,921	1,759	2,228	3,042	1,553	1,058	3,122	11	11	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
57-78	3,730	3,890	4,921	661	748	2,736	981	901	17,240	846	..	816	4,921	1,759	2,228	3,042	1,553	1,058	3,122	10	10	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
79-102	4,031	4,297	4,921	677	14	..	..	..	17,155	846	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	11	11	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
103-142	4,058	4,314	4,921	681	137	..	..	..	17,144	846	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	12	12	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
143	4,302	4,645	4,921	682	137	..	..	..	17,133	846	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	13	13	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
144-191	4,304	4,652	4,921	681	137	..	..	..	18,008	280	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	14	14	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
192-	4,407	4,800	4,921	681	16	..	..	..	18,288	45	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	15	15	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							
									18,333	4199	..	816	4,921	1,764	2,235	3,047	1,557	1,068	3,125	16	16	2,409	..	..	14	..	..	14	..	..							

TABLE 24.—*Result of Scrutiny—Division of Franklin Electors on Roll, 38,929.* Total Valid Votes, 35,619. Quotas, 4,453. Informal Papers, 1,665.

TABLE 25.—Result of Scrutiny—Division of Wilmet  
Electors on Roll, 42,257. Total Valid Votes, 38,339. Quota, 4,793. Informal Papers, 1,983.

No. of Count	Anderson Hynes	CANDIDATES										Group D—D.L.P.				Remarks													
		Group A—Labor					Group B—Centre Party					Group C—Liberal			Group D—D.L.P.		Un- grouped												
		Middleton	Brown	Total Group A	Weston	Bennet	Total Group B	Bennet	Dean	Brown	Debenham	Wimperis	Orbiston	Bitterworth	Total Group C	Group D	Total Votes all	Loss by Preferential Ballot											
1	3,295	1,692	3,450	4,895	2,249	583	1,090	578	17,832	1,102	248	162	1,512	3,599	6,598	1,364	1,218	4,005	1,112	488	18,384	202	161	453	158	..	..	38,339	Bethune and Fagan elected
2	2	2	7	..	3	..	3	1	18	6	1	8	709	-1,805	133	230	495	131	67	1,765	3	1	4	3	..	..	7	Bethune's surplus distributed T.V. 27256	
3	3,297	1,694	3,457	4,895	2,252	583	1,093	579	17,850	1,108	249	163	1,520	4,308	4,768	1,497	1,448	4,500	1,243	555	18,344	205	162	457	161	..	..	6	Fagan's surplus distributed T.V. 27268
4-5	3,304	1,699	3,518	4,793	2,265	585	1,090	591	17,844	1,108	249	163	1,520	4,308	4,738	1,497	1,448	4,500	1,243	555	18,344	215	162	457	161	..	..	13	38,339
6-7	3,316	1,702	3,530	4,793	2,269	585	1,093	591	17,872	1,129	250	163	1,542	4,330	4,798	1,498	1,462	4,506	1,265	557	18,411	322	178	498	178	..	..	1	38,339
8-10	3,320	1,702	3,532	4,793	2,271	586	1,095	585	17,885	1,157	360	1,108	1,520	4,335	4,738	1,497	1,462	4,508	1,265	559	18,421	322	176	498	176	..	..	2	38,339
11-15	3,322	1,704	3,525	4,793	2,271	586	1,095	585	17,891	1,160	361	1,109	1,521	4,339	4,798	1,501	1,463	4,525	1,266	562	18,449	359	1	38,339	Dean excluded				
16-21	3,325	1,709	3,529	4,793	2,272	587	1,096	596	17,927	1,164	382	1,110	1,521	4,344	4,798	1,503	1,470	4,536	1,266	563	18,497	460	18	38,339	Butterworth excluded				
22-31	3,346	1,711	3,546	4,793	2,273	588	1,108	598	18,000	1,165	383	1,111	1,521	4,354	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,542	1,267	564	18,521	460	18	38,339	Winspear excluded				
32-41	3,346	1,713	3,547	4,793	2,310	590	1,109	594	18,011	1,511	384	1,111	1,521	4,359	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,542	1,267	565	18,521	460	18	38,339	Singleton excluded				
42-50	3,402	1,722	3,579	4,793	2,310	590	1,110	594	18,011	1,511	384	1,111	1,521	4,364	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,547	1,267	565	18,521	460	18	38,339	Macroste excluded				
51-67	3,494	1,807	3,443	4,793	2,306	583	1,363	1,363	-1,363	1,298	1,13	1,13	1,521	4,369	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,551	1,267	566	18,521	460	18	38,339	Marriott excluded				
68-88	3,653	1,941	4,325	4,793	3,179	591	1,379	1,379	3,209	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,374	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,556	1,267	567	18,521	460	18	38,339	O'Brien excluded				
89	..	..	2	..	1	..	..	3	..	..	..	..	1,516	4,382	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,561	1,267	568	18,521	460	18	38,339	Ingraham's surplus distributed T.V. 8098				
90	3,671	1,944	4,354	4,793	3,208	592	1,379	1,379	3,209	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,386	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,565	1,267	569	18,521	460	18	38,339	Bessell's surplus distributed T.V. 6				
91-116	3,671	1,944	4,352	4,793	3,208	592	1,379	1,379	3,209	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,386	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,565	1,267	569	18,521	460	18	38,339	Jbrown excluded				
117-159	3,883	1,997	4,408	4,793	3,243	593	1,385	1,385	3,249	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,390	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,568	1,267	570	18,521	460	18	38,339	Hryon excluded				
140	4,688	177	..	5,189	4,793	3,692	144	..	..	..	..	..	1,521	4,394	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,573	1,267	571	18,521	460	18	38,339	Cashion elected				
141	4,895	12	..	4,793	3,736	68	1,387	1,387	3,209	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,394	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,573	1,267	572	18,521	460	18	38,339	Cashion's surplus distributed T.V. 4738				
142-164	4,793	..	..	4,793	3,804	48	1,388	1,388	3,231	1,299	11	11	1,521	4,396	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,575	1,267	573	18,521	460	18	38,339	Ibbott excluded				
143	4,793	..	..	4,793	3,852	..	18,231	18,231	..	..	..	..	1,521	4,398	4,798	1,503	1,471	4,577	1,267	574	18,521	460	18	38,339	Braid elected				